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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The aim of this study was to establish the quality of patient-facing websites advertising low-intensity shockwave therapy (LISWT) for erectile dysfunction (ED) and Peyronie’s disease (PD) patients in Canada.

Methods: Canadian websites offering LISWT for ED or PD were identified using online web searches. The characteristics of these websites were reviewed, along with examining the presence of HONCode certification, assigning a brief discern score (a tool designed to evaluate health information online) and readability scores. We also examined the LIWST technology advertised, as well as benefits of LIWST cited by the websites.

Results: Twenty-five unique websites linked to 46 clinics were identified and reviewed. Twenty-four percent of websites were run by a urologist. Other specialties offering LISWT included general practitioners, anesthesiologists, naturopathic medicine, nurse practitioners, physiotherapists, and registered massage therapists. Twenty-four percent of the websites advertised the use of a focused shockwave generator. Forty percent of the websites had peer-reviewed references. The average brief discern score was 14 (standard deviation 3.4). There was no association between the physician- or non-physician-led websites and the use of peer-

KEY MESSAGES

- Low-intensity shockwave therapy (LISWT) is an emerging treatment for sexual dysfunction that is widely available in Canada.
- There are many websites in Canada promoting use of LISWT for the treatment of sexual dysfunction at significant cost. These websites are generally of poor quality and lack critical information.
- While the emergence of novel treatments for sexual dysfunction appears promising, the current landscape of patient-facing websites in Canada may mislead patients.
reviewed references, readability scores, the number of clinic locations, or higher brief discern scores.

Conclusions: LISWT is readily advertised online for ED and PD patients in Canada; however, only a minority use a focused shockwave generator. There is a wide diversity of practitioners offering LISWT. Websites offering LISWT are generally of poor quality and do not provide adequate information for patients to make educated treatment decisions.

INTRODUCTION
Low-intensity shockwave therapy (LISWT) was first used in the treatment of musculoskeletal diseases and chronic wounds\textsuperscript{1,2}. Tissue that was treated with LISWT showed evidence of neovascularization and enhancement of local blood flow\textsuperscript{3}. Conceptually, LIWST has been an attractive idea since ED, and to a lesser degree PD, as these conditions are related to vascular insufficiency in many patients.

LISWT is now being widely used to treat erectile dysfunction (ED) and Peyronie’s disease (PD). There is hope that LISWT may reduce costs of ongoing ED treatments for ED and reduce the need for invasive therapy for ED and PD which include injection therapy and surgery. While the use of LISWT is conceptually attractive, there is still controversy regarding the clinical efficacy of this treatment in the disorders of sexual function.

In clinical trials looking at the results have been controversial and affected by the high heterogeneity between studies in terms of patient populations, outcome measurements, and treatment protocols\textsuperscript{4–7}. The Canadian Urology Association (CUA) guidelines conditionally recommend against the use of LIWST\textsuperscript{8}. In terms of PD current data does not support the use of LISWT to reduce curvature or reduce plaque size. It may be an option to reduce pain however from PD\textsuperscript{4}. Most of the research to date has been done with focused shockwave generators; these were used in all of the randomized controlled studies examined in the CUA guidelines\textsuperscript{8}. There are no guideline recommendations at present to support the use of alternative generator types for LIWST such as radial wave generators.

Despite the lack of strong evidence supporting LISWT in urologic applications, LISWT is readily available to patients in Canada from a variety of sources. The goal of this study is to review the quality of Canadian websites offering LISWT for ED and/or PD, the type of LIWST therapy offered, and to establish to what extent this technology is available to patients in Canada.

METHODS
Website identification
Websites were identified using English-language search engines using a combination of key words of “shockwave”, “ultrasound”, “erectile dysfunction”, “Peyronie’s disease”, and “Canada” in addition to the names of provinces, territories, and urban centres in Canada. This search was
performed by two independent Canadian urologists. Both searches yielded identical resulting websites for evaluation. The search engines used for this study were Google (www.google.com) and Bing (www.bing.com). The web search was performed in July 2020. Website results were limited to English language sites. A total of 27 relevant websites were identified, two of which were excluded as they advertised the same clinic.

