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ABSTRACT
Salvage radical prostatectomy for localized radio-recurrent prostate cancer has historically been associated with significant morbidity. Prospectively collected data long-term data on salvage whole-gland cryoablation and, to a lesser extent, high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU), have shown they are viable treatment alternatives. This article chronicles the experience (cryoablation, n=187; HIFU, n=81) in a high-volume Canadian center and reviews the literature on other salvage ablative therapies. Whole-gland salvage ablation has yielded oncologic results comparable to those of salvage prostatectomy, with cancer-specific survival and metastatic-free survival of approximately 80%, and biochemical disease-free survival of 35%. Freedom from androgen deprivation therapy was 49% at 12 years. Improved ablative technologies and functional diagnostic imaging modalities have popularized focal ablative therapy for prostate cancer, both in the primary and salvage setting. The increased available options allow for more personalized salvage therapies for radio-recurrent disease.

KEY MESSAGES
- Historically, salvage prostatectomy has been the mainstay in the treatment of radio-recurrent prostate cancer; however, it is also associated with significant morbidity.
- Oncologic and functional results with cryotherapy and HIFU suggest salvage whole-gland ablation as a viable alternative to salvage prostatectomy.
- Next-generation imaging studies and several other ablative technologies have popularized focal ablative therapy for prostate cancer, both in the primary and salvage setting. The increased available options allow for more personalized salvage therapies for radio-recurrent disease.
INTRODUCTION
Salvage radical prostatectomy for localized radio-recurrent prostate cancer had historically, in the 1980’s and 1990’s, been associated with relatively high morbidity. Thus, there was an impetus to explore the role of minimally invasive ablative therapies for this patient population. To review the Canadian experience of salvage ablative therapy for radio-recurrent prostate cancer, this article chronicles the quarter-century results of whole-gland salvage ablation therapies at one academic centre, Western University (UWO), with emphasis on cryoablation, high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) and interstitial laser photodynamic therapy (PDT). As well, recent developments in other salvage techniques and advanced functional imaging will be reviewed, and the evidence for and increasing acceptance of focal salvage therapy will be briefly discussed.

Historical notes
Cryosurgery, or cryoablation, for the prostate was initially used for benign prostatic hyperplasia and subsequently extended to prostate cancer in the 1960’s.1–3 Initially the treatment, with a large cryo-probe applied directly on the prostate via a perineal incision, was plagued by a high urethro-rectal and urethro-cutaneous fistula rate.

Perfect storm for prostate ablation
A fortunate happenstance occurred in the 1990’s with (a) improved prostate imaging, championed by Lee et al, using a transrectal probe for visualization and biopsy,4 facilitating precise cryo-probes placement and intraoperative monitoring and control of the freezing process; (b) improvement in techniques for percutaneous renal stone treatment (e.g. Seldinger technique and Amplatz dilators), paving the way for transperineal prostate access and image-guided cryoprobe insertion; and (c) advances in cryobiology and cryogenics aiding transition from liquid nitrogen-based freezing to argon-based technology, applying the Joule-Thompson Principle. The later-generation cryo-systems have further improved the cytoidal capabilities, as well as safety and precision of prostate cryoablation5,6

Building on the initial experience of Onik et al, on two-dimensional transrectal ultrasound (2D-TRUS) for probe placement and intraoperative monitoring,7,8 and to circumvent the limitation of visualizing a 3-dimensional disease process with 2-D imaging, 3-dimensional (3D-US) imaging was incorporated into the UWO whole-gland salvage ablation procedures. The custom-designed software system produced 3-D prostate reconstruction, permitting intraoperative verification of cryo-probe placement in transverse, sagittal, and the previously unavailable coronal views of the prostate.9 (Figure 1) (“Artemis system”, Eigen grass valley, California USA).

Salvage whole gland cryoablation
A prospectively maintained database of 187 patients undergoing salvage whole-gland ablation at UWO was established in 199510 All patients had biopsy-proven radio-recurrent disease and
negative metastatic work-up. Routine serial post-cryoablation biopsy (at 6, 12, and 24 months) showed 23% of patients had positive biopsies. Cancer persistence/recurrence was documented primarily at the apex (51.5%), base (21.2%) and seminal vesicles (18.2%). With median follow-up at 149 months, 12-year overall survival (OS) was 56%, metastasis-free survival (MFS) 78%, and disease-specific survival (DSS) 81%, while biochemical disease-free survival (BDFS) was 36% (Table 1).

