Case — Combined endoscopic cautery and over-the-scope-clip closure of an acquired rectourethral fistula: A novel surgical repair technique
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Introduction

In 2012, prostate cancer was the most frequently diagnosed cancer among males in Canada and accounted for 23.4% of all new cancer cases diagnosed. The standard of care for localized prostate cancer is radical prostatectomy (RP). Other therapeutic interventions include radiotherapy (external beam radiotherapy [EBRT] or brachytherapy [BT]), androgen-deprivation therapy [ADT], and high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU).

The adverse effects of prostate cancer treatments include, but are not limited to, urinary incontinence, erectile dysfunction, bladder neck contracture, urethral stricture, irradiation cystitis, proctitis, enteritis, and rarely rectourethral fistulas (RUFs). RUFs are uncommon; approximately less than 2% of patients are at risk of RUF following primary prostate cancer treatment (RP, EBRT, or BT).

We present the first use of an endoscopic closure technique by using a combination of over-the-scope clip (OTSC) and electrocautery for RUF repair. We hypothesize that electrocautery of the fistula tract denudes/de-epithelializes the fistula tract. This subsequently creates superficial inflammation and promotes bridging scar formation enabling successful fistula closure. Furthermore, combining electrocautery of the fistula tract with an OTSC also allows the user to grab more tissue and use the significant compressive force of the OTSC.

Case reports

Case 1

A 67-year-old male who was diagnosed with prostate cancer (Gleason score 3+4=7) underwent a retropubic RP with bilateral lymphadenectomy and developed an iatrogenic RUF. He was stooling relatively large amounts into his Foley catheter and urine through his rectum. His initial computed tomography (CT) scan did not visualize the fistula, however, repeat imaging documented a connection between the rectum and urethra. On digital rectal exam, there was a significant sized opening, which was palpable, approximately 1cm in diameter. Due to the very large size of the fistula tract, it was thought unlikely to heal with conservative management alone and he subsequently underwent a laparoscopic diverting loop ileostomy.

Postoperatively, a contrast barium enema showed persistence of the RUF (Fig. 1). Given the failure of conservative management, the decision was made for definitive surgical repair. A video endoscope was inserted and the fistula itself was visualized at approximately 7 cm from the anal verge in the anterior position. Biopsy forceps were advanced through the fistula tract itself confirming that it was, in fact, the fistula of concern. Electrocautery was then used to denude the fistula tract and the surrounding mucosa around the site. An OTSC was applied to the end of the endoscope, which was then deployed with the fistula in the direct centre of the cap with excellent coverage over the fistula itself (Fig. 2). The endoscope was then removed and the patient transferred to the recovery room in stable condition.

After six weeks of followup, a water-soluble contrast enema was normal with no evidence of the RUF (Fig. 3). At a three-month clinic followup visit, the patient stated that he was still asymptomatic and not passing stool or gas with urination, indicating his fistula remained closed. He still remains asymptomatic and radiographically healed at present, approximately 22 months post-procedure.

Case 2

A 70-year-old male diagnosed with prostate cancer (Gleason score 4+3=7), underwent HIFU. Postoperatively, the patient did quite well; however, six years later, he developed biochemical recurrence. He subsequently underwent 12 months of ADT followed by repeat HIFU. He developed an iatrogenic...
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RUF, confirmed with voiding cystourethrogram. Given that the RUF persisted despite months of conservative management, the decision was made for definitive surgical repair.

A video endoscope was inserted and the fistula itself was visualized. Biopsy forceps were advanced through the fistula tract itself confirming that it was, in fact, the fistula of concern. Electrocautery was then used to denude the fistula tract and the surrounding mucosa around the site. An OTSC was applied to the end of the endoscope, which was then deployed with the fistula in the direct centre of the cap with excellent coverage over the fistula itself. The endoscope was then removed and the patient transferred to the recovery room in stable condition.

After eight weeks of followup, the patient stated that he had remained asymptomatic, with no urine with defecation and no stool present in his urine. A voiding cystourethrogram did note some contrast within the distal aspect of the anal canal, however, a direct communication from the urethra into the anal canal could not be ascertained. He still remains asymptomatic at present, approximately 15 months post-procedure.

Discussion

In a study that reviewed acquired RUFs in adults, approximately 85% of surgically related RUFs were due to RP, and 40% of patients had a history of pelvic irradiation and/or ablation. Recent studies examining patients who have been treated with HIFU for localized prostate cancer have observed RUFs in 1.0–1.2% of patients. There was also an increased risk of RUF following salvage HIFU (3.0–4.5%).

A minimally invasive endoscopic approach, compared to traditional surgical methods, has benefits that include shorter inpatient stay, decreased morbidity and mortality, and being able to tolerate oral diet sooner. Recent studies have used traditional endoscopic clips for repair of gastrocutaneous fistulas with mixed success. Fistula closure rates ranged from 63–82%. A new endoscopic instrument, the OTSC developed by Kirschniak and colleagues, was developed to treat severe gastrointestinal bleeding, deep wall lesions, or perforations of the gastrointestinal tract. It is mounted on the distal tip of an endoscope. This new instrument allows much more powerful clips to be applied, compared to traditional endoscope clips. Consequently, OTSCs can grasp more tissue, including the entire thickness of the visceral wall, and apply a greater compressive force. Recent studies have used OTSCs and applied them to similar pathology. They have been used to treat a variety of gastrointestinal fistulas, including RUFs, gastrocutaneous fistulas, rectovesical fistulas, and tracheoesophageal fistulas.

In four instances, electrocautery has been used in conjunction with either a traditional endoscopic clip or an OTSC to treat a fistula. Successful fistula closure rates ranged from 63–100%. OTSCs, without combined electrocautery of the fistula tract, have been used to treat RUFs caused by RP on two previous occasions. Both cases resulted in unsuccessful closure of the fistula. In our two cases of RUF repair, we combined the use of electrocautery of the fistula tract with an OTSC and had no clinical recurrence of the fistula (followup duration 15–22 months). The technique of combined endoscopic OTSC with electrocautery of the fistula tract described in this study is a promising alternative to invasive surgical treatments of RUFs. The use of endoscopic closure spares the patient the potential morbidity associated with surgical laparotomy and includes the option to perform the procedure via intravenous sedation in an outpatient setting. According to our initial experience, this is a simple and safe method for the management of RUFs detected after RP. Experience with more patients will be required to determine the long-term success and the best candidates for our combined technique closure.
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