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Abstract

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract are not uncommon urological malignancies. Their simultaneous occurrence in a patient is, however, extraordinarily rare. We report the case of a patient who underwent laparoscopic nephrectomy for suspected RCC. Preoperative imaging was suspicious for renal pelvic involvement, which was confirmed upon bivalving the fresh specimen at the time of surgery, with the discovery of a separate urothelium-based lesion. We discuss this rare occurrence and our management approach.

Résumé

Individuellement, l’hypernéphrome et le carcinome urothélial des voies urinaires supérieures ne sont pas des tumeurs urologiques rares. Leur survenue simultanée chez un même patient est cependant extrêmement rare. La reconnaissance préopératoire ou intraopératoire est cruciale afin que soit effectuée la résection urétérale requise. Nous décrivons un cas d’hypernéphrome et de carcinome urothélial simultanés et homolatéraux.

Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and urothelial carcinoma (UC) of the upper urinary tract are not uncommon urological malignancies, taken individually. Their simultaneous occurrence in a patient is, however, extraordinarily rare. Pre- or intraoperative recognition is important so that ureteral resection is performed. We report a case of synchronous RCC and UC of the ipsilateral renal unit.

Case report

The patient, an 85-year-old woman, presented to the emergency department with right-sided abdominal pain and new-onset gross hematuria. Her medical history included hypertension, hysterectomy for benign disease, and osteoporosis, with no history of smoking or chemical exposure. She was hemodynamically stable and did not require bladder irrigation. Ultrasonography suggested a right renal mass.

Discussion

Renal cell carcinoma represents 3% of adult cancers, with...
20% invading the collecting system or capsule.\textsuperscript{1} Ten percent of renal tumours arise in the renal pelvis, of which 90% are UC.\textsuperscript{2} The documented occurrence of both of these types of tumours in the same kidney is extremely rare, with the literature limited to a few small series and case reports. In the English-language literature, the first report was by Graves and Templeton in 1921 and the most recent by Demir and colleagues in 2004.\textsuperscript{3–5} A Spanish-language review and case series reported 47 described cases, and suggested no worse prognosis associated with this dual pathology.\textsuperscript{6} The authors’ review suggested that there were no readily identifiable risk factors for the simultaneous occurrence of both tumours, although 24% of the patients were smokers.
Ninety percent of patients presented with hematuria, 19% with flank pain and 14% with a palpable flank mass. Twenty-four percent of patients in this review had evidence of metastatic disease at presentation (in their case report, the patient presented with intracranial metastatic disease 3 months after nephroureterectomy).

The prognosis for a patient with dual malignancies is likely most influenced by the more aggressive of the 2 tumours. Our patient’s RCC was pathologically a pT1b lesion with no evidence of metastatic disease. This was tempered by the finding of microscopic vein involvement, which has been found to be an independent negative prognostic factor for metastasis and survival at 148 months.7 Our patient’s UC was pathologically high grade and a pT3 lesion, with involvement of renal sinus fat via invasion through muscle. A renal pelvic location has improved survival in UC versus a ureteral primary,8 though Holmang and Johansson10 have suggested only 25% survival in high-grade pT3 upper tract UC. The UC was likely the more ominous primary lesion in this patient.

With hindsight as our guide, the area corresponding to the renal pelvic UC was still only moderately suspicious on imaging, with the main finding being the 5.5-cm renal cortical lesion. Hematuria is one of the classic findings of RCC but is usually a late symptom. Although ureteroscopy and ureteral washings would have likely found this lesion, they were declined by the patient in favour of expedited treatment. The treating physician agreed with this course of action as the CT scan was definitely more suspicious for RCC.

The patient had an uneventful in-hospital course, and was well at her first follow-up clinic visit after surgery. Further follow-up will combine aspects of recommended follow-up for both RCC and UC, as per published guidelines.11 The lessons learned in this case are to be aware of the possibility of synchronous renal tumours, as they do rarely occur, and to request intraoperative pathology consultation in the setting of nephrectomy for unusual renal lesions. In medicine, usually one explanation suffices, in this case putatively RCC with invasion into the renal pelvis. This diagnosis might have explained the hematuria, atypical cytology and large mass consistent with RCC on CT scan. In this case, 2 cancers presented together in a typical manner, but their simultaneous presence was definitely unexpected.
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