APPENDIX

Bibliography of included studies. Complete reference list of the randomized controlled trials included in the systematic review (2018–2023)

[1]. Abdelbary AM, Al-Dessoukey AA, Moussa AS, et al. Value of early second session shock wave lithotripsy in treatment of upper ureteric stones compared to laser ureteroscopy. *World J Urol* 2021; 39: 3089–3093.

[2]. Abdelfatah Zaza MM, Farouk Salim A, El-Mageed Salem TA, et al. Impact of ureteric access sheath use during flexible ureteroscopy: A comparative study on efficacy and safety. *Actas Urol Esp* (Engl Ed) 2024; 48: 204–209.

[3]. AbdelRazek M, Abolyosr A, AbdelKader MS, et al. Percutaneous nephrolithotomy versus extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for renal insufficiency. *World J Urol* 2021; 39: 4477–4482.

[4]. AbdelRazek M, Fathi A, Mohamed M, et al. Primary versus deferred ureteroscopy for the management of obstructive anuria secondary to ureteric urolithiasis in children: a prospective randomized study. *Urolithiasis* 2022; 51: 6.

[5]. Abdelwahab K, Elderey MS, Desoky E, et al. Pediatric Mini-Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy Using Self-Retained Screwed Amplatz Sheath vs Ordinary Sheath. *J Endourol* 2023; 37: 394–399.

[6]. Agrawal S, Patil A, Sabnis RB, et al. Initial experience with slimmest single-use flexible ureteroscope Uscope PU3033A (PUSEN™) in retrograde intrarenal surgery and its comparison with Uscope PU3022a: a single-center prospective study. *World J Urol* 2021; 39: 3957–3962.

[7]. Agrawal S, Survase P, Singh AG, et al. Initial experience of comparison between two slimmest single-use flexible ureteroscopes: Indoscope Sleek (Bioradmedisys™) Versus Uscope PU3033A (Pusen™): A single-center prospective study. *World J Urol* 2023; 41: 2817–2821.

[8]. Ahmed AF, Abdelazim H, ElMesery M, et al. Mini-percutaneous nephrolithotomy is a safe alternative to extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy for high-density, renal stones: a prospective, randomised trial. *BJU Int* 2021; 128: 744–751.

[9]. Al-Dessoukey AA, Abdallah M, Moussa AS, et al. Ultraslow full-power shock wave lithotripsy versus slow power-ramping shock wave lithotripsy in stones with high attenuation value: A randomized comparative study. *Int J Urol* 2020; 27: 165–170.

[10]. Alghamdi A, Kretschmer A, Stief CG, et al. Influence of the laser pulse shape in the treatment of stones in the upper urinary tract. *Investig Clin Urol* 2020; 61: 594–599.

[11]. Ali AI, Eldakhakhny A, Abdelfadel A, et al. WiScope® single use digital flexible ureteroscope versus reusable flexible ureteroscope for management of renal stones: a prospective randomized study. *World J Urol* 2022; 40: 2323–2330.

[12]. Ali M, Hashem A, Helmy TE, et al. Shock wave lithotripsy versus endoscopic cystolitholapaxy in the management of patients presenting with calcular acute urinary retention: a randomised controlled trial. *World J Urol* 2019; 37: 879–884.

[13]. Allam CL, Aden JK, and Reed AM: The Role of Routine Ureteral Stenting Following Uncomplicated Ureteroscopic Treatment for Upper Ureteral and Renal Stones: A Randomized Control Trial. *J Endourol* 2023; 37: 257–263.

[14]. Atis G, Culpan M, Ucar T, et al. The effect of shock wave lithotripsy and retrograde intrarenal surgery on health-related quality of life in 10-20 mm renal stones: a prospective randomized pilot study. *Urolithiasis* 2021; 49: 247–253.

[15]. Aykanat C, Balci M, Senel C, et al. The Impact of Ureteral Access Sheath Size on Perioperative Parameters and Postoperative Ureteral Stricture in Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery. *J Endourol* 2022; 36: 1013–1017.

