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Abstract

Prostate brachytherapy can be used as a monotherapy for low- and intermediate-risk patients or in combination with external beam radiation therapy (EBRT) as a form of dose escalation for selected intermediate- and high-risk patients. Prostate brachytherapy with either permanent implants (low dose rate [LDR]) or temporary implants (high dose rate [HDR]) is emerging as the most effective radiation treatment for prostate cancer. Several large Canadian brachytherapy programs were established in the mid- to late-1990s. Prostate brachytherapy is offered in British Columbia, Alberta, Manitoba, Ontario, Quebec and New Brunswick. We anticipate the need for brachytherapy services in Canada will significantly increase in the near future. In this review, we summarize brachytherapy programs across Canada, contemporary eligibility criteria for the procedure, toxicity and prostate-specific antigen recurrence free survival (PRFS), as published from Canadian institutions for both LDR and HDR brachytherapy.

Background

Brachytherapy refers to the placement of radioactive sources directly into cancerous tissue. As radiation dose gradients with brachytherapy are steep, the tumour receives a very high dose of radiation, while the surrounding normal tissues are largely spared – this offers the potential of a high cure rate and minimal toxicity to adjacent organs (Fig. 1a, Fig. 1b). The “modern” era of prostate brachytherapy began in the 1980s with the development of transrectal ultrasound to plan and guide the placement of radioactive sources within the prostate. Based on excellent 15-year prostate-specific antigen (PSA) outcomes, it has acquired worldwide acceptance. Prostate brachytherapy in Canada began in the mid to late 1990s.

Prostate brachytherapy may be used either as monotherapy for patients with low-risk or low/intermediate-risk disease, or combined with modest doses of external beam, as a method of dose escalation for patients with higher risk disease. There are 2 forms of prostate brachytherapy: (1) low dose rate (LDR) brachytherapy where radioactive seeds are permanently implanted, or (2) high dose rate (HDR) brachytherapy where treatment is administered over about 10 minutes through temporary catheters that contain the radioactive sources. LDR is most commonly used as monotherapy, whereas HDR is usually used in combination with external beam radiotherapy (EBRT). Both methods are emerging as the most effective radiation treatment for prostate cancer.

Dose escalation using EBRT has been demonstrated to increase long-term PSA outcomes, however the dose of EBRT that can be safely delivered is limited by toxicity from adjacent structures, in particular the rectum. In contrast, brachytherapy is capable of safely delivering much higher doses of radiation than EBRT (Fig. 2). PSA outcomes with brachytherapy in low- and intermediate-risk patients are superior to EBRT, even when delivered using state of the art intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) to 81 Gy. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) data on 12 745 patients show that at a median follow-up of only 6 years, use of brachytherapy improves prostate cancer cause specific survival in patients with high-risk/high Gleason score (GS) prostate cancer. The Prostate Cancer Results Study Group (PCRSG) conducted a comprehensive literature review, and identified 18 000 studies involving treatment of localized prostate cancer published during 2000 to 2010. Only 848 studies were included in the analysis (≥50 000 patients), based on key criteria: minimum/median follow-up of 5 years; stratification into low-, intermediate- and high-risk groups; clinical and pathological staging; accepted standard definitions for PSA failure; and minimum patient number of 100 in each risk group (50 for high risk). Patients treated with any form of brachytherapy had not only superior long-term PSA outcome, but also showed remarkable durability of the results with a long follow-up, suggesting 2 important propositions:
Unlike EBRT, high radiation dose delivered with brachytherapy produces much lower, usually undetectable PSA levels at long-term follow-up, suggesting an ablative effect of high radiation dose on prostate tissue.¹⁰,¹¹

Most prostate cancer patients, including those with high-risk disease, do not have metastatic prostate cancer at presentation; in fact, eradication of the local disease produces excellent long-term PSA relapse-free outcomes.³,¹²,¹³

The purpose of this article is to describe prostate brachytherapy practice in Canadian centres, contemporary indications, PSA and toxicity outcomes from Canadian centres. LDR brachytherapy is currently offered in British Columbia, Alberta, Manitoba, Ontario, Quebec and New Brunswick, with over 13 000 patients having been implanted so far. HDR brachytherapy is routinely used for intermediate- and high-risk disease in Ontario, Quebec and New Brunswick; recently, it has become part of clinical trials in British Columbia. Over 2000 patients have been treated so far in Canada.

