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Abstract

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is often detected incidentally and early. Currently, open partial nephrectomy and laparoscopic total nephrectomy form competing technologies. The former is invasive, but nephron-sparing; the other is considered less invasive but with more loss of renal mass. Traditionally, emphasis has been placed on oncologic outcomes. However, a patient with an excellent oncologic outcome may suffer from morbidity and mortality related to renal failure. Animal models with hypertension and diabetic renal disease indicate accelerated progression of pre-existing disease after nephrectomy. Patients with RCC are older and they have a high prevalence of diabetes and hypertension. The progression of renal failure may also be accelerated after a nephrectomy. Our analysis of the available literature indicates that renal outcomes in RCC patients after surgery are relatively poorly defined. A strategy to systematically evaluate the renal function of patients with RCC, with joint discussion between the nephrologist and the oncologic team, is strongly advocated.

Résumé

L’hypernéphrome est souvent détecté fortuitement et au stade précoce. Actuellement, la néphrectomie partielle par voie ouverte et la néphrectomie totale par laparoscopie sont des technologies concurrentes. Tandis que la première est plus invasive, mais permet l’épargne des néphrons, la seconde est moins invasive mais entraîne une perte plus importante de masse rénale. Par le passé, on a mis l’emphase sur les résultats d’un point de vue oncologique. Cependant, un patient pour qui la chirurgie donne d’excellents résultats en matière d’élimination de la tumeur pourrait présenter une morbidité et une mortalité en lien avec une insuffisance rénale. Des modèles animaux de néphropathie avec hypertension et diabète indiquent une évolution accélérée des maladies préexistantes après la néphrectomie. Les patients présentant un hypernéphrome sont plus âgés; la prévalence du diabète et de l’hypertension est élevée. L’évolution de l’insuffisance rénale peut aussi être accélérée après la néphrectomie. Notre analyse des articles publiés montre que les résultats sur le plan de la fonction rénale après une chirurgie pour traiter un hypernéphrome sont relativement mal définis. Une stratégie fondée sur une évaluation systématique de la fonction rénale des patients atteints d’hypernéphrome, avec discussion entre le néphrologue et l’équipe de soins oncologiques, est fortement encouragée.

Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) originates from the proximal tubule of the kidneys and is the most common form of kidney cancer. It accounts for about 85% of solid renal masses. Renal cell carcinoma may be difficult to diagnose early, due to its initially asymptomatic nature; however, with advances and increased use of diagnostic imaging, the diagnosis of RCC often occurs early as an incidental finding. Risk factors for RCC include age, obesity, smoking, hypertension, gender, race, long-term dialysis and the presence of particular hereditary diseases, such as von Hippel-Lindau syndrome, hereditary papillary RCC and Birt-Hogg-Dubé syndrome. The mean age of patients at diagnosis from 14 European cancer centres was 62.8 years; two-thirds of these patients were male. Correlations exist, for men and women, between increased body mass index (obesity), hypertension and smoking status and the incidence of RCC. There is also a large overlap in risk factors for chronic kidney disease (CKD) and RCC; it is likely that many RCC patients will have reduced renal function or be at risk of rapid progression of CKD. Since more tumours are now found early, many people undergo surgery with excellent oncologic results. For this high-risk group of elderly patients, there is a further decrease in renal function due to surgery; these patients are also at risk of rapidly progressing to end-stage renal disease and needing renal replacement therapy. Moreover, decreased renal function is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality. Therefore, the treating team has to balance oncologic outcomes with the preservation of renal function; these considerations may influence the choice of surgical strategy.

Assessing kidney function and renal risk factors

Currently, 3 aspects of renal function are pivotal to assess kidney dysfunction: (1) an estimate of the filtration of the kidney; (2) quantification of proteinuria; and (3) urinalysis. The glomerular function rate (GFR) can be assessed in a number of ways, which are all based on the unique properties of creatinine. One way is to estimate the creatinine clearance by a 24-hour urine collection, and plasma and urine determinations of creatinine. There are inherent limitations with
this estimation are urine collection errors and, at very low
clearances, overestimation of GFR by creatinine secreted
into the tubules. Frequently used is the Modified Diet of
Renal Disease (MDRD) formula to calculate the GFR of a
patient; it uses the age, sex, ethnicity and an equation based
on epidemiological data. This method is currently being
further refined and developed, since it was derived from
CKD patients and has a limited accuracy in people with a
GFR >60 mL/min/1.73m². The older Cockcroft-Gault formula
provides an estimated GFR based on plasma creatinine, sex,
age and body weight. The gold standard for the measure-
ment of GFR is the inulin infusion technique. Inulin lacks
one of the limitations of creatinine, as it is solely filtered.
However, since there is some creatinine secretion, this leads
to an overestimation of GFR at low levels of renal function.7

