

APPENDIX

Supplementary Table 1. Clinical outcomes of patients that underwent bariatric surgery before and after kidney transplantation												
Author	n	FU (mo, SD)	T2 diabetes, n (%)			Hypertension, n (%)			Post-bariatric surgery outcomes, n (%)			
			Before	IM	Resolved	Before	IM	Resolved	All-cause 30-day mortality	30-day minor comp rate	30-day major comp rate	>30- day comp rate
Before kidney transplant												
Bouchard, 2019	32	14	15 (47%)	–	–	25 (78%)	–	–	0 (0%)	1 (3.1%)	0 (0%)	–
Yemini, 2019	24	47 (6.5)	16 (67%)	7 (44%)	7 (44%)	22 (92%)	2 (10%)	10 (45%)	1 (4.2%)	–	–	1 (4.2%)
Cohen, 2019	43	60	19 (44%)	–	–	–	–	–	0 (0%)	–	–	
Thomas, 2018	31	-	17 (54.8%)	2 (11.8%)	7 (41%)	31 (100%)	3 (9.7%)	9 (29.0%)	0 (0%)	4 (12.9%)	4 (12.9%)	0 (0%)
Kim, 2020	41	12	23 (56.1%)	5 (21.8%)	5 (21.8%)	35 (85.6%)	-	19 (45.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Newcombe, 2005	3	16	2 67%)	–	1 (50%)	3 (100%)	1 (100%)	–	0 (0%)	–	–	0 (0%)
Koshy, 2008	3	13.5	2 (67%)	–	–	1 (33%)	–	–	0 (0%)	–	–	0 (0%)
Kassam, 2019	45	7.3 (5.0)	143 (59%)	–	65 (68%)	206 (85%)	–	81 (49%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0%)
Al-Bahri, 2017	16	33.6	-	–	–	-	–	-	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Kienzl-Wagner, 2017	8	38.4 (16.8)	4 (50%)	–	–	6 (75%)	–	-	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (25%)

Lee Y, et al. The role of bariatric surgery on kidney transplantation: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Carandina, 2017	9	15.6	6 (66.6%)	2 (22.2%)	2 (22.2%)	9 (100%)	2 (22.2%)	5 (55.6%)	0 (0%)	1 (11.1%)	1 (11.1%)	0 (0%)
After kidney transplant												
Cohen, 2019	21	60	8 (38%)	–	–	–	–	–	0 (0%)	–	–	–
Viscido, 2018	5	16.8 (14.5)	2 (40%)	2 (100%)	–	5 (100%)	5 (100%)	–	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Schindel, 2019	30	30 (15.6)	22 (73%)	–	2 (9%)	26 (86.7%)	–	4 (15.4%)	2 (6.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.3%)
Gazzetta, 2017	6	1	3 (50%)	–	–	–	–	–	0 (0%)	–	–	–
Gheith, 2017	22	6	8 (36.4%)	–	–	18 (81.8%)	–	–	0 (0%)	–	–	–
Golomb, 2014	10	6	5 (50%)	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	6 (60%)	3 (50%)	2 (33.3%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)
Szomstein, 2010	5	23 (2.53)	3 (60%)	–	–	5 (100%)	–	–	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Arias, 2010	5	26.4 (14)	3 (60%)	3 (100%)	–	5 (100%)	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Alexander, 2007	10	12	4 (40%)	–	2 (50%)	–	–	–	–	1 (11.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (10%)
Modanlou, 2009	87	12	35 (40.2%)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Comp: complication; FU: followup; IM: improved; KTX: kidney transplant; LAGB: laparoscopic adjustable gastric band; LSG: laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy; RYGB: Roux-en-Y gastric bypass; SD: standard deviation; –: not reported.

Supplementary Table 2. The MINORS risk of bias assessment scores of included studies													
Study	A clearly stated aim	Inclusion of consecutive patients	Prospective collection of data	Endpoint appropriate to the aim of the study	Un-biased assessment of the study end-point	FU period appropriate to the aim of the study	Loss of FU <5%	Additional criteria for comparative studies					
								Prospective calculation of the study size	Adequate control group	Contemporary group	Baseline equivalence of groups	Adequate statistical analysis	Total
Before transplant													
Bouchard, 2019	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	12
Yemini, 2019	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	12
Cohen, 2019	2	2	2	2	0	2	1	0	2	2	2	2	19
Thomas, 2018	1	2	1	2	0	2	0	0	2	1	2	2	15
Kim, 2020	2	2	1	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	15
Newcombe, 2005	1	2	2	0	0	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	9
Koshy, 2008	2	2	2	1	0	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	11
Kassam, 2019	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	12
Al-Bahri, 2017	2	2	2	2	0	2	1	–	–	–	–	–	11
Kienzl-Wagner, 2017	2	2	2	2	0	2	0	–	–	–	–	–	10
Carandina, 2017	2	2	2	2	0	2	0	–	–	–	–	–	10
After transplant													
Cohen, 2019	2	2	2	2	0	2	1	0	2	2	2	2	19

Lee Y, et al. The role of bariatric surgery on kidney transplantation: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Viscido, 2018	2	2	2	1	0	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	11
Schindel, 2019	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	0	2	1	1	2	18
Gazzetta, 2017	1	2	1	1	0	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	9
Gheith, 2017	2	2	2	1	0	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	20
Golomb, 2014	2	1	1	2	0	2	1	–	–	–	–	–	9
Szomstein, 2010	1	2	2	1	0	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	10
Arias, 2010	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	12
Alexander, 2007	1	2	2	1	0	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	10
Modanlou, 2009	2	2	1	1	0	2	2	–	–	–	–	–	10

0=not reported; 1=reported but inadequate; 2=reported and adequate; –, not reported. FU: followup.