

Complications in the 90-day postoperative period following kidney transplant and the relationship of the Charlson Comorbidity Index

Max A. Levine, MD¹; Trevor Schuler, MD¹; Sita Gourishankar, MD, MSc²

¹Division of Urology; ²Division of Nephrology; University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada

Cite as: *Can Urol Assoc J* 2017;11(12):388-93. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5489/cuaj.4378>

Published online November 1, 2017

See related commentary on page 394.

Abstract

Introduction: Renal transplant experiences widespread success, but little is published regarding the postoperative complications. The Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) is a system of mortality risk assessment. Our purpose is to assess the 90-day postoperative complications after renal transplantation. The secondary objective is to clarify whether CCI predicts complications. We hypothesized increased CCI corresponds to worse complication on the Clavien scale.

Methods: This is a retrospective analysis of renal recipients at our institution (2011–2013) who were ≥ 18 years old and received complete follow up. CCI, age, gender, body mass index (BMI), and graft type were extracted from the electronic medical records. Complications were scored using the Clavien scale. Descriptive statistics and logistic regression were used to analyze 198 patients.

Results: The mean age was 53 (standard deviation [SD] 14), mean BMI 27.4 (SD 14), median CCI 1. Grade 2 or higher (significant) complications occurred in 60% of patients and Grade 3b or higher (severe) in 15% of patients in the 90-day postoperative period. Sixty-eight different complications were identified, the most common being blood transfusion (19%). Logistic regression suggests a predictive value of CCI (odds ratio [OR] 1.70; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.3–2.3) for severe complications, with diabetes mellitus and peripheral vascular disease conferring increased risk.

Conclusions: Renal transplant carries significant risk. This data can be used to improve patient counselling on the likely postoperative course. Study limitations include the retrospective design, predisposing to potential bias in data capture.

Introduction

Renal transplantation is the gold standard therapy for managing end-stage renal disease (ESRD). The long-term survival

of transplanted kidneys has continued to improve over time, attributable to improved immunosuppressant regimens and advancements in organ storage technology.^{1,2} Despite the success of renal transplantation, postoperative complications can be expected and are not categorically benign. Patients with ESRD often possess multiple comorbidities, including anemia, diabetes, peripheral vascular disease, and cardiovascular disease. In addition, donated kidneys are a scarce resource and optimizing the allocation while minimizing the risk of graft loss is paramount.

The need to objectively assess the degree of patient comorbidity has led to the development of several validated tools. The Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) is a common and widely accepted instrument used to predict 10-year mortality.³ The CCI has been further validated in the ESRD population to predict 10-year mortality for those on dialysis, as well as in recipients of kidney transplant.⁴⁻⁶

Reports on kidney transplant outcomes largely focus on the rates of graft and/or patient survival.^{4,7,8} In contrast, patient morbidity in the postoperative period is poorly described in the literature. Reports on kidney transplant complications are sparse and generally reported without standardized definitions or severity.^{9,10} This deficiency in the literature may be due to a lack of uniform descriptions of what defines a complication. Further, the significance of different events in the postoperative period varies depending on the patient population and procedure undertaken, making quantification of morbidity difficult. Several authors have subsequently developed reports defining postoperative complications and their respective severities for specific surgical procedures, including pancreaticoduodenostomy and liver transplant.^{11,12}

The objectives of this study are two-fold: first, to quantify the severity and nature of the 90-day postoperative complications of kidney transplant at our institution; second, to assess the relationship between preoperative comorbidity, as defined by the CCI, and postoperative complications outlined by the Clavien-Dindo classification. We hypothesize that the severity of complications in transplant recipients will be commensurate with reports in the literature for other major surgi-

cal procedures, and the CCI will strongly correlate with the rate and severity of postoperative complications.

Methods

A retrospective review of renal transplants at our institution from 2011–2013 was undertaken. Our centre services a population of approximately 2–3 million people, comprised of those living in Northern and Central Alberta, Northwestern Saskatchewan, Northeastern British Columbia, and the Northwest Territories. We included all kidney transplant recipients 18 years or older who received followup transplant care in Edmonton, AB, Canada. We excluded pediatric recipients, those receiving simultaneous kidney-pancreas transplants, and patients who were followed by nephrologists outside of our institution. The research protocol was approved by the Human Research Ethics Board at the University of Alberta.