Canadian eligibility criteria

Patients with low-risk disease (<T₂, PSA <10 and Gleason scores ≤6) (Canadian Consensus Criteria, which mirror those to the NCCN)¹⁴ are eligible for LDR brachytherapy as monotherapy in all Canadian provinces with brachytherapy programs. British Columbia, Manitoba, Quebec and Alberta also offer LDR monotherapy to patients with intermediate-risk disease. Ontario currently funds LDR brachytherapy, only for patients with low risk disease. Intermediate (<T₂, PSA 10-20 or Gleason score < 7) or high risk disease (T₃a, PSA >20 or Gleason score 8-10) patients are commonly treated with HDR brachytherapy combined with external beam radiotherapy (EBRT).

Active surveillance as a management option has changed the approach to low-risk disease, with fewer patients being offered radical treatments, including LDR brachytherapy. For example, 80% of the 450 patients in British Columbia who underwent brachytherapy in 2011 had intermediate-risk disease. For those with intermediate-risk cancers, the role of additional therapies is uncertain. Brachytherapy is used to escalate radiation dose within the prostate, while additional EBRT delivers a modest dose of radiation to extraprostatic areas at risk of harbouring microscopic disease. The recently closed Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 0232 randomized clinical trial investigated the role of EBRT in patients with low-tier intermediate-risk disease in addition to brachytherapy (results are pending); while the role of androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is investigated in the ongoing RTOG 0815 randomized clinical trial. ADT is commonly used in addition to EBRT and brachytherapy (either HDR or LDR) for patients with high-risk disease. Based on a recently completed randomized clinical trial, ASCENDE-RT,¹⁵ British Columbia has revised the treatment options for high-risk disease to include dose escalation with combined LDR and EBRT.

The procedure

LDR brachytherapy is an hour-long surgical day procedure where radioactive seeds are implanted permanently into the prostate. Patients are discharged 2 to 3 hours later and
resume normal daily activities in a few days. Between 70 to 150 radioactive Iodine (I\textsubscript{125}) seeds (alternatives such as Palladium and Caesium sources are not commonly used in Canada) are implanted through 20 to 30 needles transperineally, with trans-rectal ultrasound (TRUS) guidance and occasionally fluoroscopy. Most Canadian centres use pre-plan techniques, developed in Seattle 20 years ago. The number of seeds implanted is determined by seed radioactivity strength, prostate size and to a smaller degree, prostate shape. As the rectal route is avoided, the risk of infection is very low. The half-life of I\textsubscript{125} is 60 days, and therefore 50% of the radioactivity is released by 2 months, and 88% by 6 months, with 1% remaining after a year. This time course parallels the typical early side effect profile. Seed position is predetermined by a customized planning algorithm using computer modelling. A 3- to 5-mm margin beyond the anatomic prostate is included to account for potential extra-prostatic tumour extension. A postoperative computed tomography (CT) scan of the prostate is performed to determine the actual position of the seeds, and to calculate the delivered dose distribution; this allows for the calculation of basic dose-metrics to assess the quality of the implant. This rigorous quality assurance procedure is built into all Canadian programs. Restrictions regarding radiation protection for the general public are unnecessary as the radiation exposure is very low.

In HDR, temporary catheters use single Iridium 192 source. Very high dose conformity is achieved by optimizing dwell positions of the source to generate ideal dose distribution within the prostate and limit the radiation dose to critical structures, such as rectum and urethra. Typically 14 to 18 HDR catheters are inserted into the prostate under TRUS guidance. Imaging with either CT or TRUS is performed with the catheters in place and a treatment plan generated to deliver a high dose of radiation to the target, while limiting dose to urethra, rectum and bladder (Fig. 3). The time for radiation delivery is around 10 minutes, and the whole treatment process takes about 2 hours. Although in the past patients typically received between 2 and 4 HDR fractions combined with a 4 to 5 week course of EBRT, Canadian centres have pioneered the use of single fraction HDR\textsuperscript{16,17} and have demonstrated equivalence between a single large fraction of 15 Gy and the previous standard of two fractions of 10 Gy.\textsuperscript{18} This has become the standard fractionation in most Canadian centres, and has been widely adopted by other large international centres and by the RTOG.

