The role of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic indicator in patients undergoing nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma
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Abstract

Introduction: Prognosis in patients with cancer is influenced by underlying tumour biology and also the host inflammatory response to the disease. There is limited evidence to suggest that an elevated neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) predicts a poorer prognosis in patients undergoing nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma (RCC). The aim of this paper is to investigate if patients undergoing nephrectomy for RCC with NLR ≤4 have a better overall and recurrence-free survival than patients with NLR >4.

Methods: All patients who underwent nephrectomy at a single centre between January 1, 2011 and December 31, 2014 were identified. Patients were included if postoperative histology demonstrated RCC and if preoperative NLR was available. Patients were excluded if nephrectomy was not curative intent (i.e., cytoreductive nephrectomy), if primary tumour was graded to be T3b–4 disease, if there was presence of nodal or metastatic disease on preoperative staging, or if inadequate follow up notes were not available. Primary and secondary outcomes were overall survival and recurrence-free survival, respectively.

Results: A total of 154 patients were included in analysis of overall survival; 146 patients were included in analysis of recurrence-free survival. Patients with NLR ≤4 had a much better overall survival than patients with NLR >4 (95% vs. 78%; p=0.0219). Patients with NLR >4 also had higher rates of recurrence (p=0.0218).

Conclusions: NLR may be a useful tool in identifying patients who may benefit from more frequent surveillance in the early postoperative period and may allow clinicians to offer surveillance schemes tailored to the individual patient.

Introduction

The incidence of renal cancer has increased over the past 35 years from 7 per 100 000 to 20 per 100 000;1 this increase in incidence is expected to continue to 32 per 100 000 by 2035.2 Renal cancer currently has the seventh highest incidence of all cancers in the U.K.2 Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) accounts for approximately 85% of all renal malignancies.3 Over three-quarters of patients will have localized disease at time of diagnosis4,5 and may be candidates for nephrectomy with curative intent. Despite resection of localized disease, a significant proportion of patients will subsequently develop metastatic disease. This has been reported to occur in 10–30% patients,4,6–8 and so the majority of patients undergo long-term postoperative radiological surveillance.

The European Association of Urology currently recommends that surveillance should be based on an individual patient’s risk of recurrence; however, there is insufficient evidence to recommend any one surveillance scheme to be optimal.9 As there is currently no gold-standard surveillance protocol, prognostic indicators based on patient factors may contribute to determining a patient’s risk of recurrence and, therefore, guide intensity of postoperative surveillance.

The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) has been demonstrated to predict prognosis in patients undergoing treatment for a variety of malignancies.10,11 The reason biomarkers that measure for inflammatory processes may be considered a prognostic tool in patients with malignancy is that prognosis for these patients depends not only on underlying tumour biology, but also the patient’s immune response to this disease process. An elevated NLR usually corresponds with a poorer prognosis; this is seen as a reflection of an inflammatory response to the underlying malignant process.

NLR has been investigated as a prognostic indicator in RCC, however, the evidence is limited. Several studies investigating the role of NLR in patients undergoing treatment for metastatic RCC demonstrated patients with elevated NLR to have poorer prognosis.1,12 The role of NLR in predicting prognosis in patients undergoing nephrectomy for RCC was investigated in a recent review13 and only four studies were identified. Only two of these studies reported on overall survival (OS), but both demonstrated elevated NLR to predict poorer prognosis.14,15 Cancer-specific survival and rate of recurrence were also reported, but a statistically significant difference was not demonstrated in any study.14,16 Many different cutoff values have been described when comparing
elevated with non-elevated NLR, but there does not appear to be an optimum value.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the role of NLR in predicting OS and recurrence-free survival (RFS) in patients undergoing nephrectomy for localized RCC.

Methods

A retrospective electronic case note review was conducted on all patients who underwent nephrectomy at a single centre between January 1, 2011 and December 31, 2014. All patients had full staging imaging preoperatively in the form of computed tomography (CT) of chest, abdomen, and pelvis, and disease was recorded in accordance with TNM classification. Patients identified were included in this study if inclusion and exclusion criteria were met.

Inclusion criteria included:
- Postoperative histology demonstrated RCC
- Disease was localized (T1–T3a)
- Preoperative NLR was available; this was based on blood samples taken at a preoperative assessment clinic when patients were well (i.e., no concurrent acute illness). Where blood tests were abnormal and this was thought to be due to a transient acute illness, blood tests were repeated preoperatively during the same admission as elective surgery.
- Adequate followup notes available

Exclusion criteria included:
- Nephrectomy for benign disease
- Malignancy was not RCC
- Nephrectomy was not with curative intent (i.e., cytoreductive nephrectomy)
- Locally advanced disease (T3b–T4)
- Presence of nodal or metastatic disease
- Other significant pathology that would impact survival

The primary outcome studied was OS, defined as time from nephrectomy to time of death (or July 1, 2016 if death did not occur). Secondary outcome was RFS, defined as time from nephrectomy to radiological diagnosis of recurrence, or most recent radiological investigation excluding recurrence. NLR ≤4 was taken as normal and NLR >4 was considered to be elevated. Kaplan-Meier curves were generated for each cohort and OS and RFS were compared using log rank test.