Pre-radiation Gleason score and grade, pre-radiation T stage, and pre-cryoablation prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels were identified as predictors of cancer specific survival (CSS), while PSA nadir post-cryoablation was found to be prognostic. At 12-year median follow-up, 49% of the patients were free from androgen deprivation therapy (ADT), and for those who did progress onto requiring ADT, the median time from time of cryoablation to commencement of ADT was 101 months.

A combined analysis with a comparable database from MD Anderson cancer center comprised 268 patients with complete data. With a median follow-up of 10.3 years, 199 (74.3%) patients had experienced complications, including 315 Clavien I-II events and 55 Clavien III events. At 10 years, 69% had freedom from ADT and 76% had freedom from castrate-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). The 10-year CSS rate was 81%, and the 10-year OS rate was 77%. A pre-salvage PSA >10 ng/ml was associated with an increased risk of developing CRPC and initiation of ADT but was not associated with DSS, or OS.

Hence, whole gland salvage cryotherapy for radio-recurrent cancer can achieve long-term DSS and OS, as well as longer freedom from ADT and CRPC. It should also be noted that results from the aforementioned series were derived from older cryo-technology and historic, likely less stringent, patient selection criteria, as well as older radiotherapy techniques and less sophisticated image-guidance. Improved results should be expected with more contemporary technology and techniques.

Salvage high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) ablation
HIFU was used as an ablative modality for benign prostatic hyperplasia (late 1980’s) and subsequently for prostate cancer (early 1990’s). Experience with primary whole-gland prostate ablation has been reported by many groups, employing two different HIFU systems (Ablatherm, EDAP-TMS; and Sonablate, US HIFU). A whole-gland salvage therapy program was established at UWO in 2004. With median follow-up of 5 years on 81 patients, CSS at 5 years was 94.4%, whereas OS was 88%, and 5-year BDFS by Phoenix criterion was 53%. Serial biopsy following salvage whole-gland HIFU yielded a 24% positive rate, although histologic confirmation of secondary treatment failure (post-radiotherapy and subsequently post-HIFU) was challenging for pathologists.

Complications from salvage whole-gland cryoablation and HIFU
Complications, consistent with published results in the setting of localized pca, included rectourethral fistula (3.7%) (all 3 patients were within the initial cohort of 20 patients), severe
incontinence (3.7%) and bladder neck obstruction necessitating transurethral resection (2.4%)\textsuperscript{19}. Based on our small combined experience from Canada and United Kingdom, men who had radio-recurrent cancer after low dose rate brachytherapy, as opposed to external beam radiotherapy, appeared to have a higher complication rate when undergoing whole gland salvage HIFU\textsuperscript{20}. The authors opined that more extensive tissue ablative damage with brachytherapy compromised ultrasound imaging and targeting, leading to poorer results.

In a single-centre analysis of treatment-related morbidity, Clavien grade II and grade IIIB complications were significantly lower after the initial 60 whole gland cryoablation cases. HIFU had a statistically significant (p = 0.0001) lower complication rate (both Clavien II and III) compared to cryoablation. The rate of urinary retention was significantly higher in the cryoablation group compared to the HIFU cohort (p= 0.0005). However, the rates of severe incontinence (range: 1.5%-5%), need for surgical intervention (1.5%), and recto-urethral fistulae (range: 1.5%-3%), were not statistically different between the two ablative modalities in whole gland treatment\textsuperscript{21,22}.

In the UWO single-centre analysis of treatment-related morbidity, Clavien-Dindo grade I and II complications are listed in the initial “earlier” (1995-1998) cryoablation patients (Group 1), the “later” (2002-2004) cryoablation (Group 2) and the HIFU patients (Group 3) (N = 65 in each group) (Table 2). There was 1 grade iva complication in Group 3 (intraperitoneal bladder rupture requiring laparotomy, secondary to blocked supra-pubic catheter). HIFU had a statistically significant lower (p = 0.0001) Clavien I and II complication rate compared to cryoablation. The rate of urinary retention was significantly higher in the cryoablation group compared to the HIFU cohort (p= 0.0005). However, the rates of severe incontinence (range: 1.5%-5%), need for surgical intervention (1.5%), and recto-urethral fistulae (range: 1.5%-3%), were not statistically different between the two ablative modalities in whole gland treatment\textsuperscript{21,22}.