HDR offers several advantages, including dose optimization, remote after-loading of the radioactive source that eliminates radiation exposure to medical personnel, and a cost-effective technique due to the use of a single reusable radioactive source. Favourable short-term toxicity and disease control\textsuperscript{13} make this procedure a preferred one in several Canadian centres.\textsuperscript{16,19,20}

### Canadian centres offering prostate brachytherapy

#### British Columbia

The British Columbia Cancer Agency (BCCA) program started in 1997, and to date has treated over 4500 patients; this is the largest program in Canada and one of the largest in the world. The current volume of 450 implants per year is shared between 16 radiation oncologists. LDR brachytherapy is available at centres in Vancouver, Victoria, Fraser Valley/Abbotsford and Kelowna. All centres use techniques based on the Seattle experience,\textsuperscript{2} combined with a planning algorithm developed in-house and consistent treatment protocols, selection criteria and rigorous quality control.\textsuperscript{21} A large provincial prospective database records baseline disease characteristics, technical (dosimetric) details, as well as follow-up PSA and side effect scores on all patients. As of 2011, HDR is offered in Kelowna.

BCCA have recently published biochemical control rates for the initial consecutive 1006 consecutive patients in BC (58% low-risk; 42% intermediate-risk) 65% of whom received ADT for 6 months (As per 1998 BC Program policy, ADT was given to intermediate risk patients and those with prostate size >45-50 cc.) Using Fine and Gray’s competing risks analysis, the 5-year and 10-year actuarial disease-free survival (DFS) was 96.7% (95% CI: 95.2-97.7%) and 94.1% (95% CI: 92.0-95.6%) respectively (Fig. 4).\textsuperscript{22,23} Median PSA for the entire group was 0.04 ng/mL, indicating that long-term cancer cure is likely in most patients.\textsuperscript{10,11} Based on program’s excellent PRFS and increased technical skills of
the oncologist, since February 2005 the size restriction is removed and most patients with intermediate risk features (>80%) are treated with implant alone.23

Alberta

The Cross Cancer Institute in Edmonton and the Tom Baker Cancer Centre in Calgary offer LDR brachytherapy. The Cross Cancer Institute program (also using the Seattle technique) began in 1998 and has treated over 950 patients. The current volume for 5 radiation oncologists is about 150 patients a year. The program maintains a particularly active academic interest in technical improvements in prostate brachytherapy.24 At the Tom Baker Cancer Centre, prostate brachytherapy began in 2003, using an intraoperative treatment planning approach (final placement of the seeds is planned during the procedure); this has resulted in high quality implants that appear to be comparable to those of more experienced teams.25 Both programs maintain comprehensive databases of technical and clinical outcomes. The Cross Cancer Institute recently reviewed its experience in 390 consecutive patients treated from 1999 to 2006. With a median follow-up of 6.1 years, the PRFS was 92.9%.26 This review confirmed that treatment toxicity was comparable to other published reports.

Ontario

Prostate brachytherapy began in Ontario in 1998, and is offered at 8 centres: in Toronto at the Princess Margaret Hospital (PMH) and Sunnybrook Odette Centre, and also at the regional Cancer Centres in Hamilton, London, Ottawa, Windsor, Sudbury and Oshawa. About 400 patients with low-risk disease are treated with LDR brachytherapy every year, with over 4000 patients treated to date. Funding in Ontario is more restrictive than in other provinces, and only low-risk patients are covered under the Ontario Program, although this is currently under review. At PMH, LDR brachytherapy started in 1999, with 120 patients treated per year. PMH has advocated the use of magnetic resonance imaging-CT fusion for post-implant dosimetric assessment and brachytherapy quality assurance. PMH has reported outcomes on 776 men (median age: 63) treated with iodine-125 PB for low- (85%) or intermediate-risk prostate (5%) cancer with minimum 3-year PSA follow-up. At a median PSA follow-up of 54 months, there were 27 failures, only 8 of which were local recurrences. The actuarial 7-year PRFS was 95.2%.27 A recently published analysis on 96 men <55 year of age at the time of implant reports an actuarial 7-year PRFS rate of 98.9%.28

Quebec

The first Canadian prostate brachytherapy program was established at l’Hôpital-Dieu de Quebec, in June 1994. So far, 1723 patients have been implanted. LDR brachytherapy is offered to low- and intermediate-risk patients. The initial report on the first 396 consecutive patients with low-risk disease, with a median follow-up of 60 months, shows a PRFS of 90.5%.29 An updated report on the first 1110 patients treated at the CHUQ-l’Hôtel-Dieu de Quebec shows 5-year PRFS of 90.5% for both low- and intermediate-risk groups. For low-risk patients, the PRFS at 5 years was 94.6%.30
HDR brachytherapy in Canada