125I-IOthalamate, 51Cr-EDTA or 99mTc-DTPA are radioactive
markers that can be used in combination with renal imaging
to determine (split) GFR. Finally, there is substantial
interest in cystatin C to estimate GFR; however, this has not
yet entered clinical practice. For further discussion on the
use and limitations of methods to assess estimated GFR, the
reader is referred to the review by Stevens and colleagues.7

The Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (KDOQI)
is a classification system used to determine the stage of CKD,
which is staged from 1 to 5 based on the estimated GFR;
stage 5 is end-stage requiring renal replacement therapy.8

Typically, consequences of CKD, such as anemia, acidosis,
hyperkalemia and deranged calcium phosphorus balance,
become prominent at a GFR of less than 30 mL/min/1.73m²
(stage IV renal failure in the KDOQI guidelines). At this
threshold, it is typical to have a nephrologist involved in
the care of the patient. Proteinuria, initially screened for
using a semi-quantitative dipstick approach and refined
using an albumin to creatinine ratio in spot urine, is associ-
ated with faster progression of CKD. During diagnosis and
workup, the patient’s electrolytes should be examined for
secondary consequences of renal disease, such as hyperka-
lemia. Urinalysis abnormalities (other than the frequently
present hematuria due to the RCC itself) can indicate other
co-existing renal conditions. A nuclear scan can determine
split renal function.

Surgical considerations

In the absence of metastatic disease, radical nephrecto-
my (RN) for RCC, which was popularized by Dr. Charles
Robson, has proven to be very efficacious in treating RCC.9
However, partial (nephron-sparing) nephrectomy (PN) has
been shown to be equally effective for small, localized
tumours while preserving significantly greater renal mass
post-surgery.10 More recently, the size-limit of the tumour
is being challenged,11 while minimally invasive techniques
are being explored. First of all, laparoscopic PN seems
safe and effective, albeit specialized training and equip-
ment are essential.12 From there, cryoablation and radio-
frequency ablation have evolved. The procedures can be
performed using an open laparotomy, with laparoscopy,
but also transcutaneously. Results for cryoablation seem
somewhat more promising than for radiofrequency abla-
tion regarding recurrence rates.12 Factors that determine
the selection of the particular surgical therapy are related to
the tumour size, the localization of the tumour within the
kidney, the patient’s condition and the relevant experience
of the physician. There is support to treat T1 (and even T3)
tumours preferentially with PN,10,11,14 however, the use of PN
is thought to be underutilized.15 Even PN is currently being
applied for tumours herniating into the renal sinus.16 While
tumour outcomes are important, the patient’s age, overall
health, contralateral kidney function and the ability of the
remaining kidney to compensate should also be considered,
for the acute surgical risks and for the longer-term renal and
patient outcomes. There are alarming reports about the very
high frequency of renal pathology, particularly glomerulo-
sclerosis, in the non-tumourous tissue of nephrectomy spec-
mens. Bijol and colleagues report a very high incidence of
renal abnormalities, with only 25% of the kidneys showing
an entirely normal architecture.17 These abnormalities
were associated with a more pronounced decrease in renal
function after uninephrectomy, compared to patients with
normal parenchyma, as could be anticipated from studies
in living kidney donors.18 Related to the procedure itself,
renal artery clamping and renal cooling have been applied
to reduce bleeding. There are a number of studies showing
that this has no negative impact on renal function.19,21

Clamping, cooling and reconstruction are more difficult with
laparoscopic procedures, however newer hemostasis tech-
niques and sealants may make it unnecessary to perform
these potentially harmful procedures.

How does reduction in renal mass affect renal function
in the short and long term?