Data was abstracted from the Organ Transplant Tracking Record (OTTR[®]) transplant electronic medical record (EMR), the in-house EMR used to document patient interactions with transplant healthcare providers. Patient information is linked to the healthcare profile on the provincial health record, creating a robust compilation of medical information. A query into the EMR for all recipients of a kidney from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2013 provided a list of potential patients for review. Patient files meeting inclusion criteria were fully analyzed. Demographic information, including age at transplant, gender, body mass index (BMI), cause of ESRD, type of transplanted kidney, and date of transplant were collected. A complete list of documented comorbidities was compiled from the EMR by analyzing multiple visits with the transplant team to ensure completeness. The CCI was calculated for each patient.¹³ Complications were identified through review of discharge summaries post-transplant, as well as any subsequent admission histories, emergency room visit records, and/or subsequent discharge summaries created for the patient in the 90-day postoperative period. Clinic progress notes, compiled by nephrologists, registered nurses, dieticians, and social workers as part of routine followup care, were reviewed for identification of potential complications. This multidisciplinary lens on the 90-day postoperative period improved the robustness of our data collection despite our retrospective design.

Identified events potentially representing complications were documented and reviewed by the authors as a group to confirm the designation of complication. Of note, we assessed delayed graft function (DGF; defined at our institution as a decrease in serum creatinine of <10% in the first week or requiring hemodialysis within the first week of transplant) was not a complication unless dialysis was indicated for the treatment of life-threatening hyperkalemia or volume overload. This distinction was made because these patients

are on dialysis preoperatively, therefore DGF is not a new complication, but rather a failure or delay in the realization of the outcome of the surgical intervention. Complications were then scored using the most recently published iteration of the Clavien-Dindo complication scale.¹⁴ The Clavien-Dindo scale is a validated tool that rates complications on a scale of 1–5 based on the intervention required to address them.¹⁴ We defined a severe complication as Grade 3b or higher, and a significant complication as Grade 2 or higher.

Statistical analysis was carried out on SPSS[®] version 22.0 software. Descriptive statistics of demographic information were calculated, and to identify risk factors associated with the development of a severe postoperative complication, univariate and multivariate stepwise logistic regression analyses were used. Significance was set at $\alpha=0.05$.

Results

A total of 198 patients met inclusion criteria for analysis. The demographic information is shown in Table 1. Most recipients were male (136/198) and the population was mildly overweight (average BMI 27.4). Table 2 displays the frequency of CCI scores. Most patients carried a mild degree of comorbid illness, with the median CCI 3 (interquartile range [IQR] 2–4), and most had a CCI of 2 or 3 (150/198). The distribution of patients populating each category of the CCI is shown in Table 3. Of note, diabetes mellitus was the most frequent CCI factor other than moderate to severe chronic kidney disease contributing to comorbidity.

Fig.1 displays the number of patients experiencing each Clavien grade of complication. Overall, 60% of transplant recipients experienced at least one significant complication. Furthermore, 15% of patients experienced a severe complication, with one death occurring in the 90-day postoperative period (cardiac arrest at home Day 89 post-transplant; male, age 38, CCI 5) (diabetes mellitus [n=2], ESRD [n=2], peripheral vascular disease [n=1], living donor); no other grafts were lost in the 90-day postoperative period. Sixty-eight different complications were identified and are presented in Table 4. Most complications occurred infrequently,

Table 1. Demographic information

N	198
Age (mean, SD)	52 (14)
No. male	136
No. female	62
BMI (mean, SD)	27.4 (4.9)
Donor type	
Live donor/paired exchange	77
Cardiac death	9
Neurologic death	112

BMI: body mass index; SD: standard deviation.