Results

A total of 253 patients were identified during the study period, 99 of whom were excluded for the following reasons:
- 45 patients had benign disease
- 12 patients had malignancy other than RCC
- 19 patients had nodal or metastatic disease
- 7 patients had T3b disease
- 5 patients had significant concurrent pathology
- 11 patients had insufficient data available

After exclusions, 154 patients were assessed in the study. Their characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Of the 154 patients included in the study, all had sufficient followup data to determine OS, however, eight patients had insufficient followup data for inclusion in RFS and so only 146 patients were included in the RFS analysis. OS and RFS were plotted on Kaplan-Meier curves comparing NLR ≤4 with NLR >4 (Figs. 1, 2).

Patients with a normal NLR had a better OS than patients with NLR >4. While OS was similar in the first two years following surgery, the survival curves diverged after this, with three-year OS being 95% and 78% for patients with NLR ≤4 and NLR >4, respectively (p=0.0219).

An NLR >4 also predicted a poorer RFS, particularly in the early postoperative period compared with patients with NLR ≤4 (p=0.0218). One-, two- and three-year RFS for NLR ≤4 vs. NLR >4 were 97% vs. 88%, 95% vs. 78%, and 92% vs. 78%, respectively. This difference in RFS decreased by the fourth postoperative year (82% for NLR≤4 vs. 78% for NLR >4).

Discussion

These results support that an elevated NLR is associated with poorer OS and RFS in patients with RCC undergoing nephrectomy with curative intent. Within the first two post-operative years, OS was comparable between patients with NLR ≤4 and NLR >4; however, the survival curves diverged significantly after this point. Interestingly, the greatest difference in RFS between the two cohorts was within three years, with a smaller difference at four years after resection. This poor RFS in the early stage following resection may explain the difference in OS after three years, as this may correspond with the time period that patients who had developed early recurrence died from their disease. An NLR >4 may be a useful indicator for which patients are suitable for more intensive postoperative surveillance in the first few years following resection so that should there be recurrent disease, it can be recognized at the earliest possible stage and be considered for treatment.

There is no one particular cutoff value when considering NLR and this makes comparing studies in this subject difficult, as there is significant variation reported in the litera-

Table 1. Summary of patients included in study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NLR ≤4</th>
<th>NLR &gt;4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/ Female</td>
<td>61/65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (range)</td>
<td>64.5 (26–86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1/T2/T3a</td>
<td>87/14/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial/radical</td>
<td>42/84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NLR: neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio.
NLR as a prognostic indicator for RCC

Pichler et al. investigated the role of NLR in patients undergoing nephrectomy for clear-cell RCC (NLR <3.3 n=398; NLR ≥3.3 n=280) and demonstrated that a cutoff of 3.3 showed a statistically significant difference in OS, but not cancer-specific survival or metastasis-free survival. Viers et al. also compared outcomes in patients undergoing nephrectomy for clear-cell RCC using 4 as a cutoff and found that there was a statistically significant difference in OS and cancer-specific survival, but not metastasis-free survival. Other studies have investigated the prognostic value of NLR without assigning a particular cutoff representing elevated or non-elevated NLR, but have noted that patients who develop metastatic disease within five years of nephrectomy tend to have a higher preoperative NLR than those who develop metastases longer than five years after nephrectomy. In this study, a cutoff of 4 was used, as this has been demonstrated to be useful as a prognostic tool in various settings, including prognosis of patients being treated for localized RCC, patients with metastatic RCC, and in other malignancies.

Another issue that makes comparing studies on this subject difficult is patient inclusion. Previously published papers include patients with T1–T4 disease, and others included patients with nodal disease. This study only included patients with localized disease, defined as T1–T3aN0M0. Patients with T3b–T4 disease were excluded, as they would require surgery more extensive than radical nephrectomy (some may require significant vascular surgery) and had these patients been included, their outcomes may have skewed the results of their cohort. Patients with nodal disease were also excluded on the basis that the possible role for NLR may be in guiding intensity of postoperative followup. Patients who have positive nodes on histology will already be undergoing more intense followup, as they would be expected to have a higher risk of recurrent disease, regardless of what their preoperative NLR may have been; NLR is not relevant for this group of patients.

While this study highlights the possible benefit of NLR as a prognostic tool, it also demonstrates that it would not be of use as a diagnostic tool in distinguishing benign from malignant disease. Over 80% of the patients included in this study had a normal NLR. While the use of preoperative NLR as a predictor of final histology was not investigated in this study, with such a high proportion of patients with malignant disease having a normal ratio, it wouldn’t be of any diagnostic use in the investigation of a patient with a renal lesion.

One limitation of this study is that it is a retrospective analysis of patients who had already undergone nephrectomy. Another limitation is the duration of followup after nephrectomy; results were not available past five years. Furthermore, with patients being included until December 31, 2014 and final followup data being collected on July 1, 2016, a significant number of patients included in this study will only have had a short period of postoperative followup and, therefore, these patients do not have robust long-term followup outcome data. While this study suggests there is a poorer OS and PRS survival associated with NLR >4, a longer followup period would be required to investigate if this trend is maintained in the long-term.

Conclusion

The results of this study support that an elevated preoperative NLR is associated with poorer prognosis in patients undergoing nephrectomy for RCC with curative intent. These results are in keeping with currently available evidence. Preoperative NLR may be a useful tool in identifying patients at higher risk of early recurrence following nephrectomy and...
as such, may be used to identify patients who may benefit from more frequent postoperative surveillance.
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