**Comparison of salvage ablation with salvage prostatectomy**

A bi-institutional retrospective comparison on outcomes was conducted between 251 salvage prostatectomy patients at the Mayo Clinic and 187 salvage cryoablation at UWO between 1988 and 2016, with respective median follow-up of 118 (IQR 136.6) months and 105 (IQR 100.3) months\textsuperscript{23}. Ten-year BRFS was higher following surgery (44.8% vs 31.9%, p = 0.001) while MFS was greater in the salvage cryoablation group (83% vs 73%, p = 0.02). Nevertheless, no significant differences were noted for 10-year CSS (75.5% vs 82.5%, p = 0.06) or OS (p = 0.39). In this analysis, salvage prostatectomy was more effective in deferring or obviating subsequent ADT. However, salvage cryoablation had lower overall treatment-related morbidity.

In another bi-institutional analysis, 378 patients were identified by propensity score matching at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Centre (207 salvage prostatectomy) and UWO (180 salvage ablation, either cryoablation or HIFU)\textsuperscript{24}. The cohorts had comparable pre-salvage PSA, Gleason score and primary radiation treatment. In the group of 88 patient who subsequently developed metastasis, the median follow up time was 4.6 years from therapy. For the entire combined cohort, there was a *non*-significantly higher rate of CSS (HR 1.02, 95% CI
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0.51, 2.06, p=0.9) and improved MFS (HR 0.71, 95% CI 0.44, 1.13, p=0.15) among patients undergoing salvage ablation compared to patients undergoing salvage prostatectomy. There was a lower rate of ADT use in the salvage prostatectomy compared to the ablation group, although this did not meet conventional levels of significance (HR, 1.42 95% CI 0.97, 2.08, p=0.068).

Salvage interstitial laser whole-gland ablation

Phase 1 and 2 trials of another energy-based ablative modality, photodynamic therapy (PDT) with interstitial laser, were initiated by Trachtenberg et al. TOOKAD WST 09 (padoporfin), a hydrophobic palladium-bacteriopheophorbide molecule is a photosensitizer and was first used to mediate vascular-targeted PDT (VTP) as salvage whole-gland ablative treatment\textsuperscript{25,26}. When activated by 763nm laser light, TOOKAD-VTP was shown to be cytotoxic by causing large avascular regions in previously irradiated prostates. Initial results on 28 patients (from U. Of Toronto, McGill U. And UWO) showed MRI devascularization response ranging from 7% to 84% of the prostate volume. An average PSA decrease of 76% (range 66%–95%) from baseline was recorded, with several patients achieving undetectable PSA. Biopsy response correlated strongly with the degree of MRI devascularization. Response correlated directly with energy delivered with an apparent threshold of approximately 30 J/cm\textsuperscript{3}. Voiding dysfunction was manageable in all patients except urethro-rectal fistulae developed in two of the earliest patients.

Potential role of next-generation functional imaging for prostate cancer and salvage ablation

Advancements in multi-parametric magnetic resonance imaging (mpmri) and prostate specific membrane antigen positron emission tomography (PSMA-PET) have provided superior intraprostatic anatomic details, facilitating prostate ablation by improving the identification of undetected and atypical lesion in the face of standard of care (SOC) imaging (\textsuperscript{27-29}). By incorporating multi-modality image fusion (PET/CT, PET/MRI) in the treatment algorithm, evaluation of biochemical recurrence, staging, and treatment planning for radio-recurrent prostate cancer has been facilitated\textsuperscript{27}. Moreover, PSMA-PET has allowed surgeons to exclude candidates who previously have undetected occult extra-prostatic disease. Metser et al reported additional sites of disease detected by PSMA-PET, compared with SOC imaging in approximately 60% patients with biochemical recurrence and suspected low-volume recurrent disease. Furthermore, 49% of this population had localized regional recurrence without distant disease, rendering them potentially eligible for salvage focal ablative therapy\textsuperscript{30}.

