

# Radiographic predictors of muscle-invasive upper tract urothelial cancer

## A Canadian cohort

David Chung<sup>1</sup>, Ryan Ramjiawan<sup>1</sup>, Dhiraj S. Bal<sup>2</sup>, Robert Wightman<sup>3</sup>, Jasmir G. Nayak<sup>1,4</sup>, Jeffery W. Saranchuk<sup>1</sup>, Rahul K. Bansal<sup>5</sup>, Ardalan E. Ahmad<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Section of Urology, Department of Surgery, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Max Rady College of Medicine, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada; <sup>4</sup>Men's Health Clinic Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada; <sup>5</sup>Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

Cite as: Chung D, Ramjiawan R, Bal DS, et al. Radiographic predictors of muscle-invasive upper tract urothelial cancer: A Canadian cohort. *Can Urol Assoc J* 2024;18(12):413-8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5489/cuaj.8817>

Published online July 15, 2024

See related commentary on page 419

### ABSTRACT

**INTRODUCTION:** Accurate diagnostic staging of upper tract urothelial cancer (UTUC) is challenging. Endoscopic staging is limited by its ability to provide adequate sampling of deeper layers of the ureter and renal pelvis. Further ability to accurately predict invasive disease would aid in better selecting the appropriate treatment for patients. We aimed to analyze the ability of preoperative cross-sectional radiologic findings to predict pathologic outcomes, including tumor grade, muscle-invasive disease, and presence of lymphovascular invasion (LVI).

**METHODS:** All patients diagnosed with localized UTUC (cN0M0) who underwent nephroureterectomy between February 2012 and December 2018 in Manitoba, Canada, were identified. Preoperative radiologic characteristics, including the presence and severity of hydronephrosis, as well as tumor location, were recorded. Patients' and pathologic characteristics were also recorded. Logistic regression analysis was used to assess the association between radiologic variables and pathologic outcomes at radical surgery.

**RESULTS:** A total of 112 pathology reports of patients with UTUC were obtained. The median age was 70 years (range 50–87), and 58.8% of patients were men. On univariate analysis, ureteric location on computed tomography (odds ratio [OR] 2.240, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.049–4.783,  $p=0.037$ ) and presence of hydronephrosis (OR 2.455, 95% CI 1.094–5.506,  $p=0.0029$ ) were each independently associated with locally invasive disease ( $\geq pT2$ ). No radiologic variables were found to be predictors of adverse pathology on multivariable analysis. Only the presence of hydronephrosis was associated with high-grade disease on univariate analysis (OR 2.533, 95% CI 1.083–5.931,  $p=0.032$ ).

**CONCLUSIONS:** Our findings suggest a limited role for cross-sectional imaging in predicting the presence of high-grade disease, LVI, or locally advanced disease in UTUC.

### INTRODUCTION

Upper tract urothelial cancer (UTUC) refers to malignant alterations in the urothelial cells forming the inner lining of the urinary tract ranging from the renal calyces, pelvis, or along the ureter to the ureteral orifice.<sup>1</sup> While UTUC is relatively uncommon and only accounts for 5% of all urothelial cancers, it is known for its invasive and potentially aggressive nature.<sup>1,2</sup> Approximately two-thirds of UTUC cases are invasive at the time of diagnosis. This compares to 15–25% invasiveness observed in muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC).<sup>3</sup> The ability to distinguish invasive UTUC is of great prognostic significance, as invasive disease is associated with worse outcomes, with five-year cancer-specific survival rates of 86% for non-muscle invasive UTUC and 70–77% for muscle-invasive disease.<sup>4,5</sup>

The current diagnostic workup for UTUC involves a combination of cross-sectional imaging (computed tomography urogram [CTU] is preferred or magnetic resonance urogram), diagnostic ureteroscopy (URS) and possible biopsy, and urine cytology; however, there are challenges associated with the use of URS and biopsy, including limitations in obtaining a conclusive biopsy to accurately determine disease stage and the potential for increased risk of intravesical recurrence.<sup>6,7</sup> Previous studies have indicated that certain radiologic variables, such as preoperative hydronephrosis and ureteral location, may serve as predictors of advanced pathologic stage.<sup>8–12</sup> The

