Management of adult concealed penis using a meshed, split-thickness skin graft
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Abstract

Introduction: Concealed penis (CP) is a rare problem faced by urologists and plastic surgeons. CP occurs secondary to trauma, obesity, or infection. Surgical treatment is individualized and based on patient and provider variables. We aim to review our recent experience using meshed split-thickness skin grafting (STSG) for CP management.

Methods: A retrospective review was performed on patients who underwent STSG for CP at our institution. Records were reviewed for demographic, operative, and postoperative variables. Preoperative and postoperative photos were obtained to monitor cosmetic results.

Results: Eleven patients underwent CP release with meshed STSG placement. All cases showed improved functional phallic length and good cosmetic results, regardless of etiology.

Conclusions: STSG is a viable option for penile coverage for management of this difficult-to-treat CP population. This primary or salvage modality offers excellent cosmetic results and may be used following prior reconstructive attempts.

Introduction

Concealed penis (CP) in the adult population is a rare and challenging condition and is frequently managed in a multidisciplinary fashion. Unlike the pediatric population, adult CP is more commonly an acquired condition secondary to trauma, obesity, infection, or following surgery. In addition to physical impairments, CP may also result in psychological anxiety and distress.

The optimal management strategy for CP in adults is poorly documented, with surgical reconstruction performed based on the extent of penile and scrotal skin defect, etiology of CP, and provider experience. Given the relative infrequency of CP in adults, limited data exist regarding the optimal reconstruction procedure for resected penile skin.

In 1993, Donatucci and Ritter first reported a treatment algorithm of penile skin coverage based on their series of adult CP reconstruction. Ten years later, Pestana et al revisited the subject and provided a thorough overview of the literature and current techniques available for adult CP. Higuchi et al made great strides in classifying the problem of CP and presented a well-organized updated treatment algorithm based on Donatucci and Pestana’s earlier works. Although surgical techniques vary, the primary goal of treatment is to improve the patient’s quality of life, including retentive or dysfunctional voiding habits, sexual function, and overall genital aesthetics.

Full-thickness skin grafts (FTSG) have been advocated in the use of penile coverage in order to minimize primary contraction when compared to split-thickness skin grafts (STSG). However, the presence of hair follicles within the dermis of FTSG also makes this a poor option for penile grafting. Donor site morbidity is minimized with STSG.

The majority of disease processes that cause CP are isolated to the skin and external subcutaneous tissue layers, sparing the tissue beneath the deep (Buck’s) penile fascia. Excision of the diseased tissue is commonly required, with the extent of resection varying by disease etiology (i.e., panniculectomy or escutcheonectomy for obesity). In patients with lymphedema, a more extensive excision may be required to assure complete removal of affected tissue.

Several techniques for penile skin reconstruction have been reported. Among men with prominent suprapubic adiposity, Alter and Ehrlich described performing suprapubic dermatolipectomy with fixation of the penopubic subdermis to the rectus fascia. Penile and scrotal skin coverage was subsequently achieved using Z-plasty techniques without need for skin grafting.

The use of STSG for the correction of CP and resurfacing of the penile shaft has been described in pediatric and trauma series, with successful outcomes reported. STSG has been used by plastic surgeons for many years and allows for the resurfacing of large wounds. Tang et al reported outcomes from five acquired CP patients undergoing escutch-
eonectomy and scrotoplasty with STSG placement and fibrin glue application. Similarly, Black et al reported use of a meshed STSG for extensive penile skin loss coverage in nine patients and noted successful graft uptake in all patients, with excellent esthetic results.

Given the relative lack of literature on CP management, the objective of this communication is to report outcomes of men undergoing meshed STSG placement for penile skin coverage during CP reconstruction.

**Methods**

A retrospective chart review was performed on patients who underwent reconstruction of CP with STSG placement from 2000–2013. Patient records were reviewed for demographic data, including age at surgery, etiology of CP, and medical comorbidities. Intraoperative variables were tabulated, including graft size, site of graft harvest, and concurrent penile prosthesis. Length of followup was assessed based on the patients’ most recent clinical appointment. Pre- and postoperative images were reviewed to compare and report cosmetic results.

**Surgical technique**

Patients underwent CP release, which included several key steps:

1. Excision of the diseased penile tissue or surrounding subcutaneous tissue and fat to release CP
2. Harvesting of the skin graft with electric dermatome
4. Fixation of subcutaneous suprapubic dermis to penile suspensory ligament
5. Placement of negative pressure wound vacuum to the graft site

Patients are customarily placed in a low lithotomy position with the genitalia, pannus, and bilateral thighs included in the operative field. A Foley catheter is customarily placed to assist in urethral identification during tissue excision and, on occasion, a penile glanular traction suture to facilitate dissection and mobilization. Excess penile soft tissue is subsequently resected with caution to avoid injuring the superficial neurovascular structures. Additional scar tissue and ligamentous attachments are identified and resected to provide further penile mobility.

Skin grafts from the lateral thigh or abdominal pannus are harvested prior to panniculectomy. An electric dermatome (Zimmer Electric Dermatome, Warsaw, IN, U.S.) is preferred, with graft depths set to 0.012–0.018 inches. The use of the dermatome provides a more consistent graft depth compared to manual extraction, with split thickness used to expose the superficial dermal plexus and aid in graft inosculation. To minimize hemorrhage, 1% lidocaine with epinephrine may be instilled subcutaneously at the graft site prior to dissection.