A reduction of renal mass (i.e., a decrease in the number
of nephrons) is followed by a decrease in renal function.
Inevitably, the removal of 50% of the renal mass by a RN
immediately reduces renal function to about half of its pre-
nephrectomy value. However, over a very short time, the
remaining contralateral kidney begins to compensate for the
loss in renal function.22 Along with a decrease in the number
of functioning nephrons, and with similar intake of food (salt)
and water, these remaining nephrons increase their function
to maintain fluid and electrolyte homeostasis. Over time,
chronic hyperfiltration, driven partly by an increase in glo-
merular pressure, will lead to renal damage or an accelerated
deterioration of pre-existing renal damage.23 These short- and
long-term structural and functional adaptations of the remain-
ing renal tissue need to be taken into consideration when
predicting renal compensation and outcomes.

**Functional and structural adaptations**

The GFR can be increased by glomerular pressure and increased filtration coefficient. Principle determinants of glomerular pressure are pre- and post-glomerular resistance, determined by the afferent and efferent arterioles, respectively. Decreasing afferent arteriolar resistance and increasing efferent arteriolar resistance will increase glomerular pressure. The renal vasculature is modulated by several vasoactive peptides including angiotensin II. The afferent arteriole resistance will be reduced by inhibition of angiotensin II, or by reducing the sympathetic input. After removal of the renal tissue, GFR of the ipsilateral and/or contralateral kidney need to be increased to maintain fluid and electrolyte balance, through adjustments in vasomotor control of the microvasculature. Following a nephrectomy, plasma volume will expand due to the decrease in renal excretory function. Consequently, angiotensin II formation is diminished, which will attenuate afferent arteriole tone allowing an increase in glomerular pressure and filtration. Inhibition of reno-renal nerve traffic after nephrectomy will contribute to vasodilatation in the remaining kidney. Finally, adaptations of the tubuloglomerular feedback system are required. Normally, an increase in fluid delivery to the macula densa, will initiate afferent arteriolar vasoconstriction due to activation of the tubuloglomerular feedback (TGF) system. After the nephrectomy, the TGF system has to allow an increase in GFR in the remaining renal tissue by allowing higher early distal flow rates.

Following a loss in renal mass, the remaining tissue will also attempt to compensate by structural changes that commence immediately. Renal tissue undergoes growth following nephron loss and is the result of both hypertrophy and hyperplasia. Renal hypertrophy is the result of the stimulation of translation in the cell cycle, as well as the suppression of growth inhibitory genes; it occurs early with a greater influence on the compensatory growth compared to hyperplasia. In a healthy organism with healthy kidneys, these adaptations take place without negative consequences for renal function in the long-run, despite the fact that glomerular hypertension and hyperfiltration can cause glomerular damage.

**Consequences of experimental reduction in renal mass**

Experimental studies have indicated that renal mass reduction, through a wide range of mechanisms, accelerates the progression of renal disease. Considering diabetic nephropathy, Levine and colleagues reported that there was a significant increase in the ratio of mesangial matrix surface to the glomerular surface, indicative of progressive diabetic nephropathy, in response to nephrectomy. In a model of spontaneous proteinuric renal failure with mild hypertension (the Fawn-hooded rat), unilateral nephrectomy accelerated proteinuria and glomerulosclerosis compared to a sham-operated control group. Finally, acceleration of renal injury was shown in obese Zucker rat models. While these experimental studies cannot be replicated in humans, it is reasonable to assume that similar consequences would occur to subjects with RCC, who are likely to be older and have a high prevalence diabetes, hypertension and obesity. These studies, among dozens of other similar studies in a large variety of models for hypertension, diabetes and kidney disease, illustrate that in a diseased animal (including humans) or an animal where there is already one existing factor, increasing glomerular capillary pressure with a reduction in renal mass is accompanied by an acceleration of renal disease.

**Exploring theoretical scenarios for the progression of renal disease after nephrectomy**

Several scenarios can be envisioned about the decline in renal function after nephrectomy. Two basic phenomena determine long-term renal outcome: (1) the reduction in renal function due to the nephrectomy, and (2) the rate of progression of renal disease prior to and after the surgery. A patient with normal renal function undergoing a nephrectomy is depicted in Fig. 1 (part a). As the patient ages, there is an expected decline in GFR prior to the nephrectomy. Compared to the normal age-related decline in GFR, the rate of decline in patients with renal disease is accelerated. Nephrectomy is associated with an acute and significant drop in the GFR, due to the immediate loss of renal mass. From this post-nephrectomy baseline GFR, there are variable rates at which the progression of CKD may occur. Firstly, the reduction of mass could cause an accelerated rate of GFR decline (labelled 1), which leads to a much earlier need for renal replacement therapy and a significant drop in quality of life. Secondly, the decline in GFR may progress at a rate similar to the pre-surgery. Finally, the rate of the decline in GFR may actually become delayed as a result of medications, such as angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, initiated after surgery; these medications may allow the patient to attain renal replacement-free survival to the average life expectancy.