KEY MESSAGES

- At multiple institutions in Manitoba, preoperative radiologic factors, such as severity of hydronephrosis, and location of tumor, were not significant predictors of adverse pathologic outcomes.
- Presence of hydronephrosis was predictive of T2 disease or higher on univariate analysis but was not found to be a significant predictor on our multivariate analysis.
- Overall, CT urogram was able to accurately predict location of tumor in 74.6% of patients; however, poor performance can be seen with proximal/renal pelvis tumors and carcinoma in situ.

ability to accurately determine disease stage has major clinical implications, including optimal patient selection for minimally invasive treatments and choosing patients who may benefit from neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC), which has shown promising results in phase 2 trials and systematic reviews.<sup>13,14</sup> Additionally, the ability to identify patients with higher-stage disease ( $\geq$ cT2) could help guide in selecting patients who should be considered for lymph node dissection.<sup>15</sup>

Given the opportunity to enhance the selection of the most appropriate treatment modalities for UTUC and improve patient outcomes, it would be important to determine whether preoperative radiologic characteristics are associated with surgical pathologic outcomes. The objective of this study was to evaluate the predictive ability of preoperative radiologic findings for pathologic outcomes, including tumor grade, invasive disease ( $\geq$ pT2), and the presence of lymphovascular invasion (LVI).

**METHODS**

We conducted a retrospective chart review using the Manitoba pathology database. This database stores all UTUC specimens for patients who underwent surgery in the province of Manitoba, Canada. Patients from four institutions (two tertiary care and two community-based hospitals) undergoing radical surgery with nephroureterectomy for localized UTUC (cN0M0) between February 1, 2012, and December 31, 2018, were included. Institutional review board approval was received (HS22554).

Patients and disease characteristics, including pathologic tumor grade, TNM stage, multifocality, presence of LVI, and preoperative cross-sectional imaging radiologic characteristics, were collected. Clinical nodal stage was based on cross-sectional imaging on radiologic reports. Specifically, the radiologic characteristics included were presence of hydronephrosis, severity of hydronephrosis, tumor location, and presence of lymphadenopathy. Characteristics were collected through available radiologic reports. Patients were excluded if missing pathology data and if radiologic reports did not comment on hydronephrosis, degree of hydronephrosis, and location of tumor on imaging. The degree of hydronephrosis was categorized into mild, moderate, or severe based on the radiology report. The location of the tumor on imaging was categorized as ureteric and/or renal pelvis/caliceal.

Descriptive statistics were used for baseline characteristics. Univariate and multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed using SPSS (version 29.0.2) to assess the predictive value of the following preoperative radiographic findings: hydronephrosis, severity of hydronephrosis, and tumor location on imaging. Two-sided statistical significance was set at  $p=0.05$ .

**RESULTS**

One hundred and twenty-two patients were diagnosed with localized UTUC (cN0M0) during the study period; 112 were included in the study, with 10 patients being excluded for either missing radiology data and/or reports that did not comment on degree of hydronephrosis or the location of the possible tumor (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Flow diagram depicting included and excluded patients.

Baseline characteristics, including patient demographics, tumor characteristics, and preoperative radiographic findings, are detailed in Tables 1 and 2. The median age was 70 years (50–87), and 58.8% of patients were men.

For tumor characteristics on the final pathologic specimen, 71.1% (n=81) were high-grade tumors and 22.8% (n=26) showed the presence of LVI. Fifty-two patients (45.6%) had pathologic stage  $\geq$ T2 on final pathology. For lymph node (LN) status on final pathologic specimen, 72% (n=81) were Nx, 19% (n=21) were N0, 3% (n=3) were N1, and 6% (n=7) were N2.

Regarding radiologic characteristics, 63.2% (n=72) had evidence of hydronephrosis on preoperative diagnostic imaging; 57 patients (50%) had ureteric tumors and 48.2% (n=55) had renal pelvis or caliceal tumors. CT scan was able to accurately assign the location of tumor on final pathology 74.6% of the time. On preoperative imaging, four patients had positive nodes detected on CT scan, all of which had N1 or N2 disease on final pathology. Four patients were identified to have sub-centimeter nodes, two of which had node-positive disease on final pathology. Finally, 104 patients did not have significant lymphadenopathy on preoperative imaging, however, four of these patients went on to have node-positive disease on final pathology.