The graft is then meshed with fenestrations using a Zimmer-type mesher (Zimmer MeshGraft II, Warsaw, IN, U.S.) in a 1.5:1 expansion ratio. The graft is then applied to the denuded area with the dermal side facing the penile shaft tissue and sutured in place with interrupted sutures. As needed, advancement sutures may be placed to secure the proximal penile shaft to the rectus fascia or pubic symphysis to prevent phallus retraction. Optimal placement is performed at positions to avoid injury to the dorsal neurovascular bundle. A negative-pressure wound vacuum is placed around the graft bed and connected to low continuous suction (75 mmHg) to provide optimal drainage of the wound bed. Wound vac therapy is maintained for 7–10 days to optimize graft yields.

**Table 1. Preoperative demographics of patient series**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Age at DOS (years)</th>
<th>Preoperative Dx</th>
<th>Graft size (sq cm)</th>
<th>IPP</th>
<th>Other procedures performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Urethral stricture, severe concealed penis</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Liposuction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Hidden penis syndrome, secondary to circumcision</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Release of fundiform ligament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Balanitis, obesity</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Lymphedema, concealed penis, morbid obesity; penis buried 8 inches into fat</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Panniculectomy, scrotoplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Shortened from circumcision, cicatricial penile adhesions</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Bxo of foreskin and cephalad portion of scrotum</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Partial panniculectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Penile skin necrosis secondary to IPP</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Hidden penis syndrome and dependent panniculus</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Dermolipectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Concealed penis, scrotal lymphedema, scrotal hidradenitis</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Scrotoplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Foreign body in penile soft tissue; silicone injections for girth</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excision of foreign body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Hidden penis, panniculus adiposus</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Panniculectomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bxo: balanitis xerotica obliterans; DOS: date of service; DX: diagnosis; IPP: inflatable penile prosthesis.
Results

During the study period, a total of 11 patients underwent CP release and placement of meshed STSG. Mean patient age was 49 years (range 28–69), and mean graft size was 148 cm² (range 80–400 cm²). Contributing etiologies for CP included pelvic trauma (n=1), infections/necrosis following inflatable penile prosthesis (IPP) placement (n=2), balanitis xerotica obliterans (BXO) (n=3), morbid obesity (n=2), and cicatricial band formation following circumcision or other types of penile surgery (n=3) (Table 1).

Skin grafts were isolated either from the abdominal pannus (in cases of panniculectomy) or the lateral thighs. One patient underwent concomitant IPP placement, while two underwent subsequent prosthesis insertion. The majority of procedures (10/11) were primary CP releases, with one patient undergoing salvage therapy following a failed prior reconstruction with scrotal flap advancement.

At time of publication, none of the 11 patients needed further surgical management for CP. All patients at time of clinic followup were subjectively able to urinate to completion without new lower urinary tract symptoms.

Immediate and delayed postoperative imaging was obtained to evaluate cosmesis and graft take/contraction (Figs. 1–5).

Discussion

CP represented a challenging clinical problem with need for surgical expertise in skin flap advancement and/or graft placement. In more complex cases, autologous grafting is a viable option for wound coverage. In our experience, the use of micro-fenestrated meshed STSG results in excellent graft take/survival and cosmesis. This method can be employed for either primary or salvage procedures.

The use of STSG for penile skin coverage has been previously described by Black et al.10 At our facility, we routinely perform a fenestrated meshing in an attempt to improve the likelihood of graft survival via enhanced imbibition and reduction of graft contracture, as some researchers have previously described.11 Meshed STSG have the benefit of complete drainage of any fluid collections and allow surgeons to...
minimize the amount of graft area that has to heal through secondary intention, which can result in a “pebbling” of the graft. The use of a fenestrated technique has been shown to allow adequate contouring to the graft bed while minimizing the “pebbling” effect of meshed grafts.\textsuperscript{12} Secondly, the use of a STSG allows for pliability during wound healing, especially in patients with nocturnal erectile function. Given the dynamic nature of penile tissue in men with normal erectile function, a STSG allows for stretching of the graft, which undoubtedly contributes to a reduction in graft contracture as opposed to a FTSG. We feel our technique minimizes the scarring process of graft wound healing. This result is demonstrated in the durability of the treatment, as none of these patients needed further treatment. This was especially important in the patients who had CP due to progressive infectious processes, such as BXO.

The adjunctive use of a negative-pressure wound vacuum device reduces fluid accumulation under the graft and eliminates seroma formation, which increase the likelihood for graft success.\textsuperscript{10} Placement of a wound vac also enhances

---

**Fig. 3.** Pre- (top) and postoperative (bottom) images demonstrating severe scrotal lymphedema and hidradenitis. Note the use of tacking sutures (bottom) placed subcutaneously to secure the skin to the base of the dorsal penile shaft.

**Fig. 4.** Three-month postoperative result demonstrates excellent graft take and cosmetic result with no evidence of graft contracture.

**Fig. 5.** One-year followup images show maintenance of penile protrusion and good cosmesis of penile shaft.
Adult concealed penis

Graft contouring to the wound bed to further enhance cosmetic appearance and overall success.

Given the surgical complexity of CP, the operating surgeon needs to be comfortable with a variety of techniques for wound coverage, including transfer tissue grafts and advancement grafts. In addition to a number of other surgical procedures in the literature, the use of meshed STSG as penile skin coverage is a viable option after extensive debridement and generally results in an excellent overall cosmetics and preserved function. Our series demonstrates that meshed STSG can be used in a variety of CP situations and needs to be considered by surgeons performing reconstructive penile procedures.
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