**Website quality**
The brief discern instrument was used to evaluate each website regarding the quality of the information presented on the website. This tool was developed to evaluate the quality of websites regarding treatment choices\(^9\). It is a shorter version of the discern instrument developed in 1999 to help consumers and health care providers assess websites for quality of health information. The instrument is based on a Likert scale of 5 -points in a total of 6 categories (1 point = criteria have not been met, 2-4 points = criteria have partially been met, 5 points = criteria have been met). Achieving a score of >16 on brief discern demonstrates good quality of content.

The presence or absence of the HONcode was identified on the websites. This has been developed by the health on the net foundation to evaluate the quality of health information online\(^10\). It is based on eight principles that a health care website has to fulfill including authority, complementarity, confidentiality, attribution, justifiability, transparency, financial disclosure, and advertising.

**Website readability**
Readability of the websites was evaluated using the Flesch-Kincaid grade level index and the Coleman-Liau readability index. These indexes assess the level of readability of a website by the general public using an assigned grade. The Flesch-Kincaid grade level index relies on the word length, total number of syllables, and sentence length\(^11\). The Coleman-Liau readability index relies on the number of characters per word instead of syllables\(^12\). The free online calculator (www.readabilityformulas.com) was used to calculate the readability level of the identified websites using these two methods.

**Technology use**
The generator type used for LISWT therapy was identified where possible using information available on the websites. These were categorized into focused and radial generators.

**Statistical analysis**
Analysis was carried out using SPSS software (SPSS Statistics version 27 for Windows, IBM corporation). Data collected from websites was analyzed using descriptive and chi-square statistics. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**
A total of 25 English-language websites were identified and reviewed. These websites were linked to a total of 46 clinics providing shockwave therapy treatment for erectile dysfunction.
and/or Peyronie’s disease throughout Canada (Figure 1). Forty percent of the websites had multiple clinic locations (Table 1). Most of the clinic locations were in Ontario followed by Quebec and British Columbia. Doctors were explicitly identified as performing the LISWT procedures on 68% of the websites identified. Urologists specifically were involved in 24% of the websites. Specialities involved in running the clinics and performing procedures included urologists, general practitioners, anesthesiologists, naturopathic medicine, nurse practitioners, physiotherapists, and registered massage therapists (Figure 1).

Of the websites, 96% of the indicated a benefit of shockwave therapy in treating ED and 24% of websites indicated a benefit for PD (Table 1). Only 40% of the websites had scientific literature references available. No websites had HONcode certification. Eight websites had prices listed for the shockwave therapy. The average price per treatment was $279 (Canadian dollars) with a range of prices on the websites from $99 to $433 per treatment. Additionally, only 24% of the websites were using focused shockwave generators for the treatments administered (Figure 2). The remaining websites either used radial generators (44%) or the generator type was unknown (32%).

The average Flesch-Kincaid grade level index score was 12.04 (SD 1.7) and the average Coleman-Liau readability index score was 12.68 (SD 1.282) (Table 2). These both indicate the reading level appropriate for someone with 12th grade level education. The lowest readability level identified of a website examined was at a grade 9 reading level (Flesch-Kincaid grade level 9.2). The brief discern score ranged from 7 to 22 for the websites with an average value of 14 (SD 3.367) (Table 2). Only 28% of websites had a score above 16- the level suggested by the tool to be reflective of good quality content.

On Chi-square analysis, there was no association between the presence of a physician administering the treatment and the quality of the websites as measured by any of the above metrics (Table 3). This same remained accurate when the analysis was focused on only urologists.

**DISCUSSION**

LISWT has been at the forefront of research for ED and PD treatment as it is an attractive disease modifying therapy with the potential of avoiding costs and side effects of other modalities. The evidence behind it however remains controversial due to the heterogeneity of studies\(^4\). Multiple RCTs as well as 5 meta-analyses\(^5-7,13,14\) have been performed looking at the effect of LISWT from focused generators on ED outcomes. Only two of these meta-analyses exclusively included RCTs\(^7,13\). One of these meta-analyses showed an overall mean difference in the IIEF-EF score after treatment compared to the sham treatment group of 2.54 (95% CI 0.83-4.25; \(p=0.004\)\) \(^7\). In the Clavijo \etal.\(^{13}\) data there was a trend towards a higher mean difference in IIEF-EF scores of 4.17 (85% CI -0.5to 8.3) in men treated with LISWT. This meta-analysis contains however a study based on pooled data of five previously published RCTs resulting in double inclusion of positive trials. RCTs exploring LISWT in the context of ED generally have...
small sample sizes\textsuperscript{4}. Furthermore, the actual net benefit in terms of IIEF erectile function scores is low (eg. 2.54 points on a 30-point scale) and may not be clinically significant\textsuperscript{7}.