On logistic regression analyses for predictors of pathologic stage of pT2 or higher, ureteric location on CT (odds ratio [OR] 2.240, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.049–4.783, p=0.037) and presence of hydronephrosis (OR 2.455, 95% CI 1.094–5.506, p=0.029) were found to be statistically significant predictors of  $\geq$ pT2 disease on univariate analysis (Table 3). On multivariable analysis, none of the radiologic variables were found to be significantly associated with  $\geq$ pT2 disease.

For predictors of high-grade disease on univariate analysis, only the presence of hydronephrosis was found to be a significant predictor (OR 2.533, 965% CI 1.083–5.931, p=0.032), while presence of moderate/severe hydronephrosis (OR 2.357, 95% CI 0.91–6.104, p=0.077) and ureteric location on CT (OR 1.979, 95% CI 0.850–4.608, p=0.113) did not reach significance. None of the above variables reached significance on multivariable analysis (Table 4).

Finally, for predictors of LVI, all three radiographic variables — presence of hydronephrosis, presence of moderate/severe hydronephrosis, and ureteric location on CT — were not significant predictors of LVI on univariate and multivariable analysis (Table 5).

**Table 1. Patient demographics**

Variable	Value
Total number of patients	112
Median age, years (range)	70 (50–87)
Male gender, n (%)	67 (58.8%)
Tumor location on CT, n (%)	
Ureteric location	57 (50)
Renal pelvic or above location	55 (48.2)
Not available	2 (1.8)
CT/pathology congruency of location, n (%)	85 (74.6%)
Presence of hydronephrosis, n (%)	72 (63.2)
Presence of moderate/severe hydronephrosis, n (%)	40 (35.1)
Pathologic grade, n (%)	
High	81 (71.1)
Low	31 (27.2)
Pathologic node status, n (%)	
Nx	81 (72%)
N0	21 (19%)
N1	3 (3%)
N2	7 (6%)
Presence of lymphovascular invasion, n (%)	26 (22.8)
Pathologic stage pT2 or higher, n (%)	52 (45.6%)

CT: computed tomography.

**Table 2. Tumor characteristics on final pathology**

Variable	Renal pelvic tumors (n=54)	Ureteral tumors (n=35)	Multifocal tumors (n=22)
Pathologic stage, n (%)			
Ta	23 (42.6)	10 (28.6)	6 (27.3)
T1	9 (16.7)	4 (11.4)	4 (18.2)
T2	5 (9.3)	6 (17.1)	3 (13.6)
T3	13 (24.1)	14 (40)	6 (27.3)
T4	3 (5.6)	0 (0)	2 (9)
Tis	1 (1.9)	1 (2.9)	6 (27.3)
Grade, n (%)			
High	35 (64.8)	29 (82.9)	16 (72.7)
Low	19 (35.2)	6 (17.1)	6 (27.3)
Presence of lymphovascular invasion, n (%)	9 (16.7)	8 (22.9)	8 (36.4)

### DISCUSSION

There has been an increasing interest in preoperative predictors of advanced disease in UTUC. The ability to accurately determine disease stage has major clinical implications, including optimal patient selection for minimally invasive treatments and choosing patients who may benefit from NAC, which has shown promising results in phase 2 trials and systematic reviews.<sup>13,14</sup>

Despite advancements in imaging technologies, modern cross-sectional imaging is limited in its ability to accurately predict the degree of invasion for UTUC. Recent studies have investigated the utility of

preoperative radiographic characteristics to predict invasive UTUC.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the predictive ability of preoperative radiologic findings for pathologic outcomes, including tumor grade, muscle-invasive disease, and the presence of LVI. We found that the presence of hydronephrosis on preoperative imaging and ureteric tumor location are associated with pathologic stage  $\geq$ pT2 on univariate regression analysis but not on multivariable analysis. Although cross-sectional imaging was also able to correctly assign the tumor location on final pathology 74% of the time, ureteroscopic examination of the collecting system should strongly be considered in patients who are deemed suitable candidates for segmental ureterectomy.

In our multi-institutional, retrospective cohort study, hydronephrosis was found to be an independent predictor for  $\geq$ pT2 disease and high-grade disease on final pathology; however, the degree of hydronephrosis was not a significant predictor of worse pathologic outcomes. On multivariable analysis, hydronephrosis was not associated with worse pathologic outcomes.

