Ding C, et al. Urinary complications after penile inversion vaginoplasty in transgender women: Systematic review and metaanalysis

APPENDIX

Supplementary Table 1	. Population, interventions, comparators, outcomes, type of studies, and setting (PICOTS) criteria used
in the present review of	the literature
Inclusion	
Population	Age >18 years
	Diagnosis: gender dysphoria
Interventions	Patients undergoing penile inversion vaginoplasty transition surgery (male-to-female)
Comparators	Transgender patients and urinary complications
Outcomes	UTI, urethral stricture, meatal stenosis, urethral injury and other surgical complications, retention,
	incontinence, poor or splayed stream, irritative symptoms, revision surgeries for urinary
	complications, and satisfaction
Type of studies	All available clinical and retrospective comparative studies reporting patients undergoing penile
	inversion vaginoplasty and urinary complications. Published up to July 2020.
Timing and setting	Any time point and setting

Supplementar Study	Bias due to confounding	of bias assessmannian Bias in selection of participants	Bias in measurements of interventions	Bias due to departures from intended interventions	Bias due to missing data	Bias in measurement of outcomes	Bias in selection of the reported result	Overall risk
Amend 2013	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Moderate risk

Ding C, et al. Urinary complications after penile inversion vaginoplasty in transgender women: Systematic review and metaanalysis

Blanchard 1987	Moderate risk	Severe risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Severe risk
Bouman 1988	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk
Buncamper 2016	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Cristofari 2019	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Eldh 1993	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	Severe risk	Severe risk
Falcone 2017	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk
Gaither 2018	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Goddard 2007	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk
Hoebeke 2005	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Severe Risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	Severe risk
Huang 1995	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Severe Risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	Severe risk
Ives 2018	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate Risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Karim 1995	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Low Risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Krege 2001	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low Risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Lawrence 2006	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low Risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Levy 2019	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low Risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Low risk

Ding C, et al. Urinary complications after penile inversion vaginoplasty in transgender women: Systematic review and metaanalysis

Loree 2020	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate Risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Massie 2018	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low Risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk
Neto 2012	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low Risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk
Opsome 2018	Moderate risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low Risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Papadopulos 2017	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate Risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Perovic 2000	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate Risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk
Raigosa 2015	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate Risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Revol 2006	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low Risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Stein 1990	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk
Tavakkoli- Tabassi 2014	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Moderate risk
Van Noort 1993	Moderate risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk

Ding C, et al. Urinary complications after penile inversion vaginoplasty in transgender women: Systematic review and metaanalysis

Supplementary Table 3. Surgical complications and revision surgeries conducted									
Study	n	Wound dehiscence	Urethral injury	Vaginal stenosis	Rectal injury	Other complications	Revision surgery		
Amend 2013	24	_	8.3%	_	4.2%	Neovaginal fistula: 4.2%	_		
Blanchard 1987	22	_	_	_	_	Rectovaginal fistula: 4.5%	_		
Bouman 1988	55	_	_	7.3%	_	_	_		
Buncamper 2016	475	-	1.1%	3.2%	2.3%	Neovaginal fistula: 0.6% Vaginal prolapse: 3.8% Urethroneovaginal fistula: 1.7%	_		
Cristofari 2019	189	_	3.1%	2.6%	-	Rectovaginal fistula: 1.5%	Meatoplasty: 1.1%		
Eldh 1993	20	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Falcone 2017	69	10.5%	_	_	1.5%	_	Meatoplasty: 13%		
Gaither 2018	330	5.2%	0.6%	3.0%	1.5%	Granulation tissue: 7.3% Neovaginal fistula: 3.0%	Urethral revision: 1.5% Urethrovaginal fistula Repair: 1.8%		
Goddard 2007	180	-	_	5.0%	_	Neovaginal fistula: 0.9%	Urethral revision 20.0% Meatoplasty: 4.4% Catheter dilatation: 23.3%		

Ding C, et al. Urinary complications after penile inversion vaginoplasty in transgender women: Systematic review and metaanalysis

Hoebeke 2005	31	_		_	_	_	_
Huang 1995	109	_	_	3.7%	_	_	_
Ives 2018	101	29.0%	10.9%	_	4.0%	_	-
Karim 1995	200	_	_	_	_	_	Unspecified: 17.5% Urethral revision: 3.5%
Krege 2001	66	_	3.0%	_	_	Urethroneovaginal fistula: 0.6%	Meatoplasty: 10.6%
Lawrence 2006	232	_	_	8.0%	_		Urethral revision and labiaplasty: 27%
Levy 2019	240	0.8%	_	2.1%	_	Rectovaginal ristula: 0.8% Intravaginal dcarring: 2.5%	Meatoplasty: 0.4%
Loree 2020	30	3.6%	_	_	6.7%	Urethroneovaginal fistula: 3.6%	Meatoplasty: 3.6%
Massie 2018	117	12.0%	-	4.0%	-	Granulation tissue: 26.0% Intravaginal dcarring: 20.0% Wound injury: 17.0%	_
Neto 2012	332	33.0%	_	12.0%	3.0%	Urethral injury: 4% Rectovaginal fistula: 1.8% Urethroneovaginal fistula: 0.3% Vaginal prolapse: 0.3% Wound injury: 9%	Y-V plasty: 40.0% Meatoplasty: 15.0%

Ding C, et al. Urinary complications after penile inversion vaginoplasty in transgender women: Systematic review and metaanalysis

Opsomer 2018	161	0.6%	_	_	_		Meatoplasty: 5.6%
Papadopulos 2017	40	_	_	2.5%	7.5%	Wound injury: 7.5%	_
Perovic 2000	89	_	_	6.7%	1.1%	Urethral prolapse: 2.2%	_
Raigosa 2015	60	6.7%	_	_	1.6%	Rectovaginal fistula: 3.3%	Catheter dilatation: 3.3% Meatoplasty: 5.0%
Revol 2006	63	_	_	_	1.5%	Rectovaginal fistula: 1.5% Vaginal necrosis: 7.9%	_
Stein 1990	14	_	_	21%	_	Vaginal necrosis: 7.1%	Urethral revision: 7.1%
Tavakkoli- Tabassi, 2014	112	_	_	6.3%	_	Wound injury: 5.4%	_
Van Noort 1993	27	_	_	18.0%	_	Rectovaginal fistula: 3.7%	Meatoplasty: 7.4%