Bladder rupture after voiding cystourethrography: A case report and literature review on pitfalls and bladder volume estimation
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Abstract

Voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) is a common diagnostic study in pediatric urology. Although generally considered a safe procedure, VCUG can incur some complications. Bladder rupture following VCUG is a very rare complication and mostly happens in the setting of unused bladders, previous surgeries, or underlying disease. The rupture is almost always intraperitoneal needing prompt surgical treatment. We present a unique case of extraperitoneal bladder rupture after VCUG in an 8-month-old boy. The rupture remained unnoticed and the patient recovered spontaneously without medical or surgical intervention. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first such case report in the English literature. The information provided may guide clinicians on the proper use of bladder volume formulas in children and also help them in getting a proper VCUG study.

Introduction

Voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) is a common diagnostic procedure in pediatric urology. Although VCUG is generally safe, rare but serious complications may occur during or after VCUG.\(^1\) Different factors like patient age, underlying diseases, chronic conditions, and imaging technique are important factors in obtaining a safe VCUG. We report a unique case of neglected extraperitoneal bladder rupture after VCUG; the patient healed spontaneously with no medical or surgical intervention. We also reviewed the literature on bladder rupture after VCUG in children with no known chronic underlying disease with a focus on bladder capacity estimation and the VCUG methods used.

Case report

After multiple episodes of urinary tract infections, VCUG was performed on an 8-month-old boy at a district private radiology center. He was born at 30-weeks gestation by Cesarean section due to maternal pre-eclampsia with a birth weight of 1280 grams. He was admitted to neonatal intensive care unit after birth. He was otherwise healthy, with a weight of 6200 grams at the time of the VCUG. A radiology technician performed the study without supervision of a physician. A Foley catheter was used with the balloon inflated in the bladder. Contrast material was prepared by diluting the contrast medium with saline, according to the recipe and about 60 mL of contrast material was manually instilled using a 60-mL syringe. Extravasation of contrast material remained unnoticed during the imaging and the study was completed after obtaining post-voiding views. The child had no fever and was asymptomatic, except for a transient hematuria at home (lasting less than 24 hours) and the parents did not seek medical advice. On reviewing the VCUG 4 days after the procedure, the physician noted perivesical extravasation of contrast material extending to the extraperitoneal space and a bilateral vesicoureteral reflux (Fig. 1).

The child underwent an additional VCUG on the fifth day that did not show any extravasation of contrast material (Fig. 2). He was referred to our tertiary centre for further workup. Abdominal x-ray and ultrasound studies were normal. No surgical or medical intervention was needed given the time lapse and since the child was asymptomatic. Abdominal x-ray and ultrasound studies were also normal, with no evidence of urinoma or collections 1 month after the procedure.

Discussion

Non-traumatic bladder rupture in children is rare and almost always happens in the setting of chronic unused bladders, previous surgeries, or underlying disease.\(^2\) Besides the uncommon, although serious, complications of VCUG like sepsis and hypersensitivity to contrast medium,\(^3\) bladder rupture may lead to devastating and life-threatening complications especially in patients with underlying conditions, such...
as chronic unused bladders and spina bifida. For example, reflux of contrast material via ventriculoperitoneal shunt has been reported after intraperitoneal bladder rupture during VCUG, leading to severe neurological toxicity.3 Bladder rupture during VCUG in an otherwise healthy child is even rarer.4-11 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported case of an asymptomatic extraperitoneal bladder rupture with spontaneous healing.

Using a Foley catheter with the balloon inflated in bladder was the first pitfall in this case. The balloon may have obstructed the bladder neck and prevented urine leakage if the pressure and volume was beyond the bladder endurance.5 A 5-F feeding tube is recommended for children <1 year of age and an 8-F feeding tube may be used in older children.2 Additionally, the contrast material should be instilled using the gravity method with the container placed no higher than 60 cm above the patient’s level.5 Manual instillation (as happened in this case) should be avoided due to risk of rapid pressure increase and consequent bladder