Table 2. Frequency of Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) scores

CCI score frequencies			
Median (IQR)	3	1	
CCI score	n	% of patients	Cumulative %
0	0	0.0%	0.0%
1	0	0.0%	0.0%
2	90	45.5%	45.5%
3	60	30.3%	75.8%
4	30	15.2%	90.9%
5	8	4.0%	94.9%
6	7	3.5%	98.5%
7	1	0.5%	99.0%
8	2	1.0%	100.0%

IQR: interquartile range.

however, certain events appeared with greater regularity. These were blood transfusion (19%), diarrhea from immunosuppressant medication (16%), urinary tract infection (UTI) (6%), de novo hypertension (4%), ileus (4%), and symptomatic perigraft fluid collections (lymphocele, hematoma) (4%). Among those patients who received a blood transfusion, the mean preoperative hemoglobin was 105 g/L (standard deviation [SD] 14.5), while the mean preoperative hemoglobin in those spared a transfusion was 109 g/L (SD 15.1) ($p=0.18$).

Logistic regression analysis was used to assess the impact of preoperative characteristics in determining the risk of development of a severe complication. Among age, gender, BMI, and CCI, only the CCI was associated with a significantly increased risk of severe complication (odds ratio [OR] 1.7; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.3–2.3). The impact of BMI approached significance (OR 1.09; 95% CI 0.99–1.18), but the interaction of BMI and CCI was not significant (OR 1.01; 95% CI 0.95–1.08). The cause of ESRD and type of kidney donated (living, donation after cardiac death, neurologic death donor) were not significantly associated with the occurrence of severe complications (data not shown).

To further elucidate the contributing factors for the development of severe complications, univariate logistic regression analysis between individual CCI categories and the rate of complications was performed, and subsequent multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed on each CCI category of significance. Univariate regression suggested the history of diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and stroke may be associated with increased risk of severe complication. Subsequent multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed only diabetes mellitus (OR 2.9; 95% CI 1.3–6.9) and peripheral vascular disease (OR 4.4; 95% CI 1.0–18.9) were significantly predictive of severe complications.

Table 3. Breakdown of Charlson Comorbidity Index categories and frequency of patients populating each category

CCI categories	n
1. Myocardial infarction	11
2. Congestive heart failure	3
3. Peripheral vascular disease	10
4. Cerebrovascular disease	9
5. Dementia	1
6. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	15
7. Connective tissue disease	12
8. Peptic ulcer disease	14
9. Diabetes mellitus (1 point uncomplicated, 2 points if end-organ damage)	64
10. Moderate to severe chronic kidney disease (2 points)	198
11. Hemiplegia (2 points)	0
12. Leukemia (2 points)	0
13. Malignant lymphoma (2 points)	0
14. Solid tumour (2 points, 6 points if metastatic)	14
15. Liver disease (1 point mild, 3 points if moderate to severe)	18
16. AIDS (6 points)	0

Discussion

Kidney transplantation remains the optimal treatment for renal replacement therapy in those with ESRD in terms of both quality and quantity of life.¹⁵ While outcomes of kidney transplant with respect to graft and patient survival are generally excellent, the morbidity associated with the surgery itself is significant. In our study, more than half of patients who underwent a kidney transplant experienced a significant postoperative complication. Specifically, 60% of patients experienced a Clavien-Dindo Grade 2 or worse complication, with 15% experiencing a severe complication. Of note, nearly one in five patients required blood transfusion after surgery.

The morbidity associated with kidney transplant is on par with other morbid urological procedures. Fairley et al found that in patients undergoing radical cystectomy with urinary diversion, 47% of patients experience an early postoperative complication and 25% of patients experience a major early complication.¹⁶ In this retrospective analysis, level of comorbidity, as categorized by the Adult Comorbidity Evaluation (ACE)-27 score, was associated with the risk of development of minor and major postoperative complications, as well as 90-day mortality. The use of different instruments to evaluate comorbidity precludes direct comparison of absolute increases in risk of complication, but the qualitative trend is reproduced by our data: higher comorbidity correlates with worse complications.

