Management of ureteral obstruction in crossed fused renal ectopia: A case report
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Abstract

Crossed fused renal ectopia is a rare congenital malformation. We describe a case in which a 58-year-old male with left-sided crossed fused renal ectopia presented with urinary bladder outlet obstruction due to metastatic prostate adenocarcinoma. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was 13 mL/min, creatinine 4 mg/dL, and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) 58 mg/dL. The patient underwent successful image-guided placement of percutaneous nephrostomy tubes which were later converted to nephroureteral stents. Labs improved to a GFR of 28 mL/min, creatinine of 2.4 mg/dL, and BUN of 41 mg/dL. In this case standard image-guided renal decompression techniques were effective in treating a patient with crossed fused renal ectopia.

Introduction

Crossed fused renal ectopia is the second most common renal congenital malformation, occurring in 0.01% of births(1). Patients with prostate cancer develop ureteral obstruction in 3% to 16% of cases(2), often resulting in hydronephrosis and obstructive postrenal azotemia, the latter leading to renal failure. Few reports have examined the management of ureteral obstruction in crossed fused renal ectopia. We present a case of bilateral ureteral obstruction due to prostate cancer in a patient with right to left crossed fused renal ectopia—specifically Type A (inferior crossed fusion), in which standard decompression techniques were effective.

Case report

A 58-year-old male had a medical history of metastatic, hormone sensitive prostate adenocarcinoma, diagnosed 18 months prior (Gleason grade 4+4=8 at diagnosis) and chronic renal insufficiency. He presented with outlet obstruction resulting in hydronephrosis. On physical exam vital signs were within normal limits. The abdomen was non-tender with left abdominal fullness and no costovertebral angle tenderness. Labs revealed a glomerular filtration rate (GFR) of 13 mL/min, creatinine of 4 mg/dL, and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) of 58 mg/dL, and prostate-specific antigen of 330 ng/mL. The kidney was anatomically consistent with crossed fused ectopia, with an upper pole moiety draining to the left side of the bladder and a lower pole moiety draining to the right side of the bladder (Fig. 1).

In consideration of the patient’s unusual anatomy, interventional radiology was consulted to place 2 percutaneous nephrostomy tubes (Fig. 2) with a plan to follow-up with urology for retrograde stent placement if the nephrostomy tubes proved effective. Under ultrasound guidance with the patient prone a 20-gauge needle was placed into a midpole calyx of the upper pole moiety. This revealed a markedly hydronephrotic dilated collecting system. A guidewire was then advanced into the central collecting system and the tract was dilated to accommodate an 8.5 French external nephrostomy tube (Cook Medical, Bloomington, IN). The patient was then repositioned supine and the procedure was repeated on the lower pole moiety. No complications were noted. Labs 22 days following the procedure revealed an improved GFR of 28 mL/min, creatinine of 2.4 mg/dL, and BUN of 41 mg/dL.

Twenty-four days after nephrostomy tube insertion, urology attempted a retrograde pyelogram with retrograde stent placement. Pyeloscopy revealed a trabeculated bladder and the ureteral orifices were not easily identified. Methylene blue in sterile water was injected into each nephrostomy tube for attempted identification of the ureteral orifice but this was unsuccessful.

After a multidisciplinary discussion, the patient elected for placement of nephroureteral stents by interventional radiology (Fig. 3). Following the induction of anesthesia, the procedure was performed in 2 stages. Anesthesia was performed because of the patient’s psychosocial issues. The
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details for the exchange of each nephrostomy catheter for a new stent were identical. The upper pole moiety tube was exchanged first, then the lower pole moiety stent.

Using standard techniques, a 10.2-French 24-cm Amplatz nephroureteral (NU) stent and a 10.2-French 22-cm Amplatz NU stent (Cook Medical, Bloomington, IN) were placed in the upper pole and lower pole moieties, respectively. Post-procedure contrast injections revealed that both catheters were in satisfactory position and imaging bilaterally revealed slow drainage into the bladder. It was suspected this was related to chronically dilated collecting systems. Catheters were directed to the left flank and left lower abdominal wall.

Two weeks following this procedure the patient’s GFR was 31 mL/min, creatinine was 2.2 mg/dL, and BUN was 35 mg/dL. The nephroureteral tubes were replaced at 3 months and again at 8 months without issue. Ten months after initial renal intervention, GFR was 27 mL/min, creatinine 2.5 mg/dL, and BUN 34 mg/dL.

Discussion

Crossed fused renal ectopia occurs in about 1 in 1000 live births and has a 3:2 male to female predominance. Left to right ectopia is more common than right to left. There are several classifications of crossed fused renal ectopia depending on the orientation of the fused kidneys including: unilateral fused kidney with inferior or superior ectopia, sigmoid kidney, lump kidney, disc kidney, and L-shaped kidney. The abnormality is the result of inappropriate development of the ureteric bud and metanephric blastema between 4 to 8 weeks gestation. It is hypothesized to be associated with caudal rotation of the embryo, which is supported by increased prevalence in patients with scoliosis. Associated conditions include vertebral defects, anal atresia, cardiac defects, tracheo-esophageal fistula, renal anomalies, and limb abnormalities (VACTERL), various urogenital abnormalities, and cardiovascular septal defects among others. In familial cases, an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern has been identified.

Although crossed fused renal ectopia is often discovered incidentally while investigating other genitourinary issues, approximately half of all patients experience complications, such as hydronephrosis, nephrolithiasis, and frequent urinary tract infections. While there is no primary treatment,
surgical management is important for associated problems, such as ureteropelvic junction obstruction and vesicoureteral reflux.¹

Ureteral obstruction due to pelvic malignancy, notably prostate cancer, is common in the later stages of advanced disease. Patients that develop bilateral obstruction may develop hydroureteronephrosis and progressive renal failure. Bypassing the obstruction can help relieve symptoms, such as uremia, electrolyte disturbances, and recurrent urinary tract infections.⁷ Even with decompression, life expectancy is short in patients with advanced carcinoma, with a mean survival between 3 to 7 months.⁷-¹⁰

Conclusion

Few reports exist on the management of crossed fused renal ectopia. This case provides an example of using standard image-guided renal decompression techniques, including “bilateral” percutaneous nephrostomy tubes and “bilateral” nephroureteral stents, in a patient with crossed fused inferior renal ectopia with bilateral ureteral obstruction due to metastatic prostate adenocarcinoma. The outcome for this patient was comparable to patients with normal renal anatomy suggesting that traditional image-guided renal decompression techniques can have similar efficacy in patients with crossed fused inferior renal ectopia.
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