[16]. Bach P, Reicherz A, Teichman J, et al. Short-term external ureter stenting shows significant benefit in comparison to routine double-J stent placement after ureterorenoscopic stone extraction: A prospective randomized trial - the Fast track stent study (FaST). *Int J Urol* 2018; 25: 717–722.

[17]. Bai F, Wu H, Zhang N, et al. The Feasibility, Safety, and Efficacy of the Preemptive Indwelling of Double-J Stents in Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy Surgery: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Urol J* 2020; 17: 232–236.

[18]. Bakr M and Abdelhalim KM: Safety and Efficacy of Emergency Ureteroscopy with Intracorporeal Lithotripsy in Patients Presented with Urinary Tract Infection with Mild Sepsis. *J Endourol* 2020; 34: 262–266.

[19]. Basiri A, De la Rosette J, Bonakdar Hashemi M, et al. Is a Safety Guide Wire Necessary for Transurethral Lithotripsy using Semi-Rigid Ureteroscope? Results from a Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. *Urol J* 2021; 18: 497–502.

[20]. Betschart P, Piller A, Zumstein V, et al. Reduction of stent-associated morbidity by minimizing stent material: a prospective, randomized, single-blind superiority trial assessing a customized “suture stent”. *BJU Int* 2021; 127: 596–605.

[21]. Bosio A, Alessandria E, Agosti SC, et al. Loop-tail stents fail in reducing stent-related symptoms: results of a prospective randomised controlled trial. *BJU Int* 2022; 129: 123–129.

[22]. Bostanci Y, Mercimek MN, Gulsen M, et al. Clinical Effectiveness of Single Pigtail Suture Stent on Patient Comfort: A Double-Blind Prospective Randomized Trial. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 2020; 30: 1183–1188.

[23]. Bozzini G, Aydogan TB, Müller A, et al. A comparison among PCNL, Miniperc and Ultraminiperc for lower calyceal stones between 1 and 2 cm: a prospective, comparative, multicenter and randomised study. *BMC Urol* 2020; 20: 67.

[24]. Bozzini G, Bevilacqua L, Besana U, et al. Ureteral access sheath-related injuries vs. post-operative infections. Is sheath insertion always needed? A prospective randomized study to understand the lights and shadows of this practice. *Actas Urol Esp* (Engl Ed) 2021; 45: 576–581.

[25]. Bozzini G, Maltagliati M, Berti L, et al. “VirtualBasket” ureteroscopic holmium laser lithotripsy: intraoperative and early postoperative outcomes. *Minerva Urol Nephrol* 2022; 74: 344–350.

[26]. Brevik A, Peta A, Okhunov Z, et al. Prospective, Randomized Comparison of Dual-Lumen vs Single-Lumen Flexible Ureteroscopes in Proximal Ureteral and Renal Stone Management. *J Endourol* 2022; 36: 921–926.

[27]. Caglar U, Erbin A, Ucpinar B, et al. Failed insertion of ureteral access sheath during flexible ureterorenoscopy: a randomized controlled trial comparing second session flexible ureterorenoscopy or same session mini percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2024; 56: 433–439.