When looking at LISWT treatment from focused generators in patients with PD it has been associated with a relief of pain and complete remission of pain compared to control groups in a meta-analysis of RCTs, cohort studies, and case-control studies\textsuperscript{15}. There have been no significant benefits in terms of penile curvature. There is evidence of side effects in the treatment of PD with LISWT including cutaneous petechiae and urethral bleeding\textsuperscript{16–18}. The latest clinical guidelines from the European society of sexual medicine suggest that the data only supports the use of LISWT for pain reduction in acute or stable PD patients with no expectation of effect on curvature or plaque size\textsuperscript{4}. The most recent CUA guidelines regarding ED and PD both do not recommend the routine use of LISWT\textsuperscript{8,19}.

Given the current state of the evidence for LISWT in ED and PD patients it is interesting to see how widely available it is as a therapy for ED and PD patients. The therapy is offered in most provinces in Canada with a total of 46 Canadian clinics identified. LISWT comes at a cost to patients with an average price of $279 identified on the websites per session. The highest price recorded per treatment was $433. Websites offer a variety of treatment courses with the usual courses lasting 6-12 sessions. This often translates into thousands of dollars being spent out of pocket for LISWT therapy. While there are potential cost savings for both patients and the system, modest benefits in IIEF scores may still require the use of adjunctive therapies for adequate treatment of ED and PD.

It is also important to note that only 24\% of the websites advertising shockwave therapy made use of a focused shockwave generator compared to 44\% of websites using a radial wave generator. Radial waves differ from those from a focused generator by having a lower pressure wave with a lower peak energy and lower tissue penetrance\textsuperscript{20}. Focused shockwave devices are able to create a unique pressure wave that is directed at a focal point. Radial wave devices however have the maximum point of energy at the tip of the device. The waves disperse radially away from this point with rapid attenuation of the energy\textsuperscript{21}. Radial waves can penetrate up to a tissue depth of 3.5 cm while a focused shockwave generator can target focal points up to 10-12 cm in tissue\textsuperscript{21-22}.

The randomized controlled trials examined in the CUA guidelines for the treatment of ED only used focused shockwave generators\textsuperscript{8}. There is limited data at this time to support the use of radial wave generators in the treatment of ED. A retrospective comparison of focused shockwave therapy and radial wave therapy found the two modalities to be equivalent\textsuperscript{23}. Recently however a randomized controlled trial between a sham placebo and radial wave therapy found no difference between the therapy and placebo\textsuperscript{24}. Another study showed that radial wave therapy did not improve the early recovery of erectile dysfunction after radical prostatectomy\textsuperscript{25}. At the time of this publication, we identified no data to support the use of radial wave generators for the treatment of PD. Given the paucity of data to support the use of radial wave generators
for LISWT treatment of ED and PD it is concerning that 44% of the websites identified in this study advertise its use.

Only 40% of websites identified had visible scientific literature references available. When literature references are present on websites they only include studies that support the use of LISWT. Some significant claims are present on a small number of websites that have no support in the literature including that LISWT for ED results in an “increase in penile thickness (girth) and length”. No websites were identified to have HONcode certification and only 28% of the websites had a brief discern score >16 which is felt to be a marker of good quality content on a health website. Additionally, the average readability grade for these websites was at a grade 12 reading level which may make it difficult for an element of the population to have a suitable understanding of the therapy offered. The recommended reading level for health information however is at a 6th grade level26.