Presence of hydronephrosis on preoperative imaging has been proposed as a predictor of advanced pathologic stage. The prevailing hypotheses are that more advanced tumors lead to progressive luminal obstruction and subsequent hydronephrosis. This relationship has been demonstrated in multiple studies.<sup>1,2</sup> Messer et al showed that hydronephrosis was associated with  $\geq$ pT2 disease, high-grade, and non-organ-confined disease on regression analysis adjusting for tumor location.<sup>3</sup> This was similar to what was seen in our study; however, this relationship was not seen on multivariable analysis once adjusting for the tumor location.

There have been conflicting studies in terms of using preoperative hydronephrosis as a prognostic predictor.<sup>4,5,16</sup> A recent systematic review and meta-analysis was published by Ye et al to provide further understanding of this relationship.<sup>6</sup> Of the 7542 patients included, they

**Table 3. Logistic regression analysis of association of radiologic variables and presence of pT2 or higher disease**

Variable	Univariate analysis		Multivariable analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	p
Presence of hydronephrosis	2.455 (1.094–5.506)	0.029	1.770 (0.709–4.414)	0.221
Presence of moderate/severe hydronephrosis	1.250 (0.576–2.173)	0.572	1.880 (0.708–4.997)	0.205
Ureteric location on CT	2.240 (1.049–4.783)	0.037	1.831 (0.778–4.310)	0.166

CI: confidence interval; CT: computed tomography; OR: odds ratio.

**Table 4. Logistic regression analysis of association of radiologic variables and presence of high-grade tumor**

Variable	Univariate analysis		Multivariable analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	p
Presence of hydronephrosis	2.533 (1.083–5.931)	0.032	2.081 (0.863–5.017)	0.103
Presence of moderate/severe hydronephrosis	2.357 (0.91–6.104)	0.077	2.494 (0.923–6.735)	0.071
Ureteric location on CT	1.979 (0.850–4.608)	0.113	2.187 (0.871–5.489)	0.096

CI: confidence interval; CT: computed tomography; OR: odds ratio.

**Table 5. Logistic regression analysis of association of radiologic variables and presence of lymphovascular invasion**

Variable	Univariate analysis		Multivariable analysis	
	OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	p
Presence of hydronephrosis	1.690 (0.641–4.456)	0.289	1.475 (0.543–4.008)	0.446
Presence of moderate/severe hydronephrosis	1.167 (0.471–2.887)	0.739	2.326 (0.713–7.586)	0.713–7.586
Ureteric location on CT	1.167 (0.484–2.811)	0.484–2.811	1.001 (0.404–2.478)	0.998

CI: confidence interval; CT: computed tomography; OR: odds ratio.

found that preoperative hydronephrosis was significantly associated with worse pathologic outcomes, as well as worse oncologic outcomes, such as overall survival, cancer-specific survival, and disease-free survival.<sup>6</sup>

In terms of the relationship between hydronephrosis and LVI, it has been postulated that the increased intraluminal pressure due to hydronephrosis may cause counterflow, promoting tumor seeding.<sup>7</sup> LVI is an important pathologic variable to consider, as it has been associated with a worse prognosis. In our cohort, the presence of hydronephrosis, the severity of hydronephrosis, and ureteric tumor location on preoperative imaging were not independent predictors of LVI on multivariable analysis.

It has previously been demonstrated that hydronephrosis was associated with LVI; however, there are some key differences in these respective studies. Ito et al did not include tumors of caliceal location while having objective measures of hydronephrosis seen on radiology reports.<sup>2</sup> Other studies demonstrating an association between hydronephrosis and LVI/lymph node involvement were only among patients with high-grade disease seen on the final pathology specimen, which we elected not to include in our analysis, as this information would not be available during preoperative risk stratification.<sup>7,17</sup> An argument can be made that data can be extrapolated to specimens obtained endoscopically; however, there can be significant discordance between biopsy specimen tumor grade and final pathology, especially in larger upper tract urothelial tumors.<sup>16</sup>

In our study, ureteric location was found to be a predictor of  $\geq pT2$  disease on univariate analysis only. There is increasing evidence that patients with ureteral tumors, compared to renal pelvic tumors, demonstrate a worse prognosis and outcome. Multiple studies have demonstrated that ureteric location of the tumor on the final pathology specimen is associated with more advanced disease and higher incidence of LVI.<sup>9-11</sup> The prevailing hypothesis is that the thinner muscularis layer of the ureter makes this location more prone to the development of more invasive disease, as well as lymphovascular spread. Interestingly, Lwin et al and Williams et al found that tumor location does not appear to have any influence on long-term oncologic outcomes.<sup>12,13</sup> Nonetheless, the European Association of Urology UTUC guidelines currently do not recommend using the primary ureteric location of a tumor to stratify patients into the high-risk category.