[28]. Coskun A, Eryildirim B, Sarica K, et al. Comparison of Mini Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy (Mini PCNL) and Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery (RIRS) for the Minimal Invasive Management of Lower Caliceal Stones. *Urol J* 2021; 18: 485–490.
[29]. Dasgupta R, Cameron S, Aucott L, et al. Shockwave Lithotripsy Versus Ureteroscopic Treatment as Therapeutic Interventions for Stones of the Ureter (TISU): A Multicentre Randomised Controlled Non-inferiority Trial. *Eur Urol* 2021; 80: 46–54.
[30]. Datta SN, Chalokia RS, Wing KW, et al. Ultramini-percutaneous nephrolithotomy versus retrograde intrarenal surgery in the treatment of 10-30 mm calculi: a randomized controlled trial. *Urolithiasis* 2022; 50: 361–367.
[31]. Du C, Song L, Wu X, et al. Suctioning Minimally Invasive Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy with a Patented System Is Effective to Treat Renal Staghorn Calculi: A Prospective Multicenter Study. *Urol Int* 2018; 101: 143–149.
[32]. Du C, Song L, Wu X, et al. A study on the clinical application of a patented perfusion and suctioning platform and ureteral access sheath in the treatment of large ureteral stones below L4 level. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2019; 51: 207–213.
[33]. Dutta R, Mithal P, Klein I, et al. Outcomes and Costs Following Mini-percutaneous Nephrolithotomy or Flexible Ureteroscopic Lithotripsy for 1-2-cm Renal Stones: Data From a Prospective, Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Urol* 2023; 209: 1151–1158.
[34]. Ecer G, Sönmez MG, Aydın A, et al. Comparison of retrograde intrarenal stone surgery with and without a ureteral access sheath using kidney injury molecule-1 (KIM-1) levels: a prospective randomized study. *Urolithiasis* 2022; 50: 625–633.
[35]. Elbaset MA, Hashem A, Eraky A, et al. Optimal non-invasive treatment of 1-2.5 cm radiolucent renal stones: oral dissolution therapy, shock wave lithotripsy or combined treatment-a randomized controlled trial. *World J Urol* 2020; 38: 207–212.
[36]. Elbatanouny AM, Ragheb AM, Abdelbary AM, et al. Percutaneous nephrostomy versus JJ ureteric stent as the initial drainage method in kidney stone patients presenting with acute kidney injury: A prospective randomized study. *Int J Urol* 2020; 27: 916–921.
[37]. ElSaeed KO, Sadeq MM, Hassan KM, et al. Comparison Between Mini-Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy and Standard Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy in Management of Large Renal Stones: A Randomized, Controlled Clinical Trial. *J Endourol* 2023; 37: 1254–1260.
[38]. Elsaqa M, Hyder Z, Thai K, et al. Comparison of commonly utilized ureteral access sheaths: A prospective randomized trial. *Arch Ital Urol Androl* 2023; 95: 11149.
[39]. Eryildirim B, Sarica K, Ustun F, et al. Comparison of Middle and Lower Calyceal Access for Renal Pelvis Stone in Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy: A Prospective Randomized Study. *Urol Int* 2020; 104: 758–764.
[40]. Fahmy A, Mahfouz W, Elbadry M, et al. Single step track dilatation for percutaneous nephrolithotomy in children. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2022; 54: 2789–2795.
[41]. Farouk A, Tawfick A, Shoeb M, et al. Is mini-percutaneous nephrolithotomy a safe alternative to extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy in pediatric age group in borderline stones? a randomized prospective study. *World J Urol* 2018; 36: 1139–1147.
[42]. Fayad MK, Fahmy O, Abulazayem KM, et al. Retrograde intrarenal surgery versus percutaneous nephrolithotomy for treatment of renal pelvic stone more than 2 centimeters: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Urolithiasis* 2022; 50: 113–117.
[43]. Gadelkareem RA, Abdelsalam YM, Ibraheim MA, et al. Is Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy the Modality of Choice Versus Extracorporeal Shockwave Lithotripsy for a 20 to 30 mm

Single Renal Pelvic Stone with ≤1000 Hounsfield Unit in Adults? A Prospective Randomized Comparative Study. *J Endourol* 2020; 34: 1141–1148.

[44]. Gharib TM, Abdel-Al I, Elatreisy A, et al. Evaluation of ultrathin semirigid ureteroscopy in terms of efficiency and cost compared to flexible ureteroscopy in treating proximal ureteric stones: a prospective randomized multicenter study. *World J Urol* 2023; 41: 2527–2534.

[45]. Goldberg H, Nevo A, Shtabholtz Y, et al. Tubeless supra-costal percutaneous nephrolithotomy is associated with significantly less hydrothorax: a prospective randomized clinical study. *BJU Int* 2020; 125: 276–283.

[46]. Gönen M, Arslan ÖE, Dönmez Mİ, et al. Ureteral Catheter Versus Nephrostomy Tube for Patients Undergoing Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy Under Spinal Anesthesia: A Prospectively Randomized Trial. *J Endourol* 2019; 33: 291–294.

[47]. Guan W, Fan S, Liang J, et al. Management of Migrated or Residual Stones Following Laparoscopic Pyelolithotomy and Ureterolithotomy in Abnormal Kidneys: A Prospective and Randomized Comparison. *J Endourol* 2020; 34: 1155–1160.