HDR brachytherapy is currently used as a method of local dose escalation for patients with intermediate- and high-risk disease in Ontario (Toronto, London, Windsor, Oshawa), Quebec (Montréal, Québec), New Brunswick (Moncton) and British Columbia (Kelowna), with plans to begin similar programs in St John’s, Halifax, Edmonton, Calgary and Saskatoon. With a median follow-up of 7 years, the 5-year disease-free survival for men with intermediate-risk disease treated with HDR and EBRT in a Canadian multicentre study was 98%. The reported 5-year PRFS is 91%, 95% and 96% in single institution series from McGill University, Sunnybrook Odette Cancer Centre, and Centre hospitalier universitaire de Québec (CHUQ), respectively (Table 1).

LDR side effects

Recovery time after the procedure is short. With LDR, most men return to their usual daily activity within days. Urinary side effects are most common, with about 50% patients having immediate moderate obstructive and/or irritative symptoms. By 12 months, 90% will have returned to baseline urinary function and by 7 years, most patients (92.5%) will have minimal or no urinary symptoms. Patients with larger prostate volumes, worse baseline urinary function and those receiving ADT are likely to have more urinary bother after the procedure. Greater technical expertise improves the toxicity profile. Five to 10% of patients will experience urinary retention and usually require a short-term (<1 week) Foley catheter. In the long-term, <3% of men will require urethral dilatation or a transurethral resection of the prostate to relieve obstructive urinary symptoms. Mild self-limiting rectal irritation affects 20% to 30% of patients in the first 1 to 2 years after the implant. Rectal bleeding is reported in 2% to 7% of patients. Rectal ulceration or recto-urethral fistulas requiring colostomy is reported in less than 1 of every 500 to 1000 patients. Biopsies of the anterior rectal wall are discouraged as even relatively minor tissue trauma can lead to a rectal fistula due to the poor vascular supply to this tissue after an implant. Similarly, laser coagulation for rectal bleeding is only undertaken when conservative measures have failed.

As with surgery, younger patients and those with better pre-treatment erectile function are more likely to preserve sexual function after brachytherapy. A recent British Columbia study of >1400 patients showed that the 8-year potency preservation rate is 60% to 80% in men age <60, 55% to 60% in those between 60 and 69 years old and 20% to 30% in those over 70 years old. Loss of erectile function is most prominent within the first 3 years after the treatment, with little additional deterioration in potency rates at 5 and 8 years after brachytherapy. For the entire British Columbia cohort, erectile function preservation was reported in 50% of patients at 8 years, with 30% of men using phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors.

PMH published a report on 1111 men with follow-up ranging to over 9 years, with 82% retaining satisfactory erectile function beyond 5 years. For men ≤55 at the time of their prostate brachytherapy, and at a median follow-up >5 years, erectile function was maintained in 93%, with 45% using phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors. Crook and colleagues prospectively collected quality of life outcomes in 190 patients who underwent either radical prostatectomy or brachytherapy in Ontario. Prior to making a treatment decision, patients received an hour-long joint balanced educational session by a radiation oncologist and a urologist regarding the treatment and side effects. At 5 years after the radical treatment of their choice, patients undergoing prostate brachytherapy had significantly higher overall quality of life, better urinary and sexual function and higher overall satisfaction with treatment, compared with surgery.

Table 1. Canadian brachytherapy programs PRFS outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>No. patients with published outcomes</th>
<th>5 year PRFS</th>
<th>10 y PRFS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCCA22,23</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Cancer Institute26</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMH27,28</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l’Hôtel-Dieu de Québec29,30</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRFS: Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) recurrence free survival; BCCA: British Columbia Cancer Agency; PMH: Princess Margaret Hospital; CHUQ: Centre hospitalier universitaire de Québec; LDR: low-dose rate brachytherapy (seeds); HDR: high-dose rate brachytherapy (temporary implant); EBRT: external beam radiation therapy.