The extent of the renal mass reduction determines the immediate decrease in renal function. Without considering the post-nephrectomy rate of decline, Fig. 1 (part b) depicts the immediate drop in GFR at the time of surgery. The use of PN indicates a much less severe decrease in GFR following the nephrectomy compared to the RN plot. A more realistic depiction of what may be expected of patient’s renal outcomes following a reduction of mass is shown in Fig. 1 (part c). As shown, a more pronounced reduction of mass will result in a greater immediate decline in GFR at the point of
surgery, while also have a significantly accelerated rate of decline in GFR after the procedure. These patients are at the highest risk of reaching end-stage renal disease and requiring renal replacement therapy. As shown, PN, which removes significantly less renal mass, maintains an adequate GFR, and likely comes with a minor acceleration in the decline of the GFR after the nephrectomy. The figure projects the potential outcome of 15% and 30% partial reductions, as well as a 50% radical reduction in renal mass (Fig. 1, part c).

**What is known about renal function after nephrectomy in humans?**

Long-term assessment of healthy kidney donors indicate that there is a decrease in creatinine clearance by about 30% (GFR), a low risk of proteinuria and a negligible risk of developing end-stage renal disease following kidney donation. The adaptive hyperfunction of the residual kidney after a unilateral nephrectomy has a very rapid onset; effective renal plasma flow has been shown to increase by about 30% as early as 1 week after surgery and remains elevated for an extended period of time (>10 years). It was also found that, after surgery, the large remaining renal mass had a greater ability to compensate for the loss. In 2 recent reports, healthy kidney donors were compared to the general population or matched controls, and were found to have no differences in urinary albumin excretion, GFR, prevalence of hypertension, quality of life, survival and risk of end-stage renal disease over long-term follow-up. On the other hand, meta-analyses have reported higher proteinuria and a post-surgical GFR that was 10 mL/min lower than that of the controls, as well as an increase in blood pressure compared to controls. It should be noted that living kidney donors are carefully selected and typically younger and generally healthier than the general population and therefore may be expected to have better outcomes than controls. The patients that are diagnosed with RCC are older, may have had a long history of smoking and/or hypertension, may have developed type II diabetes and are more likely to be obese; as such, outcomes after nephrectomy may be materially different.

Patients with T1 tumours, as staged by TNM (<7 cm), have been found to have greater than a 90% 5-year survival rate, indicating that caregivers should put a greater emphasis on renal outcomes of this patient population. A study by Huang and colleagues found that a total of 192 out of 662 patients (29%) developed a new onset of GFR lower than 60 mL/min/1.73m² and 105 out of 662 patients (16%) developed new onset of GFR lower than 45 mL/min/1.73m² following nephrectomy. This study supports the notion that patients who undergo a RN have a significantly higher risk of developing moderately severe CKD and therefore may expe-
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experience negative effects on life span and quality of life. To this extent, RN has been found to be associated with decreased overall survival in patients under 65 years old with T1a (<4 cm) tumours. When a patient is eligible for both a PN and a RN, the maintenance of renal function should be highly prioritized to avoid the risk of renal insufficiency after surgery. Lau and colleagues addressed the long-term outcomes on the treatment of unilateral RCC. They report that 22% and 11.6% of patients that underwent RN and PN, respectively, reached chronic renal failure within 10 years following surgery. Unfortunately, no rate of progression of renal disease was described and the GFR was not estimated. Another such study reports that within months following a PN, 7.5% of patients reached chronic renal failure. These findings indicate the importance of renal function in patients with RCC; however, the literature in this field is still relatively scarce stressing the need for further studies.

How does decreased renal function affect acute and chronic consequences of treatment for RCC?

