MRI use with artificial erection in cases of painful erections
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We hope both these cases illustrate that the consideration of MRI with artificial erection induction can be worthwhile.
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Thank you for your letter regarding our recent case report. We were very interested to read your article, which was published following our submission. As you point out, our cases are remarkably similar in terms of patient presentation, pathology and clinical approach, including the use of penile magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with pharmacologically induced erection. We performed penile MRI in the symptomatic erect state only, and therefore were unable to comment on whether flaccid imaging would have been sufficient for this particular lesion. In your case, MRI in the flaccid state did not show the