[48]. Gucuk A, Yilmaz B, Gucuk S, et al. Are Stone Density and Location Useful Parameters That Can Determine the Endourological Surgical Technique for Kidney Stones That are Smaller than 2 cm? A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. *Urol J* 2019; 16: 236–241.

[49]. Guddeti RS, Hegde P, Chawla A, et al. Super-mini percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) vs standard PCNL for the management of renal calculi of <2 cm: a randomised controlled study. *BJU Int* 2020; 126: 273–279.

[50]. Güler A, Erbin A, Ucpinar B, et al. Comparison of miniaturized percutaneous nephrolithotomy and standard percutaneous nephrolithotomy for the treatment of large kidney stones: a randomized prospective study. *Urolithiasis* 2019; 47: 289–295.

[51]. Haas CR, Knoedler MA, Li S, et al. Pulse-modulated Holmium:YAG Laser vs the Thulium Fiber Laser for Renal and Ureteral Stones: A Single-center Prospective Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Urol* 2023; 209: 374–383.

[52]. Hamid P, Reza M, Ali K, et al. Comparison of the outcome of trans-ureteral lithotripsy for uncomplicated ureteral stones with or without safety guidewire: A randomized clinical trial. *Urologia* 2023; 90: 349–356.

[53]. Heidenberg DJ, Nauheim J, Grant C, et al. Timing of Ureteral Stent Removal After Ureteroscopy on Stent-Related Symptoms: A Validated Questionnaire Comparison of 3 and 7 Days Stent Duration. *J Endourol* 2024; 38: 82–87.

[54]. Ibrahim A, Elhilali MM, Fahmy N, et al. Double-Blinded Prospective Randomized Clinical Trial Comparing Regular and Moses Modes of Holmium Laser Lithotripsy. *J Endourol* 2020; 34: 624–628.

[55]. Jiang K, Chen H, Yu X, et al. The "all-seeing needle" micro-PCNL versus flexible ureterorenoscopy for lower calyceal stones of ≤ 2 cm. Urolithiasis 2019; 47: 201–206.

[56]. Jin L, Yang B, Zhou Z, et al. Comparative Efficacy on Flexible Ureteroscopy Lithotripsy and Miniaturized Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy for the Treatment of Medium-Sized Lower-Pole Renal Calculi. *J Endourol* 2019; 33: 914–919.

[57]. Joshi HN, Singh AK, Koirala NP, et al. Outcome of Uretero Renoscopic Lithotripsy (URSL) with Holmium LASER Vs Pneumatic Lithotripter for Lower Ureteric Stones, Experience from University Hospital of Nepal. *Kathmandu Univ Med J* (KUMJ) 2020; 18: 49–53.

[58]. Kandemir E, Savun M, Sezer A, et al. Comparison of Miniaturized Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy and Standard Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy in Secondary Patients: A Randomized Prospective Study. *J Endourol* 2020; 34: 26–32.