Our study was unique in using the location of tumor on preoperative imaging as an independent predictor, as this may be a more clinically meaningful predictor than tumor location on final pathology, which would

---

“ False-negatives may be possible with CTU, as there is evidence that small tumors and CIS may be missed on cross-sectional imaging. ”

---

not be applicable for pre-treatment decision-making; however, ureteric location on CT was only shown to be an independent predictor on univariate analysis, not multivariate analysis.

While the ability to use the location of tumor on preoperative imaging to help risk-stratify UTUC patients may be more practical in real-world practice, an important question is how accurately axial imaging can predict location of tumors. In our cohort, preoperative imaging was able to correctly identify the location of the primary tumor on final pathology in 75% of cases. CTU has the highest diagnostic accuracy, with a meta-analysis demonstrating a pooled sensitivity and specificity of 92% and 95%, respectively.<sup>14</sup>

The ability of imaging to accurately localize the location of tumor is less understood. A retrospective study of 275 patients by Abouelkheir et al found that CTU had an overall accuracy of 97%; however, in terms of detecting the location of tumor on final pathology, results were variable, with CTU showing the highest accuracy in diagnosing location of renal pelvis and distal ureteric tumors and poor performance for calyceal and proximal tumors.<sup>15</sup> It is still important to acknowledge that false-negatives may be possible with CTU, as there is evidence that small tumors and carcinoma in situ (CIS) may be missed on cross-sectional imaging.<sup>18,19</sup> Further prospective studies are required to further investigate the ability of CTU in accurately determining tumor location to see if it could potentially replace the need for ureteroscopy.

### Limitations

There are limitations to our study. This was a retrospective study with inherent limitations attributable to its design. Our sample size was also limited, given the rare incidence of UTUC in the population, therefore, our multivariate analysis was likely underpowered. Additionally, for the presence and severity of hydronephrosis, we relied on radiologic reports, as no central review by a radiologist or urologist was conducted.

Cross-sectional imaging was reported by various radiologists, which may introduce interobserver variabil-

ity, affecting variables such as degree of hydronephrosis and nodal status and limiting our results. Furthermore, we acknowledge multifocality is an important prognosticator; however, given the limitations inherent to relying on radiology reports, this information was not able to be reliably captured.

Given the variability and inconsistent reporting, certain radiographic findings, such as periureteral invasion suggestive of invasive disease and tumor size, were not included in this study. There is also the possibility of selection bias, as only candidates for surgical intervention were included and patients with missing preoperative radiographic findings were not included.

We were also unable to assess other variables that may have had a role in the pathologic outcome, including delay from diagnosis to surgery, biopsy grade, tumor size on imaging, tumor multifocality, and other clinical factors.

## CONCLUSIONS

At multiple institutions in Manitoba, preoperative radiologic factors, such as hydronephrosis and tumor location, were not significant predictors of T2 disease or higher; however, further larger- scale studies are required to elucidate the role of preoperative radiologic findings in predicting pathologic outcomes.

COMPETING INTERESTS: The authors do not report any competing personal or financial interests related to this work

This paper has been peer reviewed.

## REFERENCES

1. Cho KS, Hong SJ, Cho NH, Choi YD. Grade of hydronephrosis and tumor diameter as preoperative prognostic factors in ureteral transitional cell carcinoma. *Urology* 2007;70:662-666. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2007.06.1106>
2. Ito Y, Kikuchi E, Tanaka N, et al. Preoperative hydronephrosis grade independently predicts worse pathological outcomes in patients undergoing nephroureterectomy for upper tract urothelial carcinoma. *J Urol* 2011;185:1621-1626. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2010.12.035>
3. Messer JC, Terrell JD, Herman MP, et al. Multi-institutional validation of the ability of preoperative hydronephrosis to predict advanced pathologic tumor stage in upper-tract urothelial carcinoma. *Urol Oncol Semin Orig Investig* 2013;31:904-908. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2011.07.011>
4. Ng CK, Shariat SF, Lucas SM, et al. Does the presence of hydronephrosis on preoperative axial CT imaging predict worse outcomes for patients undergoing nephroureterectomy for upper-tract urothelial carcinoma? *Urol Oncol Semin Orig Investig* 2011;29:27-32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2008.10.023>