PSMA PET/MRI has shown higher sensitivity for tumor detection than mpmri and PSMA PET/CT (66%, 92% respectively, compared to 98% for PSMA PET/MRI)\textsuperscript{35}, especially in the context of biochemical recurrence. Further experience from on-going investigations will hopefully determine the best form of imaging prior to focal salvage ablation. If “next generation” functional imaging can optimize patient selection for salvage therapy, and if biopsy confirmation of persistent/recurrent cancer can be obviated, therapeutic burden of salvage therapy will be significantly reduced.
From whole gland primary and salvage ablation to focal primary ablation, eventually to focal salvage therapies

Building on the experience from whole gland ablation by HFIU, Ahmed et al first reported on focal therapy in 42 men who had either unifocal or multifocal localized cancer in the primary setting. Since the imaging and therapeutic elements of HIFU are integrated in a single ultrasound crystal, there is minimal interphase between these two components. HIFU has the advantage of being amenable to precise planning and demarcation for focal as opposed to whole gland ablation. Similarly, experience with focal primary cryoablation is rapidly accumulating.

There have been several Phase II studies using hydrophylic TOOKAD WST 11 (padelporfin) primary focal therapy (FT) or hemi-ablation in low risk cancer and a Phase III trial of partial ablation with PDT randomized against active surveillance. The latter Phase III trial concluded lower conversion to radical therapy for the PDT cohort, thus overall reducing treatment burden.

Other ablative energies: Initially used for primary treatment

Focal irreversible electroporation
Focal irreversible electroporation (IRE) employs repetitive short electrical pulses delivered via transperineal electrodes, which cause alterations in the stability of the cell membrane, inducing nanopores and secondarily causing cell death. Preclinical and subsequent clinical studies have demonstrated its feasibility and safety as primary focal therapy for primary prostate cancer, demonstrating adequate oncological control, good quality of life (qol) and limited toxicity. Van de Bos and colleagues demonstrated in 63 patients no high-grade adverse events, no significant change in qol from baseline in physical, mental, and urinary domains, but decline in PSA of 70% at 6 and 12 months of followup. Blazavski et al, reported experience in 123 patients with localized clinically significant prostate cancer, showing satisfactory short-term oncological outcome with failure-free survival of 96.75% at 3 years, MFS 99%, and OS 100%.

Evidence with focal salvage IRE is very limited, although feasibility, safety, oncological control, and good short-term qol were demonstrated. Scheltema et al. Reported on 18 patients who achieved median nadir PSA of 0.39 g/L after salvage IRE, with no high-grade adverse events or significant decline in various qol domains. Three patients developed biochemical failure and PSMA PET-CT showed, respectively, local recurrence, bone metastases, and a solitary pelvic lymph node. Two patients developed metastatic disease during follow-up, and 8 of 10 patients had negative follow-up biopsies.

Microwave focal therapy
Microwave focal therapy (MFT) employs tissue heating which results in cell death by causing cellular membrane or intracellular structure membrane damage, as well as by denaturing and coagulating structural proteins. Thermal energy is delivered via laser fibres inserted...
interstitially. Sherar et al first reported on MFT for primary prostate cancer on a small cohort in 2001, concluding it is a safe option for primary prostate cancer. Other investigators have also reported safety and feasibility with primary MFT in localized prostate cancer in small studies. For radio-recurrent cancer, Sherar et al also reported patient safety, with a medium DFS similar to that for cryotherapy in the same context, especially for those with PSA nadir <0.5ng/ml.

**Transurethral ultrasound ablation**

In 2013, magnetic resonance imaging–guided transurethral ultrasound ablation (Tulsa) was introduced as a Canadian technology that integrates quantitative image-based planning, monitoring, and treatment control with transurethral delivery of therapeutic ultrasound to ablate prostate tissue through thermal coagulation via directional (planar, not focused) HIFU energy into the adjacent prostate, theoretically tailored to patient-specific anatomy and pathology. The early-phased studies were primary ablation, with a Phase I trial of 30 low-risk patients, and a subsequent 13-centre (including U. Of Toronto and UWO) Phase II study on 115-patients with low and intermediate risk disease. The Phase I study reported no severe adverse events. Urinary, bowel and erectile function recovered by 1 year and was stable at 3 years. The PSA level decreased 95% to a median nadir of 0.34 ng/ml in both the Phase I and II trials. In the latter, of 111 men with 12-month biopsy data, 65% had no evidence of cancer. Among a subset with pretreatment Grade Group 2 disease, 52/68 (79%) were free of Grade Group 2 disease. The promising results of TULSA in primary ablation have served as the evidentiary foundation for application to post-radiotherapy salvage treatment, especially since no energy is directed through the rectum, thus potentially reducing the risk of prostate-rectal fistula, arguably the most debilitating complication of ablative therapies, and especially in the salvage situation. To date, no prostate-rectal fistulae has been reported in over 3,000 patients treated world-wide with Tulsa. Thus far, there have been only two small series pertaining to salvage Tulsa. In one study of 11 patients, no significant adverse events were reported, and eradication of disease in the treated zone was documented in 10 of 11 patients. Further experience will hopefully validate the use of Tulsa as a safe salvage therapeutic modality.