[59]. Karakoyunlu N, Çakıcı MÇ, Sarı S, et al. Efficacy of various laser devices on lithotripsy in retrograde intrarenal surgery used to treat 1-2 cm kidney stones: A prospective randomized study. *Int J Clin Pract* 2021; 75: e14216.
[60]. Kaygisiz O, Cicek MC, Mert A, et al. Which frequency is better for pediatric shock wave lithotripsy? Intermediate or low: a prospective randomized study. *World J Urol* 2021; 39: 3963–3969.
[61]. Kukreja RA: Should mini percutaneous nephrolithotomy (MiniPNL/Miniperc) be the ideal tract for medium-sized renal calculi (15-30 mm)? *World J Urol* 2018; 36: 285–291.
[62]. Kumar N, Yadav P, Kaushik VN, et al. Mini-versus standard percutaneous nephrolithotomy in pediatric population: A randomized controlled trial. *J Pediatr Urol* 2023; 19: 688–695.
[63]. Lai D, Xu W, Chen M, et al. Minimally Invasive Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy with a Novel Vacuum-assisted Access Sheath for obstructive calculous pyonephrosis : A Randomized Study. *Urol J* 2020; 17: 474–479.
[64]. Large T, Nottingham C, Brinkman E, et al. Multi-Institutional Prospective Randomized Control Trial of Novel Intracorporeal Lithotripters: ShockPulse-SE vs Trilogy Trial. *J Endourol* 2021; 35: 1326–1332.
[65]. Liang S, Duan X, Liu J, et al. Vacuum-Assisted vs Conventional Minimally Invasive Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy for the Treatment of Two-to-Four-Centimeter Stones: A Multicenter Prospective and Randomized Trial. *J Endourol* 2023; 37: 1241–1247.
[66]. Liu X, Xia D, Peng E, et al. Comparison of two techniques for the management of 2-3 cm lower pole renal calculi in obese patients. *World J Urol* 2022; 40: 513–518.
[67]. Loftus CJ, Ganesan V, Traxer O, et al. Ureteral Wall Injury with Ureteral Access Sheaths: A Randomized Prospective Trial. *J Endourol* 2020; 34: 932–936.
[68]. Lu P, Chen K, Wang Z, et al. Clinical efficacy and safety of flexible ureteroscopic lithotripsy using 365 μm holmium laser for nephrolithiasis: a prospective, randomized, controlled trial. *World J Urol* 2020; 38: 481–487.
[69]. Lu X, Zhou B, Hu D, et al. Emergency decompression for patients with ureteral stones and SIRS: a prospective randomized clinical study. *Ann Med* 2023; 55: 965–972.
[70]. Lu X, Zhou B, and Hu D: Mini-PCNL with a vacuum-assisted access sheath for renal calculi with pyonephrosis in the second stage. Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol 2023; 32: 66–72.
[71]. Martov AG, Ergakov DV, Guseynov M, et al. Clinical Comparison of Super Pulse Thulium Fiber Laser and High-Power Holmium Laser for Ureteral Stone Management. *J Endourol* 2021; 35: 795–800.
[72]. Mishra DK, Agrawal MS, Shah M, et al. Ambulatory Minimally Invasive Endoscopic Combined Intrarenal Surgery in Management of Large Impacted Proximal Ureteral Calculi: A Feasibility Study at a Tertiary Referral Center. *J Endourol* 2023; 37: 251–256.
[73]. Mohey A, Abdelfattah AA, Mohammed AE, et al. Comparative study between antegrade flexible ureteroscopy and reterograde intrarenal surgery in the management of impacted upper ureteric stones 1.5 cm or larger. *World J Urol* 2023; 41: 3731–3736.
[74]. Mohyelden K, Abdel-Rassoul M, Dogha M, et al. One-Shot Dilatation vs Metal Dilator During Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy in Flank-Free Supine Position: A Randomized Controlled Study. *J Endourol* 2022; 36: 727–733.
[75]. Nestler S, Witte B, Schilchegger L, et al. Size does matter: ureteral stents with a smaller diameter show advantages regarding urinary symptoms, pain levels and general health. *World J Urol* 2020; 38: 1059–1063.