Table 1. Reported cases of bladder rupture following VCUG in children without history of known chronic underlying disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Age and sex</th>
<th>VCUG method</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Type of rupture</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crowley and McAlister 19954</td>
<td>4.5 years, F</td>
<td>8 F feeding</td>
<td>220 mL</td>
<td>Extravasation to bladder wall and perivesical tissue</td>
<td>Antibiotics and antispasmodics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wosnitzer et al. 20055</td>
<td>90 days, F</td>
<td>5 F feeding</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Intraperitoneal</td>
<td>Open surgery, catheter drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cam 20066</td>
<td>4 months, M</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Intraperitoneal (anterior wall)</td>
<td>Open surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Kajbafzadeh et al. 20077</td>
<td>20 days, M</td>
<td>6 F feeding</td>
<td>60 mL</td>
<td>Intraperitoneal (bladder dome)</td>
<td>Open surgery, suprapubic tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kajbafzadeh et al. 20077</td>
<td>9 months, F</td>
<td>8 F feeding</td>
<td>100 mL</td>
<td>Intraperitoneal (bladder dome)</td>
<td>Open surgery, catheter left in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lim et al. 20077**</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>6 F Foley</td>
<td>100 mL</td>
<td>Intraperitoneal</td>
<td>Open surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lim et al. 20077**</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>6 F feeding</td>
<td>50 mL</td>
<td>Intraperitoneal (bladder dome)</td>
<td>Open surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Khavari et al. 20106</td>
<td>29 months, F</td>
<td>8 F feeding</td>
<td>325 mL</td>
<td>Extraperitoneal</td>
<td>Non-operative, 48-hour catheter drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Oktar et al. 201110</td>
<td>8 years, F</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Intraperitoneal (bladder dome)</td>
<td>Laparoscopic repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lee et al. 201211</td>
<td>9 months, F</td>
<td>5 F Foley</td>
<td>80 mL</td>
<td>Intraperitoneal (left bladder wall)</td>
<td>Open surgery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NM: not mentioned; VCUG: voiding cystourethrography; F: female; M: male; *Article in Korean.
rupture. The dome of the bladder is the weakest part, and most of the previously reported cases suffered a rupture in the dome area and all (except one) had intraperitoneal bladder rupture necessitating surgical intervention (Table 1). However, in our case the rupture was extraperitoneal and most probably happened through a weak point at left side of the bladder wall (Fig. 1, part A, long arrow).

While most children with intraperitoneal bladder rupture will need open or laparoscopic surgery, the management of extraperitoneal bladder rupture should be individualized. Minimal signs and symptoms are expected due to the absence of peritoneal irritation. Conservative and non-invasive treatment by placing the urethral catheter with appropriate follow-up is usually enough to treat extraperitoneal bladder rupture in the absence of concomitant injuries. Although the bladder volume is well-studied in adults and older children, few studies have addressed this parameter in infants and children <2 years of age (Table 2). Recently, Costa and colleagues suggested that most formulas overestimate bladder capacity in infants. Using urodynamic data, they showed that the mean bladder capacity is about 48.9 mL in a 9-month-old infant—less than half the volume calculated using common formulas for this age. This may also be the case in our patient since 60 mL of contrast material was instilled during the first VCUG. According to the formulas specific for children under a year old, the estimated bladder capacity in our case would be as low as 42.2 mL (Fairhurst formula) and up to 58 mL (Holmdahl formula). However, using formulas proposed by Koff and Keafer and colleagues would largely overestimate these values (80–100 mL). This highlights the importance of precise bladder capacity estimation in younger infants. Additionally, age-based formulas may overestimate bladder capacity in young infants, specifically in patients born prematurely with lower birth weights. Therefore, using weight-based formulas may be more appropriate. Ideally sex-specific weight and age-based charts should be available to estimate bladder capacity in children. As proposed by Martinez-Garcia and colleagues, we also believe that the bladder is not like a "rigid vase" with a specific and constant capacity. The setting in which the bladder capacity formulas are calculated in different studies (e.g., under anesthesia, during natural voiding, or based on urodynamic study) is also important since the presence of a catheter in the bladder and the stressful stimuli may lead to decreased bladder capacity compared to a relaxed bladder.

### Conclusions

Pediatric urologists and radiologists should pay attention to the setting in which VCUG is performed, and assess the risk of overestimating bladder capacity. Additionally, conditions like hutch diverticula may remain undiagnosed in an otherwise healthy child until the VCUG is performed. Moreover, a large volume instilled in the bladder may increase the risk of bladder rupture in these cases.
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### Table 2. Commonly used formulas for assessment of bladder capacity in children under 2 years old

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Measurement method</th>
<th>Bladder capacity formula*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koff 1983</td>
<td>0–24 years old</td>
<td>Cystometry under general anesthesia</td>
<td>(Age [y] + 2) × 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaefer et al. 1997</td>
<td>0–24 years old</td>
<td>Radionuclide cystography</td>
<td>(Age [y] + 1) × 60. OR (2 × Age [y] + 2) × 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hjalmas 1988</td>
<td>0–14 years old</td>
<td>Urodynamic (expert opinion)</td>
<td>(Age [y] + 1) × 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairhurst et al. 1991</td>
<td>0–12 years old</td>
<td>Cystourethrography (manual injection)</td>
<td>(7 × Weight [kg]) -1.2. OR (1.5 × L1-3 [mm]) - 34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmdahl et al. 1996</td>
<td>0–12 months old</td>
<td>4-hour voiding observation</td>
<td>(2.5 × Age [months]) + 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung et al. 2013</td>
<td>0–24 months old</td>
<td>2-day volume chart and 4-hour voiding observation</td>
<td>(1.6 × Age [months]) + 45 OR (4.1 × Weight [kg]) + 28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All volumes are in milliliters (mL), for volume formulas originally in ounces (oz.) a multiplier of 30 is used to convert to mL.
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