There are several pertinent considerations regarding surgery in patients with moderate to severe renal insufficiency (KDOQI stage III/IV). These include uremic thrombopathy, renal anemia, a tendency to become fluid overloaded, hyperkalemia and increased susceptibility for infection. Moreover, patients with CKD have an increased risk of developing acute kidney injury peroperatively. Besides these issues surrounding surgery, CKD may limit the application of biologic response modifiers, such as interleukin-2, interferon-alpha and the new tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). Current TKIs target vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and include sunitinib and sorafenib for healthy and intermediate-risk patients, while temsirolimus (an mTOR inhibitor) is the first-line therapy for patients with high-risk stratification. Bevacizumab is an agent similar to the TKIs, however its mechanism differs as it is an antibody against VEGF. VEGF is intrinsically involved in the maintenance of the integrity of the glomerular ultrafilter, since it contributes to nitric oxide production. Moreover, VEGF is involved in regulation of vascular tone and blood pressure by acting directly on the blood vessels, and by altering nitric oxide actions in the kidney, where nitric oxide acts as a natriuretic factor. It is therefore not surprising that the administration of inhibitors of the actions of VEGF is associated with hypertension and proteinuria. This has been reported for sorafenib, sunitinib and temsirolimus. A recent review has indi-

Fig. 2. Proposal for a decision scheme to evaluate renal function and referral to a nephrologist prior to surgery.
cated that in case of sunitinib to treat RCC, the incidence of renal dysfunction can be as high as 66%. Moreover, several cases have now been described where VEGF inhibitors have caused thrombotic microangiopathy. Although further investigation is required, the current treatment protocols for RCC may introduce a wide array of additional risks to the health of the patient (Table 1).

**Summary**

Choosing RN for a patient with unhealthy and functionally impaired renal tissue may result in severe renal complications immediately or in the long-term; more conservative PN may put the patient at a higher risk of reoccurrence depending on the stage of the tumour. Given the increased detection, earlier stage migration and increasing life expectancy, we suggest a very careful and systematic assessment of the RCC patient with respect to renal function (Fig. 2). The rationale for the threshold of GFR choice is as follows. A GFR >90 mL/min/1.73m² is considered to be normal. There is currently large dispute about stage III CKD. The general consensus is that the range of GFR from 30 to 60 mL/min/1.73m² is too large; there are proposals being developed to subclassify into IIIa (45 to 60 mL/min/1.73m²) and IIIb (30 to 45 mL/min/1.73m²), since the secondary manifestations, such as renal anemia and renal bone disease, typically start to occur below a GFR of 45 mL/min/1.73m², but are absent or very mild with a GFR >45 mL/min/1.73m². In a case where the initial GFR exceeds 90 mL/min/1.73m², chances are very low that the patient, without complications, will develop a uremic syndrome after nephrectomy. In the case were GFR is below this threshold, CKD complications may occur, particularly if renal function is not symmetrical.

It cannot be overemphasized that the RCC patient, given the age at presentation, will very frequently present with mild to moderate renal dysfunction, and a myriad of risk factors for CKD progression. We advocate for a strategy to develop regional guidelines for the renal evaluation of patients with RCC, which would include a joint discussion between the nephrologist and the oncologic team.

We have identified 2 patient groups diagnosed with RCC that can be further dichotomized. Firstly, we can differentiate between patients with mild to moderate CKD, from those with severe CKD. Patients who have mild to moderate CKD may present with metastatic or non-metastatic disease. Several considerations must be taken for these patients, including the assessment of the severity of renal disease, rate of progression and consequences of a radical nephrectomy. Along with the same considerations as with patients with non-metastatic disease, patients with metastatic disease must also consider the effects of TKIs. Patients with severe CKD are somewhat limited in treatment options. Patients with metastatic disease with severe CKD must consider the consequences of the TK inhibition, as well as consider the acute peri-surgical consequences. These patients must also be prepared for hemodialysis following a RN. Last but not least, it should be mentioned that renal failure comes with a very substantial cardiovascular risk. It should be noted that the overall chance of dying while on dialysis is about 10% per year.

In this review, we have conveyed concerns about renal function and the surgical treatment of RCC. Although the current TNM staging criteria provide a fairly reliable tool for providing a prognosis to the patient, refinements in the cut-offs of TNM stages can still be made. Ultimately, an adjustment in the tumour staging will increase the number of RCC patients eligible for more conservative PN due to the increase in the number of stage T1 and T2 patients.
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