[76]. Ng CF, Luke S, Yee CH, et al. Extracorporeal Shockwave Lithotripsy Could Lead to a Prolonged Increase in the Renal Fibrotic Process of Up to 2 Years. *J Endourol* 2018; 32: 223–229.
[77]. Ng CF, Yee CH, Teoh JYC, et al. Effect of Stepwise Voltage Escalation on Treatment Outcomes following Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy of Renal Calculi: A Prospective Randomized Study. *J Urol* 2019; 202: 986–993.
[78]. Noureldin YA, Abdel Halim WA, Elnahif E, et al. The effect of piezoelectric shockwave lithotripsy with 2- and 8-mm focus sizes on stone disintegration and renal injury. *BJU Int* 2023; 132: 404–410.
[79]. Omar M, Dorrah M, Khalifa A, et al. Randomized comparison of 4.5/6 Fr versus 6/7.5 Fr ureteroscopes for laser lithotripsy of lower/middle ureteral calculi: towards optimization of efficacy and safety of semirigid ureteroscopy. *World J Urol* 2022; 40: 3075–3081.
[80]. Özkaya F, Sertkaya Z, Karabulut İ, et al. The effect of using ureteral access sheath for treatment of impacted ureteral stones at mid-upper part with flexible ureterorenoscopy: a randomized prospective study. *Minerva Urol Nefrol* 2019; 71: 413–420.
[81]. Pathak N, Agrawal S, Parikh A, et al. A randomized controlled trial comparing infectious complications using mini perc with and without suction for renal stones less than 3 cm in size. *Urolithiasis* 2023; 52: 6.
[82]. Perri D, Berti L, Pacchetti A, et al. A comparison among RIRS and MiniPerc for renal stones between 10 and 20 mm using thulium fiber laser (Fiber Dust): a randomized controlled trial. *World J Urol* 2022; 40: 2555–2560.
[83]. Perri D, Berti L, Pacchetti A, et al. Treatment of ureteral stones with LithoEVO device and Vapor Tunnel tool. *Minerva Urol Nephrol* 2023; 75: 210–216.
[84]. Qi S, Yang E, Bao J, et al. Single-Use Versus Reusable Digital Flexible Ureteroscopes for the Treatment of Renal Calculi: A Prospective Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Endourol* 2020; 34: 18–24.
[85]. Qiao D, Cheng L, Xiaomei T, et al. Effect of ultra-mini percutaneous nephrolithotomy and ShuoTong ureteroscopy on the stress response, inflammatory indices, and urokinase level in patients with polycystic kidney disease complicated with renal calculus. *Cell Mol Biol* (Noisy-le-grand) 2022; 67: 309–316.
[86]. Reicherz A, Maas V, Reike M, et al. Striking a balance: outcomes of short-term Mono-J placement following ureterorenoscopy. *Urolithiasis* 2021; 49: 567–573.
[87]. Reicherz A, Westhues H, Häuser L, et al. A randomized prospective study: assessment of transient ureteral stenting by mono-J insertion after primary URS and stone extraction (FaST 3). *Urolithiasis* 2021; 49: 599–606.
[88]. Sajjad K, Shahid MA, Ali M, et al. Stone clearance and complication rate of micro percutaneous nephrolithotomy and retrograde intrarenal surgery for lower pole renal stone: A randomized trial. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad* 2023; 35: S721–S725.
[89]. Schoenthaler M, Hein S, Wilhelm K, et al. Feasibility of an Updated Randomised Controlled Trial on Surgical Urolithiasis Treatments: The Pilot Trial for the German Endoscopic versus Shock Wave Therapy Study (GESS). *Eur Urol Focus* 2022; 8: 271–275.
[90]. Shabayek M, Osman T, Wahb M, et al. Intravesical aminophylline instillation as an alternative for balloon dilatation prior to semi-rigid ureteroscopic management of distal ureteral stones. *World J Urol* 2022; 40: 1805–1811.
[91]. Shahat AA, Kamel AA, Taha TM, et al. A randomised trial comparing transurethral to percutaneous cystolithotripsy in boys. *BJU Int* 2022; 130: 254–261.

