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Abstract

We report the clinical, radiographic and pathological findings of polyorchidism and a right-sided abdominal seminoma found in a 28-year-old man who presented with cryptorchidism in childhood and who later underwent an orchiectomy for a left-sided seminoma. Pathological analysis of the abdominal tumour revealed the existence of a classic seminoma bordered by a rim of non-tumour tissue and remnants of epididymis. We propose that patients who present with cryptorchidism be assessed for polyorchidism as this might be the source of additional primary tumours.

CASE REPORT

Two primary seminomas in a patient with polyorchidism

Introduction

Polyorchidism is rare. Several case reports and reviews have been published in which polyorchidism is shown to present in a variety of ways, including torsion, swelling, hernia or malignancy. However, to our knowledge there have not been any that have presented with bilateral malignancy, and in particular, seminoma. We describe the case of a patient originally diagnosed with a left-sided seminoma but later found to have a contralateral seminoma at an abdominally located third testicle.

Case Report

A 28-year-old man with a remote surgical history of right-sided orchiectomy for an undescended testicle during childhood presented in May 2003 with left-sided testicular pain and swelling. Ultrasound analysis of the left-sided lesion showed a hyperechoic mass that nearly replaced normal tissue in the left testicle, which was indicative of malignancy. He underwent a left radical orchiectomy in June 2003 and pathological analysis revealed a germ cell tumour with features of a seminoma. There was no invasion of the tunica albuginea or the spermatic cord, and surgical margins were negative.

The patient underwent staging with a CT scan of the head, which was negative for malignancy. Thus the lesion was not investigated further at this point in time.

The patient had a routine follow up in December 2003, at which time he was well. A CT scan of his abdomen was unremarkable, except for the nodule juxtaposed to the liver. In March 2004 a radiologist was asked to review all of his imaging in an effort to ascertain the nature of this lesion. The opinion at the time was that this could be an exophytic hemangioma, although a malignancy could not be ruled out.

In April 2004 an ultrasound-guided needle biopsy performed to re-examine this lesion showed that the mass had grown to 3.9 × 3.3 × 2.4 cm. Additionally, the cores contained malignant tumour, with features of classic seminoma. This was adjacent to non-tumour testicular tissues, including atrophic seminiferous tubules, fragments of skeletal muscle and fibrocollagenous hyaline tissue.
The patient underwent a laparoscopic excision of this parahepatic mass in July of 2004. Grossly, the mass was attached to fibroadipose tissue at one of its poles, with testicular appendices noted near the site of the attachment. On sectioning, there was a homogeneous, creamy white surface with dilated and congested blood vessels (Fig. 2). Microscopic analysis revealed a classic seminoma with scattered dystrophic calcification and no nonseminomatous components. There was no extratesticular extension of the tumour, and there was evidence of non-tumour testis forming a rim around the tumour, with areas of hemorrhage. This residual atrophic testis was separated from the tumour by a thin fibrous capsule. In addition, within the area of the normal testis, there were occasional tubules containing atypical cells consistent with intratubular germ cell neoplasia. Further, at the periphery of the testis there were some tubular structures resembling rete testis. Pathology of the fibroadipose tissue attached to the pole of this testis as well as the testicular appendices revealed portions of epididymis.

In August 2004 the patient was well. He was started on oral testosterone by the endocrinology service and in consultation with the radiation oncologist, medical oncologist and urologist, surveillance was assessed to be the best option from this point onward, with the option of chemotherapy in the event of recurrence. The patient has been followed every 3 months with a CT scan of his chest and abdomen since August 2004, and he has been well with no recurrence of disease.

Discussion

Factors controlling the embryological descent of the testes are not entirely clear. Testicular descent occurs in 2 stages: the transabdominal phase and the inguinoscrotal phase. The transabdominal phase starts at about 8–15 weeks of development and at this time the testis is attached to the posterior abdominal wall by the urogenital mesentery. In the male, the caudal end of this attachment becomes the caudal genito-inguinal ligament, also known as the gubernaculum. The gubernaculum begins to enlarge under the control of a newly described hormone, Insulin-like hormone 3 (Insl3), that is made by the Leydig cells. An existing cranial suspensory ligament also begins to regress under the influence of testosterone.

During the inguinoscrotal phase (at 25–35 weeks) the gubernaculum grows out from the abdominal wall and physically migrates into the scrotum. Inside the gubernaculum, the processus vaginalis enlarges to create an extension of the abdominal cavity to the scrotum. The direction of migration of the gubernaculum (followed by the testes) occurs along the genitofemoral nerve and is thought to be under the control of calcitonin gene related peptide (CGRP).

Congenital cryptorchidism is caused by the failure of gubernacular migration to the scrotum. In contrast, acquired cryptorchidism is caused by the failure of spermatic cord elongation, usually later in life, between the ages of 5 and 10 years. Polyorchidism is defined as 3 or more testes. It is an uncommon anomaly thought to arise from duplication of the genital ridge during embryological development. Although rare, there have been several reviews in the literature. Individual case reports have shown polyorchidism to present as a painless mass, torsion, inguinal hernia or malignancy. The patient’s age at presentation has been variable and treatment is generally surgical.

We present the case of a young man originally thought to have a cryptorchid testicle and a contralateral seminoma. Subsequent follow-up revealed another primary seminoma arising from a third ectopic testicle located in the patient’s abdomen. We propose that patients who present with cryptorchidism be assessed for polyorchidism by CT.
scan or MRI of the abdomen and pelvis, and serum testosterone, as polyorchidism might be a source of rare additional primary germ cell tumours.

**Conclusion**

This case highlights the importance of considering polyorchidism in patients who present with a cryptorchid testicle in childhood. While this is a known risk factor for seminoma in the contralateral testicle, it is not known whether it is also a risk factor for seminoma in an ectopically located testicle that may be present owing to a developmental anomaly. Indeed, the small numbers of patients who present with polyorchidism make the association between cryptorchidism, polyorchidism and ectopic seminoma difficult to determine. We recommend that patients who do present with cryptorchidism be closely assessed to determine whether they have a polyorchid testicle, as this may be the site of a new primary seminoma.
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