Our study demonstrated that LISWT is being offered by a variety of health care providers. While it is reasonable for many healthcare providers to offer treatments for ED it becomes more problematic when providers are only offering a single treatment option for a disease with many known evidence-based treatments. This is compounded by the fact the the treatment being offered (LISWT) is experimental and more expensive than traditional treatment approaches. Also compounding this is that only a minority of the providers are using focused generator types for LISWT which has more abundant randomized controlled data behind its use in ED treatment compared to radial wave generators.

In summary, this study was able to identify a large number of websites and related clinics offering LISWT for ED and PD across Canada. The number of clinics identified suggests that LISWT is a common, albeit expensive, therapy available in Canada for ED and PD. A minority of these clinics are run by urologists. Most clinics are run by specialties that are not classically trained as ED specialists. In addition to this a large number of websites are promoting the use of radial wave generators; a technology which has a lack of evidence to support its use compared to focused shockwave generators. The use of literature references is low and the quality of the websites when assessed with brief discern scores is low. LISWT for ED and PD may be a useful tool in therapy however it is still under investigation to determine its role in the management of sexual dysfunction. The overall evidence for LISWT is controversial given the heterogeneity of research studies. The websites promoting LISWT generally do not provide accurate, nuanced perspectives on the technology which may lead to false pretenses for patients eager for painless and sustainable treatment options.

CONCLUSION
LISWT is an emerging treatment for sexual dysfunction that is widely available in Canada. There are many websites in Canada promoting the usage of this LISWT for the treatment of sexual dysfunction at significant cost. These websites are generally of poor quality and lack the nuanced information patients would generally require to proceed with therapy. Many of the clinics providing LISWT do not advertise or provide alternative treatments for ED or PD.
Additionally, a significant proportion of websites make use of radial wave generators which have a paucity of data to support their use compared to focused shockwave generators. While the emergence of novel treatments for sexual dysfunction appears potentially promising, the current landscape of patient-facing websites in Canada may mislead patients seeking treatment options for sexual dysfunction.
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FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1. Breakdown of clinics/websites and the profession running them.

Clinic Location

- Alberta: 8 clinics/websites
- British Columbia: 40 clinics/websites
- New Brunswick: 24 clinics/websites
- Ontario: 4 clinics/websites
- Quebec: 8 clinics/websites
- Total: 48 clinics/websites

Profession

- Anesthesia: 12% of clinics/websites
- Family Medicine: 4% of clinics/websites
- Urology: 24% of clinics/websites
- Naturopath: 8% of clinics/websites
- Nurse Practitioner: 4% of clinics/websites
- Physiotherapist: 4% of clinics/websites
- Registered Massage Therapist: 12% of clinics/websites
- Unknown: 4% of clinics/websites
- Total: 100%
Figure 2. Breakdown of the shockwave generator type used by the clinics.

Generator Type

- Focused: 24%
- Radial: 44%
- Unknown: 32%
Table 1. Basic characteristic of websites examined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Website characteristics</th>
<th>All websites n=25 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiple clinics</td>
<td>10/25 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature references</td>
<td>10/25 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HONcode certification</td>
<td>0/25 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cite a benefit of LISWT for ED</td>
<td>24/25 (96%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cite a benefit of LISWT for PD</td>
<td>6/25 (24%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year last updated present</td>
<td>12/25 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average year</td>
<td>Range: 2017–2020 Mean: 2019 (SD 0.888)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price per treatment session listed</td>
<td>8/25 (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average price per session (CAD $)</td>
<td>Range: 99–433 Mean: 279.13 (SD 112)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Brief discern, Flesch-Kincaid grade level index, and Coleman-Liau readability index scores for websites examined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Range, mean, and standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brief discern</td>
<td>Range: 7–22 Mean 14 (SD 3.367)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flesch-Kincaid grade level index</td>
<td>Range: 9.2–15.7 Mean 12.04 (SD 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman-Liau readability index</td>
<td>Range: 10–15 Mean 12.68 (SD 1.282)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Univariate analysis for variables related to the presence of a doctor or urologist running the website

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Doctor</th>
<th>Urologist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flesch-Kincaid grade level index &gt;12</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>0.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman-Liau readability index &gt;12</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief discern &gt;16</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of literature references on website</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price above average per treatment ($279)</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple clinics linked to website</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of a focused shockwave generator</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.655</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>