[92]. Shrestha A, Adhikari B, and Shah AK: Does Relocation of Lower Pole Stone During Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery Improve Stone-Free Rate? A Prospective Randomized Study. *J Endourol* 2023; 37: 21–27.
[93]. Shrestha A, Corrales M, Adhikari B, et al. Comparison of low power and high power holmium YAG laser settings in flexible ureteroscopy. *World J Urol* 2022; 40: 1839–1844.
[94]. Soliman MG, Gameel T, El-Tatawy H, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for distal ureteric stones: which is the ideal approach? *Int Urol Nephrol* 2020; 52: 2269–2274.
[95]. Soliman T, Sherif H, Sebaey A, et al. Miniperc vs Shockwave Lithotripsy for Average-Sized, Radiopaque Lower Pole Calculi: A Prospective Randomized Study. *J Endourol* 2021; 35: 896–901.
[96]. Soltani MH, Hossein Kashi A, Farshid S, et al. Transperitoneal Laparoscopic Pyelolithotomy versus Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy for Treating the Patients with Staghorn Kidney Stones: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Urol J* 2021; 19: 28–33.
[97]. Sonmez G, Demir F, Keske M, et al. Comparison of the Effects of Four Treatment Techniques Commonly Used in Ureteral Stone Treatment on Patients' Daily Physical Functioning: An Observational Randomized-Controlled Study. *J Endourol* 2021; 35: 8–13.
[98]. Sorensen MD, Harper JD, Borofsky MS, et al. Removal of Small, Asymptomatic Kidney Stones and Incidence of Relapse. *N Engl J Med* 2022; 387: 506–513.
[99]. Sur RL, Agrawal S, Eisner BH, et al. Initial Safety and Feasibility of Steerable Ureteroscopic Renal Evacuation: A Novel Approach for the Treatment of Urolithiasis. *J Endourol* 2022; 36: 1161–1167.
[100]. Svihra J Jr, Sopilko I, Svihrova V, et al. Is health-related quality of life of patients after single-use flexible ureteroscopy superior to extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy? A randomised prospective study. *Urolithiasis* 2021; 49: 73–79.
[101]. Taratkin M, Azilgareeva C, Petov V, et al. Thulium fiber laser vs Ho:YAG in RIRS: a prospective randomized clinical trial assessing the efficacy of lasers and different fiber diameters (150 µm and 200 µm). *World J Urol* 2023; 41: 3705–3711.
[102]. Thakur A, Sharma AP, Devana SK, et al. Does Miniaturization Actually Decrease Bleeding After Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy? A Single-Center Randomized Trial. *J Endourol* 2021; 35: 451–456.
[103]. Tuncer M, Kafkaslı A, Can U, et al. What is the optimal frequency in shock wave lithotripsy for pediatric renal stones? A prospective randomized study. *Urolithiasis* 2021; 49: 377–383.
[104]. Ulvik Ø, Æsøy MS, Juliebø-Jones P, et al. Thulium Fibre Laser versus Holmium:YAG for Ureteroscopic Lithotripsy: Outcomes from a Prospective Randomised Clinical Trial. *Eur Uro*l 2022; 82: 73–79.
[105]. Wang R, Hu Y, Xie H, et al. Novel Use of a Modified Triangular Prismatic Double-J Stent for 2- to 3-cm Renal Stones after One-Stage Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery. *Urol Int* 2021; 105: 594–599.
[106]. Wiseman O, Ventimiglia E, Doizi S, et al. Effects of Silicone Hydrocoated Double Loop Ureteral Stent on Symptoms and Quality of Life in Patients Undergoing Flexible Ureteroscopy for Kidney Stone: A Randomized Multicenter Clinical Study. *J Urol* 2020; 204: 769–777.
[107]. Wishahi M, El Feel A, Elkhouly A, et al. Concerns about stone free rate and procedure events of percutaneous nephrolithotripsy (PCNL) for 2-4 cm kidney stones by standard-PCNL vs mini-PCNL- comparative randomised study. *BMC Urol* 2023; 23: 96.