Other reports available on the rates of complication in kidney transplant appear to underestimate risk when com-




Fig. 1. Percentage of patients experiencing each Clavien-Dindo grade of complication.

pared to our data. Wolff et al retrospectively reported on the rates of complications after kidney transplant over 36 years at their institution and found that 24% of patients experienced at least one complication, whereas our data found a 60% rate of any significant complication.⁹ These authors defined a complication as the occurrence of one of six classes of events stemming from the graft itself: hemorrhage requiring reoperation, lymphocele requiring intervention, infection of any kind in the surgical site, complications of the ureter or ureteric anastomosis, vascular anastomosis complications, and neighbouring organ injury.⁹ No differentiation was made between the severity of complications or quantification of other perioperative events, such as myocardial infarction or venous thromboembolism, and thus were not included in their analysis. Despite capturing a wider breadth of postoperative events as complications, our recorded rates of complication, as defined by Wolff and colleagues, are fewer. In our series, the rate of comparable complications was only 15.2%. This lower number likely reflects the contemporary nature of our data in contrast to their historical data on surgical outcomes. This observation highlights the potential value of standardized, reportable complication systems.

Issues with standardized reporting of complications are evident in other reports on transplant outcomes. In a report of 90-day complications after simultaneous kidney-pancreas (K-P) transplantation, 23 of 112 (21%) recipients experienced a complication of Clavien-Dindo Grade 2 or higher.¹⁰ These results appear to contrast with our results. Like Wolff et al, these authors limit their reporting of postoperative complications to those directly related to the graft: graft dysfunction, ureteral complications, infection of graft, perigraft fluid collections, and vascular thrombosis. Patients experience all complications, not just ones stemming from the graft itself; the omission of other relevant perioperative events significantly underestimates the risk of complications related to kidney transplant potentially experienced by recipients. The importance of recognizing more “minor” complications can aid in managing patient expectations.

In a report by Clavien et al, the reported rate of any postoperative complication in liver transplant recipients was

Table 4. Description and rates of all complications in the 90-day postoperative period

90-day complications breakdowns	n	%
Cardiac	22	11.1
Hypertensive urgency	1	0.5
Pericarditis	1	0.5
Cardiac arrest	2	1.0
Non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction	3	1.5
Hypotension	2	1.0
Cardiogenic shock	2	1.0
Supraventricular tachycardia	1	0.5
Atrial fibrillation	2	1.0
Hypertension (new onset requiring medical therapy)	8	4.0
Respiratory	20	10.1
Respiratory failure	4	2.0
Pleural effusion	1	0.5
Viral upper/lower respiratory tract infection	2	1.0
Atelectasis	1	0.5
Pulmonary embolism	1	0.5
Pulmonary edema	6	3.0
Pneumonia	5	2.5
Gastrointestinal	62	31.3
<i>C. difficile</i> infection	3	1.5
Chronic abdominal pain	3	1.5
Diarrhea, resolving after immunosuppressant adjustment	32	16.2
Dysphagia	1	0.5
Gastroenteritis	1	0.5
Gastrointestinal bleed	3	1.5
Ileus	8	4.0
Nausea/vomiting	5	2.5
Pancreatic leak	1	0.5
Small bowel obstruction	3	1.5
Constipation, chronic	1	0.5
Pill esophagitis	1	0.5
Neurological	2	1.0
Zoster	1	0.5
Subarachnoid hemorrhage	1	0.5
Hematological	43	21.7
Transfusion of packed red blood cells	38	19.2
Deep vein thrombosis	4	2.0
Hemolytic drug reaction	1	0.5

99%.¹² The authors report the rates of complications using a scoring system modified from the original Clavien-Dindo classification that is specific for commonly encountered complications after liver transplant. The use of a novel and procedure-specific complication scale is justified, as the standard postoperative course for liver transplant involves routine intensive care unit admission and invasive cardio-

Table 4 (cont'd). Description and rates of all complications in the 90-day postoperative period