HDR side effects

HDR brachytherapy has side-effects similar to LDR. The side-effects tend to be less intense and shorter. This is likely related to the very short radiation treatment time of 10 minutes, and the improved accuracy and precision of dose delivery compared to LDR. In patients treated with a single
15 Gy HDR and EBRT over 3 weeks, 90% of men returned to baseline urinary function by 3 months, and less than 2% developed urinary retention requiring catheterization.19 Late rectal bleeding or proctitis is reported in less than 5%. Erectile dysfunction remains the most common side-effect, with 20% developing significant erectile dysfunction that results in a high level of bother, and 30% developing a lesser degree of erectile dysfunction responsive to PDE5 inhibitors. From older reports, we found that late urethral stricture occurred in up to 8% of patients, but more contemporary series reported stricture rates of 1% to 2%.19

At l’Hôtel-Dieu de Quebec, the first 44 patients treated with HDR likewise showed favourable toxicity profile. Their International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) returned to baseline at a median of 6 months, with 31% and 11% having acute and late urinary grade 2 toxicity (rectal bleeding). Rectal toxicity was mild with 4% having acute and 4% late rectal grade 2 toxicity. Erectile dysfunction occurs in about 27% of patients who were treated without ADT (Table 3).42

### Discussion

Variations in oncological outcomes between institutions are due to differences in techniques, selection and experience. The prostate brachytherapy literature clearly documents that individual oncologists’ procedural skills and quality assurance standards are associated with long-term PSA and toxicity outcomes.23 We believe patients should be informed about expected outcomes of treatment based on the results at the institutions where they will be treated.

Canadian centres that have reported a high volume of work and have published their PSA and toxicity outcomes have excellent results, comparable to the world’s best published results.1 In particular, long-term mature LDR brachytherapy results confirm the excellent cure rates of brachytherapy in low- and intermediate-risk disease in large consecutive patient cohorts treated in British Columbia,22 Ontario, Quebec and Alberta.26 While only 1 out of 10 patients treated with brachytherapy in Canada receive HDR, Canadian centres are acknowledged for innovation in HDR fractionation schedules and excellent intermediate-risk disease outcomes and toxicity.17 The typical brachytherapy toxicity profile consists of irritative and obstructive urinary symptoms, which in most patients subside by 6 to 12 months after LDR brachytherapy and likely sooner after HDR. While short-term toxicity can be pronounced for several months following the procedure, long-term toxicity is very low. Overall, serious complications or need for surgical intervention (transurethral resection of the prostate or urethral dilatation) are uncommon.31,33,37

Excellent long-term outcomes, convenience of this treatment, fast recovery after the procedure with minimal loss of working hours for patients and equivalent cost to other curative options have contributed to an increased demand for brachytherapy in Canada. It is anticipated that further brachytherapy programs will likely occur to accommodate the benefit of this dose escalation approach in high-risk patients.15

High quality assurance standards in prostate brachytherapy and institutional expertise are essential for achieving excellent long-term outcomes. Prostate brachytherapy is a highly specialized skill. As with radical prostatectomy,34,43 it is critical for brachytherapists to maintain a minimum volume of cases annually to maintain their skill-set.44 It takes about 1 year of fellowship training to practice independently with competence. Unlike with surgery, training is available in only a few centres in Canada. Training requirements are not standardized and many smaller Canadian centres are not offering this treatment. In other centres, prostate brachytherapy is still in development.

As more studies are published confirming excellent long-term outcomes, we believe the demands for brachytherapy in Canada are likely to increase. In the summer of 2011, the Chair of the Radiation Oncology Specialty Committee of the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada has put forward a working group to develop the certification standards for a program leading to a Diploma of Special Competence in Brachytherapy. This initiative is intended to ensure high standards in training and prostate brachytherapy practice across Canada.
Conclusion

Dose escalation with LDR or HDR brachytherapy is emerging as the most effective radiation treatment for prostate cancer, independent of risk category. Evidence suggests that use of a high dose of radiation to eradicate local disease will lead to long-term cure in many patients whom we previously believed would succumb to metastatic disease thought to be present at diagnosis. We estimate that over 13,000 Canadian patients with prostate cancer had been treated with prostate brachytherapy. Canadian high volume brachytherapy centers reported long-term PSA and toxicity outcomes matching or exceeding the world’s best.

We believe prostate cancer treatment is evolving and requires a multidisciplinary approach, with input from both urology and radiation oncology. Joint efforts in patient care and research collaboration will ultimately benefit our patients and further our understanding of optimal care for prostate cancer patients.
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