[108]. Wu G, Ying X, Shen C, et al. Analysis of the Efficacy and Safety of PCNL and ESWL in Patients with Complicated Upper Urinary Tract Renal Calculi. *Altern Ther Health Med* 2023; 29: 760–763.
[109]. Xiao B, Diao X, Zeng X, et al. Needle-Perc-Assisted Endoscopic Surgery in Treatment with Renal Staghorn Stones: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Study from a Large-Volume Stone Center. *Urol Int* 2023; 107: 910–915.
[110]. Xiao Y, Li Q, Huang C, et al. Perioperative and long-term results of retroperitoneal laparoscopic pyelolithotomy versus percutaneous nephrolithotomy for staghorn calculi: a single-center randomized controlled trial. *World J Urol* 2019; 37: 1441–1447.
[111]. Xu G, Liang J, He Y, et al. Comparison of two different minimally invasive percutaneous nephrostomy sheaths for the treatment of staghorn stones. *BJU Int* 2020; 125: 898–904.
[112]. Yadav BK, Basnet RB, Shrestha A, et al. Comparison between shockpulse and pneumatic lithotripsy in percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *World J Urol* 2021; 39: 915–919.
[113]. Yaghoubian AJ, Anastos H, Khusid JA, et al. Displacement of Lower Pole Stones During Retrograde Intrarenal Surgery Improves Stone-free Status: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Urol* 2023; 209: 963–970.
[114]. Yang E, Jing S, Niu Y, et al. Single-Use Digital Flexible Ureteroscopes as a Safe and Effective Choice for the Treatment of Lower Pole Renal Stones: Secondary Analysis of a Randomized-Controlled Trial. *J Endourol* 2021; 35: 1773–1778.
[115]. Yavuz A, Kilinc MF, and Bayar G: Outcomes of different minimally invasive techniques in lower calyceal stones of 1 to 2 centimeters: A prospective, randomized study. *Arch Esp Urol* 2020; 73: 307–315.
[116]. Yazici O, Kafkasli A, Erbin A, et al. Effect of JJ stent on outcomes of extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy treatment of moderate sized renal pelvic stones: A randomized prospective study. *Actas Urol Esp* (Engl Ed) 2019; 43: 425–430.
[117]. Yildirim Ü, Ezer M, Uslu M, et al. Comparison of dusting and fragmentation methods in the flexible ureteroscopic treatment of kidney lower calyx stones. *Urolithiasis* 2022; 51: 21.
[118]. Yoshida T, Inoue T, Taguchi M, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Complete Intraureteral Stent Placement versus Conventional Stent Placement in Relieving Ureteral Stent Related Symptoms: A Randomized, Prospective, Single Blind, Multicenter Clinical Trial. *J Urol* 2019; 202: 164–170.
[119]. Yoshida T, Takemoto K, Sakata Y, et al. A randomized clinical trial evaluating the short-term results of ureteral stent encrustation in urolithiasis patients undergoing ureteroscopy: micro-computed tomography evaluation. *Sci Rep* 2021; 11: 10337.
[120]. Yu W, Ruan Y, Xiong Z, et al. The Outcomes of Minimally Invasive Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy with Different Access Sizes for the Single Renal Stone ≤25 mm: A Randomized Prospective Study. *Urol Int* 2022; 106: 440–445.
[121]. Zeng G, Cai C, Duan X, et al. Mini Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy Is a Noninferior Modality to Standard Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy for the Management of 20-40mm Renal Calculi: A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. *Eur Urol* 2021; 79: 114–121.
[122]. Zeng G, Zhang T, Agrawal M, et al. Super-mini percutaneous nephrolithotomy (SMP) vs retrograde intrarenal surgery for the treatment of 1-2 cm lower-pole renal calculi: an international multicentre randomised controlled trial. *BJU Int* 2018; 122: 1034–1040.
[123]. Zeng X, Diao X, Jin S, et al. Needle-perc-assisted endoscopic surgery (NAES) for patients with complicated solitary kidney stones: a prospective randomized study from a single center. *World J Urol* 2023; 41: 3121–3127.

[124]. Zeng X, Xiao B, Hu W, et al. A modified triangular Double-J stent for retrograde intrarenal surgery improvement of free-stone rate, and quality of life: a randomized controlled, multiple centers, perspective trial. *World J Urol* 2023; 41: 1653–1658.
[125]. Zhang H, Hong TY, Li G, et al. Comparison of the Efficacy of Ultra-Mini PCNL, Flexible Ureteroscopy, and Shock Wave Lithotripsy on the Treatment of 1-2 cm Lower Pole Renal Calculi. *Urol Int* 2019; 102: 153–159.
[126]. Zhang Y, Chen C, Hu W, et al. Antireflux Ureteral Stent Improves Stent-Related Symptoms and Quality of Life: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Endourol* 2023; 37: 761–767.
[127]. Zhang Y, Wei C, Pokhrel G, et al. Mini-Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy with Ureter Catheter: A Safe and Effective form of mPCNL Offers Better Quality of Life. *Urol Int* 2019; 102: 160–166.
[128]. Zhong W, Wen J, Peng L, et al. Enhanced super-mini-PCNL (eSMP): low renal pelvic pressure and high stone removal efficiency in a prospective randomized controlled trial. *World J Urol* 2021; 39: 929–934.