90-day complications breakdowns	n	%
Metabolic	15	7.6
Hyperkalemia (requiring HD)	2	1.0
Post-transplant diabetes	7	3.5
Hypercalcemia (severe)	1	0.5
Hypomagnesemia (severe)	1	0.5
Hypoglycemic emergency	1	0.5
Tertiary hyperparathyroidism	1	0.5
Drug-induced transaminitis	1	0.5
Transient hyperglycemia	1	0.5
Infectious	35	17.7
Sepsis	7	3.5
Urinary tract infection	11	5.6
Graft bed infection (abscess/infected lymphocele or hematoma)	5	2.5
Wound infection	4	2.0
Immunosuppressant related infection (BK viruria, CMV viremia)	5	2.5
Cervical lymphadenitis	1	0.5
Esophageal candidiasis	1	0.5
Oral thrush	1	0.5
Graft	19	9.6
Calcineurin inhibitor toxicity/complication	2	1.0
Ureteric obstruction (clot, stricture)	4	2.0
Perigraft collections (lymphocele; hematoma) - symptomatic	8	4.0
Ureteric leak	1	0.5
Gross hematuria	1	0.5
Wound dehiscence	1	0.5
Glomerulonephritis recurrence	1	0.5
Wound seroma	1	0.5
Other	15	7.6
Delayed mobilization requiring rehab team and extending stay	1	0.5
Poor pain control requiring acute pain service	1	0.5
Panic attack	1	0.5
Fall	1	0.5
Gout flair	3	1.5
Steroid induced psychosis	1	0.5
Urge incontinence (new)	2	1.0
Reactive arthritis	1	0.5
Sacral ulcer	1	0.5
Urethral injury (Foley malposition)	1	0.5
Urinary retention	2	1.0

vascular monitoring that most other surgical procedures do not usually require.

In a similar vein, DeOliviera et al adapted the Clavien-Dindo scale to accommodate the commonly encountered complications in pancreatic surgery.¹¹ Within the Clavien-

Dindo grades, the severity of pancreatic surgery-specific complications were scaled according to the relative impact the complication had. For example, the authors report pancreatic fistula occurring in 9% (57/633) of patients, but the complication was scored as Grade 2 in 33 (5%), Grade 3a in 15 (2%), and Grade 5 in two patients (0.3%). The weight ascribed to a given complication is dependent on the procedure performed. Our study attempted to slightly modify the definition of postoperative events while maintaining alignment with the Clavien-Dindo scale. Specifically, the occurrence of DGF and the associated dialysis that ensues was not in and of itself considered a complication unless it treated a life-threatening event (i.e., hyperkalemia requiring urgent dialysis — a Grade 4 complication according to Dindo et al¹⁴).

The present study does suffer from some limitations. The retrospective nature of the analysis creates the possibility of certain biases; however, this is minimized by the use of multiple data sources. Comorbidities used to calculate CCI were only included if they were documented in the EMR, potentially underreporting well-managed or undisclosed comorbid conditions; the integrative and multidisciplinary nature of patient care pre- and post-transplant reduces this risk.

Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the most in-depth report of 90-day postoperative complications available for kidney transplant surgery. The procedure, despite its excellent long-term successes, carries significant morbidity, with a nearly one in five chance of requiring blood transfusion, and a one in six chance of Clavien-Dindo Grade 3b or worse complication. This information is important for patient counselling preoperatively. It provides a realistic rate of complications — beyond those that threaten the graft — that should be disclosed and discussed with patients. As well, individual patient risk of severe complication may be anticipated by the presence of certain factors, namely higher CCI and more specifically, the presence of diabetes mellitus and peripheral vascular disease, which may help inform decisions on pre-emptive usage of intestine care unit or intermediate-care beds.

Complication reporting suffers in the literature due to non-standardization of case definitions and incomplete reporting of all relevant perioperative events. Other authors^{11,12} have demonstrated the utility of developing procedure-specific definitions of complications that more accurately report on the relative severity of a complication in context of the usual postoperative course. The development of similar procedure-specific definitions of complications and their relative severities is needed for kidney transplantation as well. With improved reporting on complications, transplant program quality assessment and outcomes research can be better appraised and improved upon in the future.

Competing interests: The authors report no competing personal or financial interests.

This paper has been peer-reviewed.