**Focal salvage therapy is here to stay**

Studies have shown recurrent prostate cancer to behave more unifocally, compared to the multifocal character in the primary setting, rendering focal salvage therapy targeting only the recurrent lesion more attractive, with the objective of deferring systemic treatment and potentially curing a subset of patients.

As alluded to, several modalities previously used for primary and salvage whole-gland treatments are now being applied to focal salvage therapy. Along with focal brachytherapy, primary focal cryotherapy and HIFU are now the most common ablative modalities. However, availability of focal salvage therapy is confined to relatively few centres with specialized equipment and expertise. Case series typically include fewer than 50 patients and overall, >95%
of patients receiving (deferred) ADT. Furthermore, inclusion criteria vary from low to higher risk populations between studies and there have been no formal comparative studies thus far. Regarding focal brachytherapy, which has emerged as a viable focal salvage therapy, results from patients who have had prior low dose rate (LDR) radio-active implant treatment have a higher biochemical recurrence -free rate (approaching 80% at 4 years) compared to patients with prior high dose rate (HDR) treatment (biochemical recurrence-free rate of 45% at 5 years)\textsuperscript{50-52}. One likely explanation is patient selection bias: patients presenting initially with higher-risk cancers (e.g. T3b) would have been treated with HDR, since they would not be eligible for the LDR approach. Another very promising approach to focally ablating recurrent prostate cancer using (external) stereotactic radiotherapy techniques, with radiation delivery precision increasing using MR-guided systems\textsuperscript{53}. One key limitation of these recent studies is their small sample size. Nevertheless, the studies show comparable and promising tumor control and toxicity rates, with no discernable differences amongst modalities.

**SUMMARY**

Long-term experience (locally and elsewhere) from salvage whole-gland ablation with cryosurgery exceeding 12 years, and intermediate-term results from HIFU have shown that local salvage ablation following failure of radiotherapy is a viable alternative therapeutic approach, with CSS and MFS of approximately 80%. And BDFS was 35%. More importantly, freedom from ADT was 49% at 12 years\textsuperscript{14,17, 22,24}.

Advancements in imaging and ablative technologies have led to promising focal salvage therapies, albeit still with limited oncologic and functional outcome follow-up. Further larger, confirmatory results will enable incorporation of advanced functional imaging as well as possibly utilizing different ablative modalities into the patient selection algorithm for salvage ablation therapy, especially with focal salvage therapy. For instance, an “à la carte” approach to focal therapy proposed by Sivaraman et al based on the target location (e.g. HIFU for posterior, cryoablation for anterior and brachytherapy for apical lesions)\textsuperscript{54} may be consider in selected future situations.
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FIGURES AND TABLES

**Figure 1.** Custom-designed software system for 3-D prostate reconstruction.
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**Table 1.** Salvage whole gland cryoablation, comparison of whole-gland salvage cryoablation (at UWO) and the combined analysis between UWO and MD Anderson Cancer Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UWO</th>
<th>Combined UWO/MD Anderson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Median followup=12.4 years</td>
<td>Median followup=10.3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=187</td>
<td>N=268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall survival</td>
<td>56% (@12.4 y)</td>
<td>77% (@10.3 y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer specific survival</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasis-free survival</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical disease-free survival</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom from ADT</td>
<td>49% (@12.4 y)</td>
<td>69% (@10.3 y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom from CRPC</td>
<td></td>
<td>76% (@10.3 y)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADT: androgen deprivation therapy; CRPC: castration-resistant prostate cancer; UWO: University of Western Ontario.
Table 2. Clavien-Dindo grade complications, UWO single-center analysis of treatment-related morbidity: Clavien-Dindo complications of the initial “earlier” (1995–1998) cryoablation patients (Group 1), the “later” (2002–2004) cryoablation (Group 2) and HIFU patients (Group 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clavien-Dindo grade</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=65</td>
<td>n=65</td>
<td>n=65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–II</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIib</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iva</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HIFU: high-intensity focused ultrasound; UWO: University of Western Ontario.