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Abstract

Incarceration or strangulation of the penis is a rare clinical situation that requires emergent urologic management to prevent potentially devastating outcomes. Many different techniques have been described in the literature to remove genital foreign objects, but there is no universally successful technique. We present an unusual and challenging case involving incarceration of both the penis and scrotum by multiple metallic rings that required operative removal using an orthopedic high-speed drill.

Case report

An otherwise healthy 53-year-old male presented to the emergency room of the Royal Alexandra Hospital in Edmonton, Alberta, with complaints of worsening penile and scrotal pain and swelling. On history, he had placed 7 metallic rings around his penis and his scrotum 72 hours earlier for auto-erotic purposes. He had tried multiple times to remove these rings himself, but was successful only in removing 1 ring using a hand-held household rotary motor tool with circular cutting attachment 6 hours prior to his presentation.

Physical examination demonstrated a grossly edematous penis and scrotum which were incarcerated by 6 stainless steel rings of various dimensions, but in total measuring 8 cm in length. His scrotal skin was intact, but it was cool and dusky and demonstrated diminished sensation (Fig. 1) (Fig. 2). With both his scrotum and penis incarcerated through these rings, it was impossible to manually remove them; it also proved impossible to cut them off using standard bolt-cutters as there was no room between the individual rings given the amount of tissue edema present. Given the appearance of impeding skin necrosis and the unsuccessful removal of these rings in the emergency room, he was taken immediately to the operating room (Fig. 3). Orthopedic surgery consultation intra-operatively suggested the use of the Midas-Rex pneumatic drill with metal cutting carbide attachment (Medtronic Inc., Fort Worth, TX). This tool was used successfully to safely remove all 6 steel rings without tissue trauma in a total operative time of 45 minutes (Fig. 4). A slim, orthopedic periosteal elevator was used to protect the underlying skin during the cutting and the metal was cooled with the continuous flow of sterile water through a continuous bladder irrigation set-up. After the removal of the rings, the underlying skin was intact and showed no evidence of breakdown or necrosis. The patient was discharged on postoperative day 2 in excellent condition.

Discussion

Incarceration or strangulation of the penis by constricting devices, such as metal rings, is rare with only 60 reported cases in the literature. Incarceration of both the penis...
and the scrotum is even more rare with only 2 other cases described.\textsuperscript{2,15} Both situations represent urologic emergencies as the consequences of vascular occlusion of the genitalia, such as penile skin loss, erectile dysfunction, urethral-cutaneous fistula, and even penile loss, can be devastating.\textsuperscript{16} Numerous methods of object removal have been described in the literature, but none are universally applicable given the wide variation in patient presentation and type of constricting device. Prompt recognition and urgent decompression of the involved tissues are required to avoid these complications. Santucci and colleagues have described the emergency room use of a fire department air driven circular grinder to remove 2 metal penile foreign bodies.\textsuperscript{17} However, we feel that the use of a widely available precision surgical tool in the operating room is the safest approach to remove genital constricting devices refractory to other methods.
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