References

1. Sedigh A, Tufveson G, Backman L, et al. Initial experience with hypothermic machine perfusion of kidneys from deceased donors in the Uppsala region in Sweden. *Transplant Proc* 2013;45:1168-71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2012.10.017>
2. Bathini V, McGregor T, McAlister VC, et al. Renal perfusion pump vs. cold storage for donation after cardiac death kidneys: A systematic review. *J Urol* 2013;189:2214-20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2012.11.173>
3. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, et al. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and validation. *J Chronic Dis* 1987;40:373-83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9681\(87\)90171-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9681(87)90171-8)
4. Grosso G, Corona D, Mistretta A, et al. Predictive value of the Charlson Comorbidity Index in kidney transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2012;44:1859-63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2012.06.042>
5. Jassal SV, Schaubel DE, Fenton SS. Baseline comorbidity in kidney transplant recipients: A comparison of comorbidity indices. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2005;46:136-42. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2005.03.006>
6. Hemmelgarn BR, Manns BJ, Quan H et al. Adapting the Charlson Comorbidity Index for use in patients with ESRD. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2003;42:125-32. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6386\(03\)00415-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6386(03)00415-3)
7. Beddhu S, Bruns FJ, Saul M, et al. A simple comorbidity scale predicts clinical outcomes and costs in dialysis patients. *Am J Med* 2000;108:609-13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9343\(00\)00371-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9343(00)00371-5)
8. Mirzaee M, Azmandian J, Zeraati H, et al. Patient survival in renal allograft failure: A time-dependent analysis. *Nephrourol Mon* 2013;6:e13589. <https://doi.org/10.5812/numonthly.13589>
9. Wolff T, Schumacher M, Dell-Kuster S, et al. Surgical complications in kidney transplantation: No evidence for a learning curve. *J Surg Educ* 2014;71:748-55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2014.03.007>
10. Grochowicki T, Gałazka Z, Madej K, et al. Early complications related to the transplanted kidney after simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2014;46:2815-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2014.08.011>
11. DeOliveira ML, Winter JM, Schafer M, et al. Assessment of complications after pancreatic surgery: A novel grading system applied to 633 patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Ann Surg* 2006;244:931-7; discussion 937-9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000246856.03918.9a>
12. Clavien PA, Camargo CA, Jr, Croxford R, et al. Definition and classification of negative outcomes in solid organ transplantation: Application in liver transplantation. *Ann Surg* 1994;220:109-20. <https://doi.org/10.1097/0000658-199408000-00002>
13. Friedl I, Bernardini J, Piraino B. Charlson Comorbidity Index as a predictor of outcomes in incident peritoneal dialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2001;37:337-42. <https://doi.org/10.1053/ajkd.2001.21300>
14. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: A new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg* 2004;240:205-13. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.sla.0000133083.54934.ae>
15. Huang Y, Samaniego M. Preemptive kidney transplantation: Has it come of age? *Nephrol Ther* 2012;8:428-32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nephro.2012.06.004>
16. Fahey A, Chehner M, Metcalfe J, et al. Associations among age, comorbidity, and clinical outcomes after radical cystectomy: Results from the Alberta Urology Institute radical cystectomy database. *J Urol* 2008;180:128-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.juro.2008.03.057>

Correspondence: Dr. Max A. Levine, Division of Urology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada; mlevine@ualberta.ca

The Juravinski Cancer Centre Uro-Oncology Forum 2018

SAVE-THE-DATE

April 13-14, 2018
Pillar and Post Inn
Niagara-on-the-Lake, ON



The JCC Uro-Oncology Forum will bring together experts from the Juravinski Cancer Centre and the Walker Family Cancer Centre and is set to target community urologists, medical oncologists and radiation oncologists in Ontario. Scientific sessions will focus on bladder, kidney and prostate cancers along with a research update on clinical trials.

Friday, April 13, 2018		Saturday, April 14, 2018	
8:00 - 15:00	Scientific Sessions	8:00 - 15:00	Scientific Sessions
18:00 - 21:00	Welcome Dinner		



cuameeting.org