5. Bozzini G, Nison L, Colin P, et al. Influence of preoperative hydronephrosis on the outcome of urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract after nephroureterectomy: The results from a multi-institutional French cohort. *World J Urol*. 2013;31:83-91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00345-012-0964-4>
6. Ye T, Yang X, Lv P, et al. Prognostic value of preoperative hydronephrosis in patients undergoing radical nephroureterectomy for upper tract urinary carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Oncol* 2020;10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.600511>
7. Qian S, Liang C, Ding Y, et al. Preoperative hydronephrosis predicts adverse pathological features and postoperative survival in patients with high-grade upper tract urothelial carcinoma. *Int Braz J Urol* 2021;47:159-68. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1677-5538.ibju.2020.0021>
8. Hurel S, Rouprêt M, Seisen T, et al. Influence of preoperative factors on the oncologic outcome for upper urinary tract urothelial carcinoma after radical nephroureterectomy. *World J Urol* 2015;33:335-41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00345-014-1311-8>
9. Kaczmarek K, Lemniński A, Gołqb A, et al. Survival differences of patients with ureteral versus pelvic/lyceal tumors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Med Sci* 2021;17:603-12. <https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2019.89893>
10. Lee H-Y, Li C-C, Huang C-N, et al. Prognostic significance of lymphovascular invasion in upper urinary tract urothelial carcinoma is influenced by tumor location. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2015;22:1392-1400. <https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-014-4103-x>
11. Waseda Y, Saito K, Ishioka J, et al. Ureteral involvement is associated with poor prognosis in upper urinary tract urothelial carcinoma patients treated by nephroureterectomy: A multicenter database study. *Eur Urol Focus* 2016;2:296-302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euf.2015.10.008>
12. Lwin AA, Hsu C-H, Chipollini J. Urothelial carcinoma of the renal pelvis and ureter: Does location make a difference? *Clin Genitourin Cancer* 2020;18:45-49.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clgc.2019.10.023>
13. Williams AK, Kassouf W, Chin J, et al. Multifocality rather than tumor location is a prognostic factor in upper tract urothelial carcinoma. *Urol Oncol Semin Orig Investig* 2013;31:1161-1165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2011.12.004>
14. Janisch F, Shariat SF, Baltzer P, et al. Diagnostic performance of multidetector computed tomographic (MDCTU) in upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC): A systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Urol* 2020;38:1165-1175. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00345-019-02875-8>
15. Abouelkheir RT, Elawdy MM, Taha DE, et al. The accuracy of computed tomography in the diagnosis of upper urinary tract urothelial carcinoma in correlation with the final histopathology: A retrospective study in 275 patients at a Tertiary Urology Institute. *Urol Ann* 2021;13:356-61. [https://doi.org/10.4103/UA.UA\\_32\\_20](https://doi.org/10.4103/UA.UA_32_20)
16. Mori K, Katayama S, Laukhina E, et al. Discordance between clinical and pathological staging and grading in upper tract urothelial carcinoma. *Clin Genitourin Cancer* 2022;20:95.e1-95.e6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clgc.2021.10.002>
17. Chung PH, Krabbe LM, Darwish OM, et al. Degree of hydronephrosis predicts adverse pathological features and worse oncologic outcomes in patients with high-grade urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract. *Urol Oncol* 2014;32:981-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2014.02.018>
18. Wang LJ, Wong YC, Ng KF, et al. Tumor characteristics of urothelial carcinoma on multidetector computerized tomography urography. *J Urol* 2010;183:2154-60.
19. Cowan NC, Turney BW, Taylor NJ, et al. Multidetector computed tomography urography for diagnosing upper urinary tract urothelial tumor. *BJU Int* 2007;99:1363-70.

CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. David Chung, Section of Urology, Department of Surgery, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada; chungt3@myumanitoba.ca